Single-cell CRISPR screening characterizes transcriptional deregulation in T-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia

Sarah Meyers,¹⁻³ Olga Gielen,¹⁻³ Jan Cools¹⁻³ and Sofie Demeyer¹⁻³

¹Center for Human Genetics, KU Leuven; ²Center for Cancer Biology, VIB and ³Leuvens Kanker Instituut (LKI), KU Leuven – UZ Leuven, Leuven, Belgium **Correspondence:** J. Cools jan.cools@kuleuven.be S. Demeyer

sofie.demeyer@kuleuven.be

Received: Accepted: Early view: December 27, 2023. May 17, 2024. May 30, 2024.

https://doi.org/10.3324/haematol.2023.284901

©2024 Ferrata Storti Foundation Published under a CC BY-NC license 🖭 🔅

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Single-cell CRISPR screening characterizes transcriptional deregulation in Tcell acute lymphoblastic leukemia

Sarah Meyers^{1,2,3}, Olga Gielen^{1,2,3}, Jan Cools^{1,2,3,*}, Sofie Demeyer^{1,2,3,*}

¹Center for Human Genetics, KU Leuven, Leuven, Belgium.

² Center for Cancer Biology, VIB, Leuven, Belgium.

³ Leuvens Kanker Instituut (LKI), KU Leuven – UZ Leuven, Leuven, Belgium.

SUPPLEMENTARY METHODS

Cell culture

Ba/F3 pro-B cells (DSMZ) were cultured in RPMI-1640 medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and interleukin 3 (10ng/mL, PeproTech).

gRNA library design

gRNAs were designed using the CRISPick tool¹, with compatible Bpil/BbsI overhangs at the 5' end for cloning in a retroviral vector containing a 10x Genomics-compatible capture sequence (CS) for gRNA capture (CS1: GCTTTAAGGCCGGTCCTAGCAA, CS2: GCTCACCTATTAGCGGCTAAGG).² 100 μ M of forward and reverse gRNA oligos were annealed under following conditions; 95°C for 3 minutes, 25°C for 1 minute and holding at 4°C. Annealed gRNAs were pooled, diluted to 0.04 μ M and ligated into the Bpil-digested vector backbone. This construct was electroporated in Endura electrocompetent cells (Lucigen) according to the manufacturer's protocols. A minimum of 1000 bacterial colonies per gRNA in the library were harvested and DNA was isolated using the Compactprep Plasmid Midi kit (Qiagen) according to manufacturer's directions.

Retroviral work

Retrovirus production was performed by transfection of 150 mm dishes HEK293T cells with 10 μ g of the retroviral vector of interest and 10 μ g packaging plasmid plK6.1MCV.ecopac.UTD, using 36 μ L Genejuice transfection reagent (Merck Millipore #70767) in 600 μ L serum-free RPMI-1640 medium. After 24h, medium was replaced with fresh RPMI-1640 supplemented with 10% FBS and retroviral supernatant was subsequently harvested at 48h and 72h post-transfection. Viral supernatant was filtered through a 0.45 μ m filter and aliquots were frozen at -80°C. For CRISPR libraries, viral titer was calculated to determine the optimal transduction conditions to reach around 10% efficiency to avoid introduction of multiple gRNAs in a single cell. Serial dilutions of the virus stock were used to transduce 1 million cells by spinfection and transduction efficiencies were measured by flow cytometry (MACSQuant VYB, Miltenyi). The number of transducing units per mL was determined and used to calculate the optimal volume of virus stock that should be used to reach the optimal MOI.

shRNA

shRNAs were designed using the Vectorbuilder shRNA design tool (<u>https://en.vectorbuilder.com/tool/shrna-target-design.html</u>). Single-stranded oligonucleotides were annealed under following conditions; 95°C for 3 minutes, 25°C for 1 minute and holding at 4°C. Annealed oligos were subsequently cloned by ligation into EcoRI and XhoI sites in an MSCV-mTagBFP

2

vector containing a miR30 flanking cassette. A non-targeting shRNA was used as control (shCtrl: TCTCGCTTGGGCGAGAGTAAGC). The other sequences used were: shBcl11b: CAGGAGAACATTGCAGGTAAA, shCtcf: GGCTTTGGGAACGGCATAATT and shSmarca4: TCGAGTCTCTACCAGCATTAA. Retroviral packaging and cell transduction were performed as described earlier, and cell proliferation was monitored over time using a MACSQuant VYB (Miltenyi).

Data analysis of single-cell CRISPR experiments

CellRanger software (version 5.0.1) was used for alignment of reads to the mouse reference genome (mm10) and unique molecular identifier (UMI) counting. The resulting count matrices were further processed using the Seurat R package v4. We removed cells that expressed less than 200 genes and genes that were expressed in less than 3 cells. Furthermore, cells with high numbers of mitochondrial reads (>15%) and extreme numbers of transcripts (<1500 and >8000) were removed, as these are most likely damaged cells or doublets. Next, the data was normalized using SCTransform. Cell cycle scoring was done with Seurat based on the lists of cell cycle marker genes of Tirosh *et al.*³ Normalized gene expression levels in all single cells were displayed in ridge plots per perturbation, or via a dot plot. Differential gene expression analysis using the MAST algorithm was performed to identify differentially expressed marker genes between cells with a gRNA and NT cells. Furthermore, this data was analyzed with a number of software packets specifically designed for single cell CRISPR screening, such as Mixscape⁴, scMAGeCK⁵ (both in RRA and LR mode), SCEPTRE⁶ and MUSIC⁷. In an alternative approach, cells with the same perturbation were grouped and a global transcriptional profile per perturbation was determined. DESeq2 (version 1.34.0) was used to compare these pseudo-bulk transcription profiles and identify differentially expressed genes.

As we were mostly interested in the genes of importance in the pro-T cell model, we focused on the five sets identified by Bornschein *et al.*⁸, with which we performed gene set enrichment analyses (GSEA) on the ranked list of differentially expressed genes between the cells with a specific perturbation and the non-perturbed cells. The rank was calculated as: -sign(log2Fc)*log(padj). Based on these normalized enrichment scores (NES), an unsupervised hierarchical clustering algorithm was applied to identify perturbations with similar impact on the cells. To determine the regulatory elements involved in each of the perturbations, the pySCENIC software package (version 0.10.0), together with its command line interface (CLI), was used. First, a list of adjacencies between transcription factors and their targets was determined with the CLI version on the Flemish Super Computer (VSC). Subsequently, we used the publicly available motif database to predict regulons, after which cellular enrichment for each regulon in each cell was calculated with AUCell. The

3

distributions of these AUCell values for each regulon and each perturbation were then plotted with the stacked violin function in the Scanpy package.

Bulk RNA-sequencing

The Maxwell Simply RNA purification kit (Promega) was used to extract RNA from sorted pro-T cells, from which 500 ng of purified RNA was used to construct NGS libraires using the Lexogen Quantseq RNA sample prep kit (Illumina). Libraries were subjected to 51 bp single-end sequencing on a HiSeq4000 (Illumina). The reads were cleaned with the fastq-mcf software package (version 1.04.807) and FastQC (version 0.11.9) was used for quality control. The reads were subsequently aligned to the mouse reference genome (mm10/GRCm38) using HISAT2 (version 2.1.0) and further processed with the SAMtools software (version 1.11). The number of reads per gene was counted with the HTSeq package. The R (version 4.1.1) package DESeq2 (version 1.34.0) was used to determine regulons, *i.e.*, small gene regulatory networks based on co-expression patterns and transcription factor motifs.

Intracellular staining

Intracellular stainings were performed using the FOXP3 Transcription Factor Staining buffer set (Invitrogen 00-5523-00). Briefly, 100 000 cells were seeded in a well of a 96-well plate, stained with fixable viability dye, washed and resuspended in fixation/permeabilization buffer for fixation for 45 minutes. Cells were subsequently stained with primary and secondary antibody in triplicate, and data were acquired on a MACSQuant VYB (Miltenyi). Primary antibodies used were anti-BCL11B (Abcam ab18465, 1/200 dilution) and anti-PU.1 (Abcam ab227835, 1/100 dilution), with secondary antibodies Goat anti-Rat IgG Secondary Antibody in Alexa Fluor 555 (Thermofisher A21434, 1/1000 dilution) and Donkey anti-Rabbit IgG Secondary Antibody in Alexa Fluor 568 (Thermofisher A10042, 1/1000 dilution). Fixable viability dyes eFluor520 (eBioscience 65-0867-14, 1/1000 dilution) and eFluor780 (eBioscience 65-0865-14, 1/1000 dilution) were used to discriminate living cells.

Western blot

Cell lysates were prepared in cold Cell Lysis Buffer (Cell Signaling) containing protease inhibitor (Complete – EDTA-free, Roche) and 5 mM Na₃VO₄. Protein concentration was determined with Protein Assay Dye Reagent Concentrate (Bio-Rad) using the Victor X4 plate reader (Perkin Elmer) according to the manufacturer's directions. Proteins were separated on NuPAGE NOVEX Bis-Tris 4 - 12% gels (Life Technologies) and transferred to PVDF membranes. Western blot analysis was performed using primary antibodies targeting P65 (Cell Signaling 8242S, 1/1000 dilution) and phospho-P65 (Cell

4

Signaling 3033S, 1/1000 dilution), with anti- β -actin as loading control (Sigma-Aldrich A5441, 1/1000 dilution). Western blot detection was performed with secondary antibodies conjugated with horseradish peroxidase (GE Healthcare). Images were acquired using the VILBER FUSION FX6 imager (Analis), bands were quantified using ImageJ software and normalized to the intensity of the loading control β -actin.

REFERENCES

- 1. Doench JG, Fusi N, Sullender M, et al. Optimized sgRNA design to maximize activity and minimize offtarget effects of CRISPR-Cas9. Nat Biotechnol 2016;34(2):184–191.
- 2. Replogle JM, Norman TM, Xu A, et al. Combinatorial single-cell CRISPR screens by direct guide RNA capture and targeted sequencing. Nat Biotechnol 2020;38(8):954–961.
- 3. Tirosh I, Izar B, Prakadan SM, et al. Dissecting the multicellular ecosystem of metastatic melanoma by single-cell RNA-seq. Science 2016;352(6282):189–196.
- 4. Papalexi E, Mimitou EP, Butler AW, et al. Characterizing the molecular regulation of inhibitory immune checkpoints with multimodal single-cell screens. Nat Genet 2021;53(3):322–331.
- 5. Yang L, Zhu Y, Yu H, et al. scMAGeCK links genotypes with multiple phenotypes in single-cell CRISPR screens. Genome Biol 2020;21(1):19.
- 6. Barry T, Wang X, Morris JA, Roeder K, Katsevich E. SCEPTRE improves calibration and sensitivity in single-cell CRISPR screen analysis. Genome Biol 2021;22:344.
- 7. Duan B, Zhou C, Zhu C, et al. Model-based understanding of single-cell CRISPR screening. Nat Commun 2019;10:2233.
- Bornschein S, Demeyer S, Stirparo R, et al. Defining the molecular basis of oncogenic cooperation between TAL1 expression and Pten deletion in T-ALL using a novel pro-T-cell model system. Leukemia 2018;32(4):941–951.

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE LEGENDS

Fig. S1. Experimental details of the pilot CRISPR screen.

- a. Vector graphic of the retroviral gRNA vector with capture sequence (CS) in the hairpin of the gRNA scaffold. This capture sequence is required for gRNA capture by the 10X Genomics 3' feature barcoding chemistry for single-cell CRISPR screening. Expression of the gRNA is controlled by U6 promoter and expression of mTagBFP fluorescent marker by the spleen focus-forming virus (SFFV) promoter sequence. (*LTR= long terminal repeat, Amp^R= ampicillin resistance marker*)
- b. CD45 protein levels were measured by flow cytometry in Ba/F3 and pro-T cells expressing a *Ptprc*-targeting gRNA to validate the efficiency of four different capture sequence (CS) vector configurations, compared to the original vector without capture sequence and compared to the empty vector (EV).
- c. Gating strategy for FACS sorting of pro-T cells transduced with the gRNA library. First, cells were discriminated from debris based on forward (FSC) versus side (SSC) scatter (P1), with subsequent gating for singlets (P2 and P3) and viable cells based on eFluor780 fixable viability dye (P4). Finally, cells with expression of the BFP fluorescent marker were selected (P5).
- d. Stacked bar plot displaying the number of cells per gRNA per target gene at day 3 of the experiment. Non-targeting (NT) controls are indicated in grey font.
- e. Ridge plots of normalized expression levels of perturbed genes and their downstream targets. In the left column, expression values are shown for *Notch1*, *Myc* and *Il7r*, with the purple ridge highlighting cells with the respective perturbation. In the right column, the expression levels of two direct downstream target genes are shown.

Fig. S2. Gene expression levels per perturbation.

Data from both single-cell CRISPR screens were merged, and the average expression level of each target gene was displayed in a dot plot to visualize perturbation efficiency in the cells with different gRNAs.

Fig. S3. SCENIC analysis of bulk RNA-seq after Spi1 perturbation.

- a. Volcano plot of differentially expressed genes based on bulk RNA-sequencing of cells with Spi1 gRNA, compared to NT control cells. Lines are thresholds for significant (padj <0.05) upregulated and downregulated (|log2Fc|>1) genes, with indication of gene names for the top significant differentially expressed genes.
- b. SCENIC heatmaps for Myc regulons in cells with *Spi1* inactivation *vs* NT control cells.

- c. SCENIC heatmaps for Cebpb regulon in cells with *Spi1* inactivation vs NT control cells.
- d. SCENIC heatmaps for epigenetic regulons in cells with Spi1 inactivation vs NT control cells.
- e. SCENIC heatmaps for Ets factor regulons in cells with *Spi1* inactivation vs NT control cells.

Fig. S4. Experimental details of the second CRISPR screen.

- a. Pie charts show percentages of cells with zero, one or multiple gRNAs in the second CRISPR screen, with indication of the total number of cells passing filtering parameters at each timepoint.
- b. Number of cells per gene and per gRNA. Box plots showing the number of sequenced cells passing filter criteria per gene (left panel) and per gRNA (right panel) in CRISPR screen 2. Plots show a line at the median and box from Q1 to Q3, with whiskers ranging from minimum to maximum values.
- c. Violin plots displaying the number of detected transcripts in all single cells for each timepoint.
- d. Stacked bar plot displaying the number of cells per gRNA per target gene at day 3 of the experiment. NT controls are indicated in grey font.
- e. Normalized expression levels of the target genes in cells with the respective gRNA. Similar to the first single-cell CRISPR screen, all cells of the three timepoints were aggregated per perturbation to create ridge plots of the expression level of each target gene. On the top of the graph is indicated for which transcript the expression level is displayed, with the purple ridge highlighting the corresponding perturbation.
- f. Volcano plots of the E2F, MYC, NOTCH and STAT signatures for cells with perturbation of Notch1 (left) and Myc (right). Dotted lines are thresholds for significant (padj <0.05) differentially expressed (|log2Fc|>0.5) genes.
- g. Proliferation effects of *Dnm2* (upper panel), *Med12* (middle panel) and *Ikzf1* (lower panel) gRNAs. To confirm the effects observed in the CRISPR screens, individual proliferation assays were performed using two out of the five gRNAs per target gene and compared to two NT gRNAs. The cell density as number of BFP-positive cells (mean +/- SD, n = 3 replicates) was monitored over time. Data were analyzed by one-way ANOVA at day 22. P values were indicated with ***p < .001, **p < .01, *p < .05 or not significant (ns).</p>

(Upper panel: NT1_gRNA1 vs NT_gRNA5: p=0.8371, NT1_gRNA1 vs Dnm2_gRNA1: p=0.0018, NT1_gRNA1 vs Dnm2_gRNA4: p=0.0018, NT1_gRNA5 vs Dnm2_gRNA1: p=0.0007, NT1_gRNA5 vs Dnm2_gRNA4: p=0.0007, Dnm2_gRNA1 vs Dnm2_gRNA4: p>0.9999)

(Middle panel: NT1_gRNA1 vs NT1_gRNA5: p=0.8377, NT1_gRNA1 vs Med12_gRNA2: p=0.0037, NT1_gRNA1 vs Med12_gRNA4: p=0.0019, NT1_gRNA5 vs Med12_gRNA2:

p=0.0014, NT1_gRNA5 vs Med12_gRNA4: p=0.0008, Med12_gRNA2 vs Med12_gRNA4: p=0.9446)

(Lower panel: NT1_gRNA1 vs NT1_gRNA5: p=0.9165, NT1_gRNA1 vs lkzf1_gRNA3: p=0.2853, NT1_gRNA1 vs lkzf1_gRNA5: p=0.0143, NT1_gRNA5 vs lkzf1_gRNA3: p=0.1204, NT1_gRNA5 vs lkzf1_gRNA5: p=0.0063, lkzf1_gRNA3 vs lkzf1_gRNA5: p=0.2104)

h. Normalized expression level of *Ets1*. All cells of both CRISPR screens were aggregated per perturbation to create ridge plots of the normalized expression level of *Ets1*. The purple ridge highlights cells with *Spi1* gRNA.

Fig. S5. Violin plots displaying CRISPR scores from the DepMap database for all perturbations in all lymphoid cell lines.

Negative scores mean negative impact on cell proliferation, while genes with positive scores cause a proliferative advantage. Genes on x-axis were ordered based on proliferative effects in pro-T cells.

Fig. S6. Enrichment of SCENIC regulons per perturbation.

Stacked violin plot displaying the distribution of AUCell values for each regulon and each perturbation. AUCell values represent enrichment of each predicted regulon in each single cell. The color intensity is a measure for the median expression of each regulon in each perturbation.

Fig. S7. *Bcl11b* inactivation results in increased cell growth and upregulation of NF-kB.

- a. Cell densities of BFP-positive cells (mean +/- SD, n = 3 replicates) over time for pro-T cells with shRNAs targeting *Bcl11b* (left, p=0.0028), *Ctcf* (middle, p=0.0039) and *Smarca4* (right, p=0.0002), compared with a negative control shRNA (shCtrl). Data were compared at day 18 or 17 by two-sided unpaired Student t-test. P values were indicated with ***P < .001, **P < .01
- b. Volcano plot of differentially expressed genes based on bulk RNA-sequencing of cells with Bcl11b gRNA, compared to NT control cells. Lines are thresholds for significant (padj <0.05) upregulated and downregulated (|log2Fc|>1) genes, with indication of gene names for the top significant differentially expressed genes.
- c. Western blot of total P65 and phosho-P65 levels in cells with *Bcl11b* gRNA *vs* NT control cells (left panel) and quantification of their relative intensities normalized to loading control (right panel).

Fig. S8. Characteristics of T-ALL patient samples ranked according to *BCL11B* expression level.

Patient samples were ranked based on expression level of *BCL11B* and colored by ETP status (left), maturation stage (middle) or transcription factor (TF) subgroup (right). The top 20 patients with highest and lowest expression of *BCL11B* were selected for further analyses. Significant enrichment was observed of ETP (NES -2.03, FDR q<0.001) and pre-cortical (NES 2.33, FDR q<0.001) phenotypes in patients with lower *BCL11B* expression. (*ETP* = early *T*-cell precursor)

SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE LEGENDS

Table S1: List of gRNA sequences used in the first CRISPR screen.

Table S2: Differentially expressed genes in bulk RNA-seq data from cells with *Spi1* or *Bcl11b*inactivation, compared to NT cells.

Table S3: List of gRNA sequences used in the second CRISPR screen.

Figure S1.



Figure S2.



Feature

Figure S3.





Figure S4.



```
Figure S5.
```



Gene

Figure S6.



Perturbation

Figure S7.



Figure S8.

