Immunochemotherapy plus lenalidomide for high-risk mantle cell lymphoma with measurable residual disease evaluation

Zachary D. Epstein-Peterson, Esther Drill, Umut Aypar, Connie Lee Batlevi, Philip Caron, Ahmet Dogan,³ Pamela Drullinsky,¹ John Gerecitano,^{1°} Paul A. Hamlin,¹ Caleb Ho,^{3°} Allison Jacob, Ashlee Joseph, Leana Laraque, Matthew J. Matasar, Alison J. Moskowitz, Craig H. Moskowitz, 1° Chelsea Mullins, 4° Colette Owens, 1 Gilles Salles, 1 Heiko Schöder, 5 David J. Straus, 1 Anas Younes.1° Andrew D. Zelenetz1 and Anita Kumar1

¹Lymphoma Service, Division of Hematologic Malignancies, Department of Medicine, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY; ²Department of Biostatistics and Epidemiology, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY; 3Department of Pathology, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY; ⁴Adaptive Biotechnologies, Seattle, WA and ⁵Department of Radiology, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY, USA

°Current address JG: The Janssen Pharmaceutical Companies of Johnson & Johnson, Raritan,

°Current address CH: Loxo Oncology, Inc., Stamford, CT, USA

°Current address CM: Notch Therapeutics, Seattle, WA, USA

°Current address CHM: Department of Medicine, Division of Hematology, Sylvester Comprehensive Cancer Center, University of Miami Miller School of Medicine, Miami, FL, USA

°Current address AY: AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals, LP, Wilmington, DE, USA

Correspondence: A. Kumar kumara2@mskcc.org

February 7, 2023. Received: Accepted: August 21, 2023. Early view: August 31, 2023.

https://doi.org/10.3324/haematol.2023.282898

©2024 Ferrata Storti Foundation Published under a CC BY-NC license 🚾 🕦 🖫



Supplemental Table 1. High risk status vs *TP53* alteration.

| TP53 alteration | High | Risk [*] | Total | P value ¹ |
|--|-----------|-------------------|-----------|----------------------|
| 7753 afteration | N | Υ | Total | |
| | | | | 0.006 |
| Wildtype | 11 (73%) | 16 (59%) | 27 (64%) | |
| Deletion | 4 (27%) | 1 (3.7%) | 5 (12%) | |
| Mutation | 0 (0%) | 1 (3.7%) | 1 (2.4%) | |
| Mutation and deletion/loss of heterozygosity | 0 (0%) | 9 (33%) | 9 (21%) | |
| Total | 15 (100%) | 27 (100%) | 42 (100%) | |

^{*}All samples with bi-allelic inactivation of *TP53* are included in the high-risk group per protocol

N, no; Y, yes

¹Fisher's exact test

Supplemental Table 2. Progression-Free Survival According to MRD Status

| | Time point | | | | | | | |
|----------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Assay | Len-R- | -CHOP | R-HiDAC | | EoT | | 6 months post-EoT | |
| sensitivity | Positive/ total ¹ | HR (95% CI) ² |
| 1 x 10 ⁻⁵ | 12/37 (32%) | 1.56 (0.63, 3.87) | 0/35 (0%) | | 3/36 (8.3%) | 33.9 (5.45, 210) | 3/29 (10%) | 1.48 (0.32, 6.78) |
| 1 x 10 ⁻⁶ | 21/33 (64%) | 3.10 (1.12, 8.61) | 5/25 (20%) | 3.70 (1.22, 11.3) | 5/28 (18%) | 5.90 (1.85, 18.8) | 12/29 (41%) | 4.79 (1.74, 13.2) |

¹n/N (%) ²HR = Hazard Ratio

Supplemental Table 3. PET-MRD Concordance at 1E5 Sensitivity*

| Study time point | MRD status | PET R | esult | PET-MRD | Concordance | |
|------------------|--------------|---------|---------|---------|-------------|--|
| | WIRD Status | 5PS 1-3 | 5PS 4-5 | Pairs | | |
| Len-R-CHOP | Detectable | 9 | 3 | 37 | 68% | |
| | Undetectable | 22 | 3 | 31 | | |
| R-HiDAC | Detectable | 0 | 1 | 37 | 95% | |
| | Undetectable | 34 | 2 | 31 | | |
| R-lenalidomide | Detectable | 2 | 2 | 37 | 95% | |
| | Undetectable | 33 | 0 | 31 | 95% | |
| Overall | | | | 111 | 85% | |

^{*5}PS "X" scans were adjudicated according to the clinical impression: complete response 5PS 1-3, partial response or progression, 5PS 4-5

MRD, measurable residual disease; 1E-5, 1 x 10^{-5} ; 5PS, five-point scale

Supplemental Table 4. Grade ≥3 Non-hematologic toxicity (all grade 3).

| AE | CTCAE category | Timepoint |
|--|--|--------------|
| Cardiac disorders – other specify – Takotsubo cardiomyopathy | Cardiac disorders | Len RCHOP C4 |
| Constipation | Gastrointestinal disorders | Len RCHOP C2 |
| Edema limbs | General disorders and administration site conditions | Len Rmain C2 |
| Chest Pain | General disorders and administration site conditions | R-HiDAC C1 |
| Fatigue | General disorders and administration site conditions | R-HiDAC C1 |
| Lung infection | Infections and infestations | Len Rmain C4 |
| Skin infection | Infections and infestations | Len Rmain C3 |
| Sepsis | Infections and infestations | R-HiDAC C1 |
| Lung infection | Infections and infestations | Len Rmain C1 |
| Hyperglycemia | Metabolism and nutrition disorders | Len RCHOP C1 |
| Joint effusion | Musculoskeletal and connective tissue disorders | Len Rmain C2 |
| Right knee pain | Musculoskeletal and connective tissue disorders | R-HiDAC C1 |
| Polyarthralgia | Musculoskeletal and connective tissue disorders | Len Rmain C2 |
| Syncope | Nervous system disorders | Len RCHOP C3 |
| Rash maculo-papular | Skin and subcutaneous tissue disorders | Len Rmain C4 |
| Rash maculo-papular | Skin and subcutaneous tissue disorders | Len Rmain C2 |
| Rash | Skin and subcutaneous tissue disorders | Len RCHOP C2 |
| Hypertension | Vascular disorders | Len RCHOP C2 |
| PGOT elevation | | Len RCHOP |

Supplemental Table 5: OS by CR Status following len-R-CHOP (N = 47 evaluable patients)

| Characteristic | N | | 36-month OS from | Median OS from EOT | P ² |
|--|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|--------------------|----------------|
| | Overall ¹ | OS events ¹ | EOT (months) | (months) | - |
| CR status at post-len-R-CHOP | | | | | 0.065 |
| <cr< td=""><td>8</td><td>4</td><td>47% (21%, 100%)</td><td>22 (8.8, —)</td><td></td></cr<> | 8 | 4 | 47% (21%, 100%) | 22 (8.8, —) | |
| CR | 39 | 10 | 81% (70%, 95%) | — (—, —) | |

¹n

²Log-rank test

Supplemental Figure 1

CONSORT diagram. PD indicates progressive disease; CR, complete response; SD, stable disease; EoT, end-of-treatment

Figure 2. CONSORT diagram



