Long-term neuropsychological sequelae, emotional wellbeing and quality of life in patients with acquired thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura

Silvia Riva,1.2* Ilaria Mancini,3* Alberto Maino,1.4 Barbara Ferrari,1 Andrea Artoni,1 Pasquale Agosti3 and Flora Peyvandi1.3

¹Fondazione IRCCS Ca' Granda Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico, Angelo Bianchi Bonomi Hemophilia and Thrombosis Center, Milan, Italy; ²St Mary's University, Twickenham, London, UK; ³Università degli Studi di Milano, Department of Pathophysiology and Transplantation, and Fondazione Luigi Villa, Milan, Italy and ⁴Azienda Provinciale per i Servizi Sanitari, Internal Medicine Unit, Trento, Italy

*SR and IM contributed equally as co-first authors.

©2020 Ferrata Storti Foundation. This is an open-access paper. doi:10.3324/haematol.2019.226423

Received: May 17, 2019. Accepted: September 19, 2019. Pre-published: September 26, 2019.

Correspondence: FLORA PEYVANDI - flora.peyvandi@unimi.it

Long-term neuropsychological sequelae, emotional wellbeing and quality of life in patients with acquired thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura

Silvia Riva, Ilaria Mancini, Alberto Maino, Barbara Ferrari, Andrea Artoni, Pasquale Agosti, and Flora Peyvandi

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Table S1. Enrolment criteria.

	Patient characteristics			
Inclusion criteria	(a) diagnosis of acquired TTP* (b) 18 years of age or older at the time of enrolment (c) adequate Italian language for the purpose of a valid psychometric testing			
Exclusion criteria	(a) presence of severe neurological or psychiatric diseases before the onset of TTP			
	(b) drug or alcohol-abuse possibly impacting on quality of life and neuropsychological tests			

^{*} Acquired TTP was defined by at least one episode of thrombocytopenia and microangiopathic hemolytic anemia, with exclusion of alternative explanations, and by evidence of severe ADAMTS13 deficiency (i.e., < 10% activity) in at least one plasma sample collected during the acute episode or disease remission, and/or by normalization of ADAMTS13 activity levels in the remission phase. ADAMTS13 activity was measured using a modified FRETS-VWF73 or CBA assay.

 Table S2. Psychological measures.

Assessment	Goal	Test name	Administration	Scoring
Cognitive measure	Short memory, direct memory	Digit span ³	List of numbers that one has to repeat in correct order immediately after presentation.	Cognitive tests are standardized measures with population-based normative data that allow the examiner to evaluate a patient's performance with an appropriate group of reference (e.g., those of the same age group). Normative data are generally gathered on typically healthy subjects who are free from diagnosed cognitive dysfunctions, mental illness, disability or neurological disorders that could affect cognitive performance (all these variables cannot be controlled using a generic control group). Data are typically gathered on samples that reflect the broad demographic characteristics of the country of reference including factors such as age, and educational status. ⁶ Each subject's raw score on each test was converted to a standardized score based on normative data generated from the value of the normal population according to the subject's age and education level, as appropriate. Standardized scores of TTP patients were then compared with norm-referenced data from the Italian population. ⁷⁻⁹
	Short memory; backward memory	Digit span backward ³	List of numbers that one has to repeat in reverse order immediately after presentation.	
	Immediate memory; deferred memory	Word Rey List (direct and indirect) ⁴	Word-list memory task in which 15 unrelated words are presented orally over three consecutive learning trials: the participant is asked to recall as many words as possible, after each presentation (direct score) and after 15 minutes (recall score).	
	Sustained attention, focused attention	Trail Making Test A ⁵	Patient is instructed to connect a set of 25 dots of numbers as fast as possible while still maintaining accuracy.	
	Sustained attention, divided attention	Trail Making Test B ⁵	Patient is instructed to connect a set of numbers and letters as fast as possible while still maintaining accuracy.	
Emotional wellbeing	Anxiety	Hamilton Anxiety Rating Scale ¹⁰	Psychologist-driven interview consisting of 14 items, each defined by a series of symptoms, and measures both psychic anxiety (mental agitation and psychological distress) and somatic anxiety (physical complaints related to anxiety).	Each item is scored on a scale of 0 (not present) to 4 (severe), where ≤ 13 indicates absence of the disorder, 14-17 mild severity, 18-24 moderate severity and ≥ 25 severe anxiety. ¹⁰
	Depression	Hamilton Depression Rating Scale ¹¹	Psychologist-driven interview consisting of 17 items, each defined by a series of symptoms, and measures associated with depression (e.g. insomnia, hyperphagia).	The score varies from ≤ 7 (absence of depression), 8-17 (mild depression), 18-24 (medium depression) and ≥ 25 (severe depression). 11
Health-related quality of life (HrQoL)	HrQoL	SF-36 ^{12,13}	Self-report scale evaluating 8 dimensions: physical activity (PA), role physically (RP), bodily pain (BP), general health (GH), vitality (VI), social functioning (SF), role emotional (ER) and mental health (MH).	Each scale is directly transformed into a 0-100 scale on the assumption that each question carries equal weight (min-max: 0-100). To aid interpretation, norm-based scoring has been introduced for the SF-36, setting the general mean at 50 and the standard deviation (SD) at 10 for all scales, with higher scores indicating better health (i.e., a score of zero is equivalent to maximum disability and a score of 100 is equivalent to the best health status perceived). 14 Each subject's raw score on each test was converted to a standardized score based on normative data generated from the value of the normal population according to the subject's age and education level, as appropriate. 14, 15 Standardized scores of TTP patients were compared with norm referenced data from the Italian population. 15

References (Appendix)

- 1. Scully M. Hunt BJ, Benjamin S, et al. Guidelines on the diagnosis and management of thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura and other thrombotic microangiopathies. Br J Haematol. 2012;158(3):323-335.
- 2. Mancini I, Valsecchi C, Lotta LA, et al. FRETS-VWF73 rather than CBA assay reflects ADAMTS13 proteolytic activity in acquired thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura patients. Thromb Haemost. 2014;112(2):297-303.
- 3. Wechsler S. The Semantic Basis of Argument Structure. New York, NY: CSLI Publications (Center for the Study of Language and Information). 1995, Stanford, Cambridge University Press.
- 4. Rey A. L'Examen clinique en psycologie. (The Clinical Exam in Psychology), 1964, Paris: Presses Universitaires de France.
- 5. Tombaugh TN. Trail Making Test A and B: normative data stratified by age and education. Arch Clin Neuropsychol. 2004;19(2):203-214.
- 6. Committee on Psychological Testing, Including Validity Testing, for Social Security Administration Disability Determinations; Board on the Health of Select Populations; Institute of Medicine. Psychological Testing in the Service of Disability Determination. Washington (DC): National Academies Press (US). 2015 Jun 29. Chapter 5, Cognitive Tests and Performance Validity Tests. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK305230/
- 7. Mondini S, Mapelli D, Vestri A, Bisiacchi PS. Esame neuropsicologico breve. Milano: Raffaello Cortina Editore. 2003;160.
- 8. Carlesimo GA, Caltagirone C, Gainotti GU, Fadda L, Gallassi R, Lorusso S, Marfia G, Marra CA, Nocentini U, Parnetti L. The mental deterioration battery: normative data, diagnostic reliability and qualitative analyses of cognitive impairment. Eur Neurol.. 1996; 36(6):378-84.
- 9. Giovagnoli AR, Del Pesce M, Mascheroni S, Simoncelli M, Laiacona M, Capitani E. Trail making test: normative values from 287 normal adult controls. Ital J Neurol Sci. 1996 Aug 1;17(4):305-9.
- 10. Hamilton M. The assessment of anxiety states by rating. British Journal of Medical Psychology. 1959;32:50-55.
- 11. Hamilton M. A rating scale for depression. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 1960;23:56-62.
- 12. Ware Jr, JE, Sherbourne CD. The MOS 36-item short-form health survey (SF-36): I. Conceptual framework and item selection. Med care. 1992;30(6)473-483.
- 13. Obidoa CA, Reisine SL, Cherniack M. How Does the SF---36 Perform in Healthy Populations? A Structured Review of Longitudinal Studies. J. Soc. Behav. Health 2010;4(1):30-48.
- 14. http://lsi.marionegri.it/gdv/guestionari/sf36/sf36v1ita.htm, last access: 12-08-2019.
- 15. Apolone G, Mosconi P. The Italian SF-36 Health Survey: translation, validation and norming. J Clin Epidemiol. 1998;51(11):1025-1036.