# **PIEZO1** activation delays erythroid differentiation of normal and hereditary xerocytosis-derived human progenitor cells

Alexis Caulier,<sup>1,2\*</sup> Nicolas Jankovsky,<sup>1\*</sup> Yohann Demont,<sup>3</sup> Hakim Ouled-Haddou,<sup>1</sup> Julien Demagny,<sup>4</sup> Corinne Guitton,<sup>5</sup> Lavinia Merlusca,<sup>2</sup> Delphine Lebon,<sup>1,2</sup> Pascal Vong,<sup>1</sup> Aurélien Aubry,<sup>1</sup> Agnès Lahary,<sup>6</sup> Christian Rose,<sup>7</sup> Sandrine Gréaume,<sup>8</sup> Emilie Cardon,<sup>1</sup> Jessica Platon,<sup>1</sup> Halima Ouadid-Ahidouch,<sup>9</sup> Jacques Rochette,<sup>1,10</sup> Jean-Pierre Marolleau,<sup>1,2</sup> Véronique Picard<sup>11</sup> and Loïc Garçon<sup>1,4,10</sup>

<sup>1</sup>EA4666 HEMATIM, Université Picardie Jules Verne, Amiens; <sup>2</sup>Service des Maladies du Sang, CHU Amiens, Amiens; <sup>3</sup>Unité de Thérapie Cellulaire, CHU Amiens, Amiens; <sup>4</sup>Service d'Hématologie Biologique, CHU Amiens; <sup>5</sup>Service de Pédiatrie Générale, CHU Bicêtre, AP-HP, Le Kremlin-Bicêtre; <sup>6</sup>Laboratoire d'Hématologie, CHU Rouen, Rouen; <sup>7</sup>Service d'Oncologie et d'Hématologie, Hôpital Saint Vincent de Paul, Lille; <sup>8</sup>Etablissement Français du Sang (EFS) de Normandie, Bois-Guillaume; <sup>9</sup>EA4667 Laboratoire de Physiologie Cellulaire et Moléculaire, Université Picardie Jules Verne, Amiens; <sup>10</sup>Laboratoire de Génétique Moléculaire, CHU Amiens, Amiens and <sup>11</sup>Laboratoire d'Hématologie, AP-HP, Le Kremlin-Bicêtre, France

\*AC and NJ contributed equally to this work.

©2020 Ferrata Storti Foundation. This is an open-access paper. doi:10.3324/haematol.2019.218503

Received: February 11, 2019. Accepted: August 9, 2019. Pre-published: August 14, 2019. Correspondence: *LOÏC GARÇON* - garcon.loic@chu-amiens.fr

#### **Supplemental methods**

#### In vitro primary erythroid cell culture protocol

In vitro erythroid differentiation was driven in IMDM-based medium (Biochrom, Merck-Millipore) containing 5% human plasma (gift from Etablissement Français du Sang, EFS), 10  $\mu$ g/mL human recombinant insulin (Sigma-Aldrich), 250  $\mu$ g/mL human holo-transferrin (Sigma-Aldrich), 1% penicillin-streptomycin (PAN<sup>TM</sup>-Biotech), 2U/mL unfractionated heparin, as described by Giarratana et al.<sup>1</sup> Maturation was promoted following sequential cytokine stimulation: recombinant erythropoietin (EPO, Epoetin beta 3U/mL), human stem cell factor (hSCF 100 ng/mL, Miltenyi), recombinant human interleukin-3 (rhIL-3, Miltenyi), and dexamethasone (DXM 10<sup>-6</sup>M, Sigma-Aldrich) from day 0 to 7. DXM and rhIL-3 were removed from day 7, and EPO was maintained alone till the end of the culture. For synchronized cultures, cells were cultured in the same medium without EPO until CD36<sup>+</sup>/GPA<sup>-</sup> cell sorting on FACS ARIA II (BD Biosciences) at day 7 as previously described (Suppl. Fig. 2B).<sup>2</sup> Cells were plated at 10<sup>5</sup>/mL at day 0, 4 and 7, 2.5x10<sup>5</sup>/mL at day 10, 5x10<sup>5</sup>/mL at day 13, and >10<sup>6</sup>/mL at day 17. Erythroid differentiation was followed using flow cytometry and cytology after May-Grünwald-Giemsa staining using standard procedure. A differential count of 100 erythroblasts was performed using a LEICA microscope.

#### Multiparametric Flow-Cytometry (MFC) analysis of antigen expression and cell sorting

For flow cytometry,  $10^5$  cells were stained in 200µL 1X PBS with antibodies listed in Suppl. Table 1. 7AAD staining was used to gate live cells. For PIEZO1 staining,  $2x10^5$  cells were first stained in ice for 1h with 1/100 dilution of rabbit Anti-PIEZO1 antibody (15939-AP, Proteintech®) in 1X PBS, 3% BSA, 2mM EDTA. After washing, secondary staining was performed in ice for 1h using 1/200 dilution of IgG goat anti-rabbit (H+L) Alexa Fluor 647-labelled antibody (Thermo Fisher scientific) in the same buffer. Acquisition was performed on a MacsQuant 8-colours flow cytometer (Miltenyi). Data analysis was performed using FlowJo (FlowJo v10, TreeStar Inc.). For synchronized experiments, CD36<sup>+</sup>/GPA<sup>-</sup> cells were sorted on FacsAriaII device (BD Biosciences). For cell cycle analysis,  $10^6$  cells were centrifuged at 300g for 5 min, and resuspended in 1mL cold 70% ethanol and 1mL 1X PBS-1% glucose for overnight fixation at 4°C. After 1 wash in 1mL 1X PBS-1% glucose, cell permeabilization was made in 0.1mL 1X PBS + 0.05% Tween-20 containing 1µg/mL DAPI for 30 min in darkness, at room temperature. Cells were then washed in 1X PBS and resuspended in 0.1mL 1X PBS + 1µg/mL DAPI.

#### Live imaging flow cytometry (IFC)

Intracellular calcium concentration was evaluated by live IFC using an ImageStream<sup>®</sup> Mark II (Amnis/EMD Millipore).  $2x10^6$  cells were stained with 2µM Fluo4-AM probe (Thermo Fisher scientific) in 1mL serum-free α-MEM for 30min at 37°C, then washed 2 times in 1X PBS, and resuspended in 50µL calcium-containing or calcium-free 1X PBS. Calcium measurement was performed in Ca<sup>2+</sup>-containing and Ca<sup>2+</sup>-free 1X PBS as sheath liquid. For NFAT nuclear translocation assessment, UT7/EPO cells underwent overnight EPO and serum starvation in α-MEM. After 1X PBS washing,  $2x10^6$  cells were resuspended in 1mL serum-free α-MEM, and

exposed to 10 $\mu$ M YODA1 or DMSO for 15min at 37°C. Then cells underwent fixation and permeabilization using eBiosciences<sup>TM</sup> FoxP3 / Transcription Factor Fixation/Permeabilization kit (Thermo Fisher scientific), according to manufacturer's instructions. Cells were then labeled for 1h on ice with NFATc1-PE antibody and 1 $\mu$ g/ml DAPI staining in permeabilization buffer, washed in 1X PBS and resuspended in 50 $\mu$ L 1X PBS before ImageStream®<sup>X</sup> run. Nuclear translocation was evaluated by calculating the similarity score value of NFATc1 and DAPI fluorescent signals, using the Amnis IDEAS® software as previously published.<sup>3</sup>

### Western blot analysis

Proteins were separated on a 15% Polyacrylamide gel in Tris-Glycine buffer (Thermo Fisher scientific) and transferred to Nitrocellulose membranes (Sigma-Aldrich). Membranes were blocked for 1h with 5% (w/v) non-fat dry milk TBS-Tween 0.1% buffer. Membranes were incubated with the primary antibody solution overnight at 4°C in 5% (w/v) non-fat dry milk TBS-Tween 0.1% for non-phospho antibody or 5% (w/v) BSA TBS-Tween 0.1% for phospho antibody, and horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody was incubated for 1h at room temperature. After extensive wash, blots were visualized with chemoluminescent reagents (Super Signal Pico, ThermoFisher Scientific) in ChemiDoc Universal HoodII device (Bio-rad). Antibodies are listed in Suppl. Table 3.

#### Confocal immunofluorescence imaging

For Confocal immunofluorescence imaging, a minimum of  $2x10^5$  cells were suspended in a PBS 1X (Dutcher), 3% Bovine Serine Albumine (Sigma-Aldrich), 2mM EDTA (Sigma-Aldrich) staining buffer. Cells were stained with either a PIEZO1 Rabbit antibody or a Rabbit Isotype control antibody (details of antibodies specification are described in Suppl. Table 3) 1h on ice. Cells were then washed and stained with Goat anti-Rabbit (H+L) Alexa Fluor-647 conjugated antibody before being Cytospun at 400rpm during 4mn on Cytospin3 (Shandon). Images were acquired directly on LSM780 (Zeiss).

## Quantitative reverse transcriptase–polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR)

RNA isolation was performed using mini or microRNA Qiagen kit. Gene expression was assessed by RT-qPCR, using SYBR® Green or TaqMan<sup>TM</sup> technology on QuantStudio7 device (Applied Biosystem). Relative expression levels were calculated by normalization to *HPRT* or *GAPDH* expression. Primers were designed using online PrimerBlast tool, and sequences are detailed in Suppl. Table 4.

## Reagents

YODA1, Bapta-AM, Thapsigargin, EGTA, Ionomycin and UO126 were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Senicapoc was purchased from MedChemExpress. Α







Caulier A. et al.

D



Isotype controlUT7-EPOSh-SCRSh-PIEZO1PIEZO1DAPI<br/>PIEZO1DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>PIEZO1DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI<br/>DAPI

F









Caulier A. et al.



Caulier A. et al.



Caulier A. et al.

A- Erythroid differentiation from sorted control CD34<sup>+</sup> cells, CD71/GPA expression at d10



B- Erythroid differentiation from sorted HX CD34<sup>+</sup> cells, CD71/GPA expression at d10



Strong delay in erythroid differentiation

Caulier A. et al.



### A- Erythroid differentiation from MNC, controls; CD71/GPA expression at d10

## B- Erythroid differentiation from HX MNC cells, CD71/GPA expression at d10



Strong delay in erythroid differentiation

Caulier A. et al.



#### Legends to supplemental figures

## Supplemental figure 1. Description of UT7 cell lines.

(A) UT7/GM cell line was cultured in GMCSF-containing medium and acquired GPA expression when exposed to EPO. UT7/EPO cell line was cultured in EPO-containing medium and highly expressed GPA at steady state. 5µM YODA1 during 72 hours was used to activate PIEZO1.

(B) In UT7/GM cells, 5U/mL EPO induced GPA expression in comparison to 5ng/mL GMCSF ( $56\pm3\% vs. 14\pm1.5\%$ , p<.001).

(C) Representative MFC histograms of GPA expression in UT7/GM cells, in medium containing either GMCSF (left) or EPO (right).

## Supplemental figure 2. Erythroid differentiating culture of human primary CD34<sup>+</sup> derived cells.

(A) Non-synchronized *in vitro* erythroid primary cell differentiation. After magnetic sorting,  $CD34^+$  cells were cultured for 21 days in medium containing sequential cytokines. 1µM YODA1 was added from d3 to d21.

(B) Synchronized *in vitro* differentiation of primary cells.<sup>2</sup> After magnetic sorting, CD34<sup>+</sup> cells were cultured without EPO for 7 days, CD36<sup>+</sup>/GPA<sup>-</sup> cells were sorted and plated in EPO-containing medium until day 21. 1 $\mu$ M YODA1 was added either at d7, d10, or d13 to evaluate the delay in erythroid differentiation assessed by the enucleation rate at d21.

#### Supplemental figure 3. Effect of YODA1 on viability and phenotype in UT7 cell line.

Experiments A and B were performed in duplicate; C, D and E in triplicate; \*\*\* p<.001; \*\*p<.01; \*p<.05

(A) Increased concentrations of YODA1 from 1 to  $10\mu$ M were tested in UT7/GM cells. YODA1-mediated GPA repression was dose dependent but reached its maximum at  $5\mu$ M. The  $10\mu$ M dose was associated with a high mortality rate assessed by trypan blue staining. We chose  $5\mu$ M for further experiments in UT7 cells (black arrow).

(B) Same experiment was performed in UT7/EPO cells. The YODA1-mediated GPA repression reached a maximum at  $5\mu$ M with no significant mortality. We selected this dose (black arrow).

(C) In UT7/GM cells,  $5\mu$ M YODA1 did not increase mortality compared to DMSO under GMCSF condition, as assessed by trypan blue staining.

(D) In UT7/GM cells,  $5\mu$ M YODA1 did not induce significant apoptosis after 24h exposure (3±1.7% with YODA1 *vs*. 4±1.6% with DMSO, p=*NS*), as assessed by Annexin V staining.

(E) Representative MFC histogram plots showing the decrease in GPA expression due to YODA1 exposure in UT7/EPO cells.

## Supplemental figure 4. Effect of lentiviral Sh-PIEZO1.

Experiment D was performed in duplicate. All other experiments were performed in triplicate; \*\*\* p<.001 ; \*\*p<.01 ; \*p<.05

(A) Mean *PIEZO1* mRNA expression assessed by RT-qPCR, relative to *GAPDH*, in UT7/EPO cells expressing Sh-scramble (SCR) or Sh-PIEZO1 (63% reduction, p<.001).

(B) PIEZO1 expression was quantified at protein level on cell membrane in Sh-SCR and Sh-PIEZO1-transduced UT7/EPO cells using MFC, as described in Methods section. We observed a 45% reduction in the mean fluorescence intensity level (n=3, p<.05) after PIEZO1 knockdown.

(C) *PIEZO1* expression in UT7/EPO transduced with Sh-SCR or Sh-PIEZO1 assessed by Western blot. We confirmed the MFC data, showing a 49% reduction after Sh-PIEZO1-transduction (n=3, p<.01).

(D) Representative confocal immunofluorescence images of UT7-EPO stained with polyclonal rabbit Isotype control antibody (red; a) or polyclonal rabbit Piezo1 (red; b, c and d) and DAPI (blue). UT7/EPO were either untransfected (a and b), transfected by Sh-SCR (c) or Sh-PIEZO1 (d). The data confirmed the efficiency of the PIEZO1 knockdown.

(E) GPA expression was assessed by MFC in UT7/EPO transfected with Sh-SCR (upper) or Sh-PIEZO1 (lower) after a 72h stimulation with DMSO (left) or  $5\mu$ M YODA1 (right). YODA1 induced a decrease in GPA expression in Sh-SCR (a). In Sh-PIEZO1-expressing cells (b), this phenotype was totally abolished in GFP<sup>high</sup> cells (red line) (Mean % of GPA<sup>+</sup> cells:  $95\pm1 vs$ .  $93\pm2$ , p=NS) whereas the decrease was partial in cells expressing GFP at an intermediate level (blue line) ( $92\pm1 vs$ .  $82\pm2$ , p<.001), showing that the phenotype depended on Sh-PIEZO1 expression level.

(F) As expected, YODA1 decreased the proliferation rate in Sh-SCR expressing UT7/EPO after a 72h exposure. In Sh-PIEZO1 expressing cells, no variation in proliferation rate was observed under YODA1 treatment but Sh-PIEZO1 alone decreased proliferation compared to Sh-SCR.

**Supplemental figure 5. Effect of YODA1 stimulation on viability in primary erythroid cells.** Experiment A was performed in duplicate, n=3 in all other experiments; \*\*\*p<.001

(A) YODA1 exposure reduced cell proliferation of  $CD34^+$ -derived erythroid progenitors in a dose-dependent manner. A markedly increased cell mortality was observed from 5µM. 1µM was the selected dose for further experiments in primary cells.

(B) 1 $\mu$ M YODA1 induced a 3.2 fold reduction in cell expansion of CD34<sup>+</sup>-derived erythroid progenitors (p<.001). Cells were cultured for 21 days and YODA1 was added from day 3 to 21. To overcome the heterogeneity of CD34<sup>+</sup> cells amplification between donors, fold change was calculated relative to DMSO from the same CD34<sup>+</sup> cell source for each experiment.

(C) In CD34<sup>+</sup>-derived erythroid cells, 1µM YODA1 did not increase mortality at day 17 (live cells =  $88\pm7\%$ ) comparative to DMSO ( $85\pm3\%$ , p=*NS*), as assessed by trypan blue staining.

(D) In CD34<sup>+</sup>-derived erythroid cells, no difference in apoptosis rate was observed between cells exposed to 1 $\mu$ M YODA1 (4.5 $\pm$ 3%) or DMSO (2.4 $\pm$ 1%, p=NS), as assessed by Annexin V staining in MFC. Experiments were carried out at day 10, after a 24h drug exposure.

## Supplemental figure 6. Time-dependent effect of Piezo1 chemical activation on erythroid differentiation of human primary CD34<sup>+</sup> derived cells.

n=3 in all experiments; \*\*p<.01 ; \*p<.05

(A) Synchronized primary cells were exposed to YODA1 from day 7, day 10 or day 13, as shown in Suppl. Fig. 2B. The relative YODA1/DMSO enucleation rate was assessed at day 21 by MFC after Hoechst staining. Compared to DMSO, PIEZO1 activation using 1 $\mu$ M YODA1 from day 7 and 10 significantly reduced the enucleation rate (0.50±0.11% and 0.62±0.09% respectively, p<.01) whereas YODA1 added from day 13 did not (0.86±0.16%, p=NS).

(B) Absolute percentage of enucleation assessed by MFC after Hoechst staining. A reduced enucleation rate was observed after YODA1 stimulation from day 7 ( $20\pm8\%$ , p<.05) or day 10 ( $25\pm10\%$ , p<.01) but not from day 13 ( $35\pm15\%$ , p=NS) compared to DMSO ( $39\pm10\%$ ).

(C) Cytological analysis after MGG staining at day 21 confirmed the relative decrease in enucleation rate when PIEZO1 was activated from day 7 (reticulocyte ratio YODA1/DMSO=

 $0.35\pm0.12$ , p<.05) and day 10 (0.42±0.26, p<.05). Slighter decrease was observed after YODA1 stimulation from 13 (0.74±0.1, p<.05).

(D) Absolute percentage of enuclated cells evaluated by cytological analysis, showing a decrease after 1µM YODA1 stimulation from day 7 (18 $\pm$ 12%, p<.05), day 10 (23 $\pm$ 20%, p<.05) and a slighter decrease from day 13 (37 $\pm$ 17%, p<.05) compared to DMSO (48 $\pm$ 18%).

## Supplemental figure 7. YODA1 effects on signaling pathways in UT7 cell line and human primary cells.

n=3 for B,C,D,E and n=4 for A. \*\*\*p<.001 ; \*\*p<.01 ; \*p<.05

(A) 10 $\mu$ M YODA1 increased NFAT nuclear translocation, as shown by the significant increase in similarity score value (SS= 2.2 $\pm$ 0.3 *vs* 1.7 $\pm$ 0.4, p<.05). 1 $\mu$ M Ionomycin was used as positive control.

(B) In UT7/GM cells transduced with empty MigR, 5U/mL EPO induced GPA expression (70±2%) compared to DMSO ( $32\pm6\%$ , p<.001). This effect was blocked with 5µM YODA1 ( $3\pm1\%$ , p<.001). UT7/GM cells transduced with the dominant-negative MEK retrovirus (MEK-DN) exhibited higher GPA expression under GMCSF ( $86\pm6\%$ , p<.001) and blocked YODA1 effect on GPA expression ( $71\pm12\%$ , p=NS).

(C) Western blot showing ERK1/2 activation in CD34<sup>+</sup>-derived erythroid cells at day 10 of culture. 5U/mL EPO induced ERK1/2 phosphorylation (fold increase in the P-ERK/ERK ratio:  $8.1\pm1.2$ , p<.05) that was enhanced after co-stimulation with 2µM YODA1 (12.3±2.1, p<.05). 2µM YODA1 alone didn't phosphorylate ERK1/2 pathway (1.1±1, p=NS). These results confirmed the PhosphoFlow experiments.

(D) Western blot showing STAT5 phosphorylation in CD34<sup>+</sup>-derived erythroid cells at day 10 of culture. 5U/mL EPO induced a strong STAT5 phosphorylation (fold increase in the P-STAT5/STAT5 ratio: 24.6 $\pm$ 6.7, p<.05) that was stronger in the presence of 2µM YODA1 (33.1 $\pm$ 6.3, p<.05). No STAT5 phosphorylation was observed with 2µM YODA1 stimulation in the absence of EPO (1.1 $\pm$ 0.4, p=NS).

(E) In UT7/GM cells, 5ng/mL GMCSF induced STAT5 phosphorylation (fold increase in the P-STAT5/STAT5 ratio:  $30.9\pm8.9$ , p<.001), much stronger than 10U/mL EPO ( $2.5\pm0.4$ , p<.001), whereas  $5\mu$ M YODA1 alone did not ( $x0.93\pm0.12$ , p=NS). Experiments were carried out after serum and cytokine starvation for 6h. Stimulation was performed for 15min before fixation.

## Supplemental figure 8. *In vitro* erythroid differentiation from normal and HX-sorted CD34<sup>+</sup> cells: dot plots showing CD71/GPA expression at day 10.

(A) CD34<sup>+</sup> cells from mobilized peripheral blood mononuclear cells (n=5) were cultured as described in Methods and in Suppl. Fig. 2A, CD71/GPA staining was performed at day 10.

(B) Same MFC dot plots at day 10 obtained from erythroid differentiation of sorted CD34<sup>+</sup> cells from HX patients. CD34<sup>+</sup> cells were collected from phlebotomy bags.

## Supplemental figure 9. *In vitro* erythroid differentiation from normal and HX-derived mononuclear cells: dot plots showing CD71/GPA expression at day 10.

(A) MNC differentiation from healthy donors (n=9). Except one (diff. 8), all differentiations showed a homogeneous CD71/GPA expression pattern with a majority of CD71<sup>+</sup>/GPA<sup>high</sup> mature cells.

(B) MFC dot plots at day 10 from erythroid differentiation of MNC from HX patients.

## Supplemental figure 10. Kinetics of erythroid differentiation beyond day 10 in HX and control patients.

Cytological count of Pro-Erythroblast (ProE), Erythroblast Basophilic (Ebaso), Erythroblast Polychromatic (Epoly), Erythroblast Orthochromatic (Eortho) and enucleated cells (Enucl) of MNC erythroid differentiation at day 20-21/ day 24-25. Erythroid differentiation wasn't blocked since HX-derived progenitors could still enucleate, but in heterogeneous proportions according to the underlying mutations. No time points after d25 were performed so whether HX#7 or #5 reached total enucleation rate later is not known.

## Supplemental tables

Sh-PIEZO1 clone	Clone ID	Targeted sequence	Position
#1	TRCN0000121969	CTCACCAAGAAGTACAATCAT	Exon #43
#2	TRCN0000141308	CCCTCTGCATTGATTATCCCT	Exon #22-#23
#3	TRCN0000142281	GCACTCCATTATGTTCGAGGA	Exon #51
#4	TRCN0000142459	GAAGACCACATCAGGTGGAA	Exon #39

Supplemental table 1. Location and targeted sequences and of the 4 Sh-RNA anti PIEZO1. Sequences were inserted in pLKO.1-CMV-tGFP vector.

Target molecule	Host species	Clone	Dilution	Isotype	Conjugated	Source
						Miltenyi
CD117	Mouse	A3C6E2	1:100	IgG1ĸ	PE-Vio770	Biotec
CD71	Манаа	A C102	1.200	I-Class	DE	Miltenyi
	Mouse	AC102	1.500		re ADC	Diotec
GPA (CD235a)	Recombinant Human	REAT75	1:100	IgG1	APC	Miltenyi Biotec
						Miltenyi
CD36	Mouse	AC106	1:100	IgG2aк	FITC	Biotec
						BD
CD34	Mouse	8G12	1:40	IgG1ĸ	APC	Biosciences
						Beckman
CD45	Mouse	J33	1:40	IgG1	PC7	Coulter
						Beckman
CD123	Mouse	SSDCLY107D2	1:40	IgG1	Pacific Blue	Coulter
P-ERK1/2	Mouse	20A	1:5	IgG1ĸ	Alexa Fluor	BD
(Thr202/Tyr204)					488	Biosciences
					Alexa Fluor 647	
P-STAT5		47/stat5			Alexa Fluor	BD
(Tyr694)	Mouse	(pY694)	1:5	IgG1ĸ	647	Biosciences
PIEZO1	Rabbit	Polyclonal	1:100	IgG	Unconjugated	Proteintech
Isotype Control	Rabbit	Polyclonal	1:500	IgG	Unconjugated	Abcam
NFATc1	Mouse	7A6	1:5	IgG1	PE	Santa Cruz Biotechnology
Rabbit IgG	Goat	Polyclonal	1:200	N/A	Alexa Fluor 647	ThermoFisher Scientific

Supplemental table 2. Characteristics and references of antibodies used for flow cytometry and confocal imaging.

Target molecule	Host spieces	Clone	Dilution	Isotype	Conjugated	Source
ERK1/2	Rabbit	137F5	1:1000	IgG	Unconjugated	Cell Signaling
P-ERK1/2 (Thr202/Tyr204)	Rabbit	D13.14.4E	1:1000	IgG	Unconjugated	Cell Signaling
STAT5	Mouse	89/STAT5	1:1000	IgG	Unconjugated	BD Biosciences
P-STAT5 (Tyr694)	Rabbit	Polyclonal	1:1000	IgG	Unconjugated	Cell Signaling
Rabbit IgG	Goat	Polyclonal	1:2000	IgG	HRP	Sigma- Aldrich
Mouse IgG	Goat	Polyclonal	1:2000	IgG	HRP	Sigma- Aldrich

Supplemental table 3. Characteristics and references of antibodies used for Western blot.

## Gene Orientation Sequence

GAPDH	Forward	5'- TCGGAGTCAACGGATTTGGTCG -3'
	Reverse	5'- AGGGCATCCTGGGCTACACTGA -3'
HPRT	Forward	5'- TGTAATCCAGCAGGTCAGCA -3'
	Reverse	5'- ACCCTTTCCAAATCCTCAGC -3'
Piezo1	Forward	5'- CATCTTGGTGGTCTCCTCTGTCT -3'
	Reverse	5'- GATGAGAGGGATGTGGATGCCAG -3'
GPA	Forward	5'- GGGGTGATGGCTGTTAT -3'
	Reverse	5'- CCTCACCTGACACAGACGTG -3'
GATA1	Forward	5'- AGCAGCTTCCTCCACTGCTG -3'
	Reverse	5'- CCTCACCTGACACAGACGTG -3'
GATA2	Forward	5'- TACAGCAGCGGACTCTTCC -3'
	Reverse	5'- CTCGTTCCTGTTCAGAAGGC -3'
Hbα	Forward	5'- GGTCAACTTCAAGCTCCTAAGCC -3'
	Reverse	5'- AAGAAGCATGGCCACCGAGG -3'
Hbβ	Forward	5'- CAACGTGCTGGTCTGTGTG -3'
	Reverse	5'- CACTAAGCTCGCTTTCTTGCTG -3'
Hbγ	Forward	5'- TCCTTGGGAGATGCCACAAA -3'
	Reverse	5'- GTGACCGTTTTGGCAATCCAT -3'
BMI-1	Forward	5'- CCACCTGATGTGTGTGTGCTTTG -3'
	Reverse	5'- ACAAGACCAGACCACTACTGAA -3'
STAT5A	Forward	5'- CTGGACTTTTCTGAAGGGGCT -3'
	Reverse	5'- AGAATAGCCGGGGGGAATGTG -3'
EPO-R	Forward	5'- ATCCTGACGCTCTCCCTCAT-3'
	Reverse	5'- AGAGCGAGTTTGAAGGCCTC -3'
ALAS2	Forward	5'-AGGATGTGTCCGTCTGGTGTA-3'
	Reverse	5'- TCTCAGGCACCAGTAAGTTTCA -3'
SLC4A1	Forward	5'- GGGCTCAGATCACCGTAGAC -3'
	Reverse	5'- GTAGTCTGTGGCTGTTGCCT -3'
AHSP	Forward	5'- CTGACACTTGACTCCTTGCC -3'
	Reverse	5'- AGAGCCATCTTCAGGTCTAACAG -3'

Supplemental table 4. List of primers used for RT-qPCR.

#### **Supplemental references**

- 1. Giarratana M-C, Rouard H, Dumont A, et al. Proof of principle for transfusion of in vitrogenerated red blood cells. Blood 2011;118(19):5071–5079.
- 2. Gautier E-F, Ducamp S, Leduc M, et al. Comprehensive Proteomic Analysis of Human Erythropoiesis. Cell Rep 2016;16(5):1470–1484.
- Maguire O, Tornatore KM, O'Loughlin KL, Venuto RC, Minderman H. Nuclear translocation of nuclear factor of activated T cells (NFAT) as a quantitative pharmacodynamic parameter for tacrolimus. Cytometry A 2013;83(12):1096–1104.