# Inhibition of protein disulfide isomerase induces differentiation of acute myeloid leukemia cells 
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## Supplementary data

## Supplementary Materials and Methods

SK053 and its analogues. Chemical structures of SK053 and its analogs (Supplementary Fig. S6A-C) were created using Marvin Sketch software ver. 15.9.28, Chem Axon Ltd, Cambridge, MA, USA. Details of chemical synthesis of SK053 are described elsewhere. ${ }^{1}$

AML cell lines. KG1 (ATCC-CCL-246), HL-60 (ATCC-CCL-240), HeLa (ATCC-CCL-2) and HS-5 (ATCC-CRL-11882) were purchased from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, LGC Standards, Teddington, UK). NB4 (ACC 207) cell line was purchased from German Collection of Microorganisms and Cell Cultures (DSMZ, Braunschweig, Germany). MOLM14 cell line was kindly provided by Prof. Przemyslaw Juszczynski (Department of Experimental Hematology, Institute of Hematology and Transfusion Medicine, Warsaw, Poland).

AML cell lines culture conditions. NB4, MOLM14, HS-5 and HL-60 cells were cultured in RPMI 1640 (Sigma-Aldrich) supplemented with $10 \%$ heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (Hyclone), $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ streptomycin, $100 \mathrm{U} / \mathrm{ml}$ penicillin (Sigma-Aldrich). KG1 cells were cultured in IMDM (Gibco) supplemented with $20 \%$ heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (Hyclone) and antibiotics as stated above. HeLa cells were cultured in DMEM (Gibco) supplemented with $10 \%$ heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (Hyclone) and antibiotics as stated above. All cells were cultured at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a fully humidified atmosphere of $5 \% \mathrm{CO}$. In all experiments, cells were incubated with SK053, all-trans retinoic acid (ATRA; SigmaAldrich) or phorbol esters (PMA; Sigma-Aldrich) as positive control for differentiation and $0.1 \%$ dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO; Sigma-Aldrich) as solvent control. Medium with drugs was replaced every third day of incubation time. Compounds stocked dissolved in DMSO were stored at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until used.

Cell viability and growth assessment. For trypan blue exclusion assay cells were plated in triplicates at $2 \times 10^{5}$ cells per well in 12-well culture plates in 1 ml of culture medium with indicated SK053 concentrations. Cell numbers and viability were estimated with trypan blue (Sigma-Aldrich) exclusion assay, by counting cells from each well in duplicates in Bürker chamber (Heinz Herenz Medizinalbedarf, Hamburg, Germany). Percentage of dead cells was also evaluated in flow cytometry with propidium iodide (PI; $2 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$, Sigma-Aldrich) using LSR II Fortessa cytometer (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA) and BD FACSDiva software version 8 (BD Biosciences). For trypan blue exclusion assay (Fig. 4F, Supplementary Fig. S6C,D) cells were plated in duplicates at $2 \times 10^{5}$ cells per well in 12-well culture plates in 1 ml of culture medium with indicated SK053 concentrations. Cell numbers and viability were estimated with trypan blue (Bio-Rad) exclusion assay, by counting cells from each well in duplicates in TC20 Automated Cell Counter (Bio-Rad).

AML differentiation assays. For differentiation experiments, cells were seeded at a density of $2 \times 10^{5}$ cells $/ \mathrm{ml}$ in 6 -well plate and treated with indicated concentrations of SK053. In some experiments $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ATRA, $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ATRA or $50 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$ PMA served as positive differentiation controls for APL (HL-60, NB4), MOLM14 and KG1 cell lines, respectively. To examine cellular morphology, cells were cytospun using Cytospin4 centrifuge (Thermo Fisher Scientific) at $100 \times \mathrm{g}$ for 10 min at RT, stained with May Grünwald-Giemsa (MGG; Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany) and observed using Eclipse 80i (Nikon) microscope equipped with QICAM Fast 1394 camera (Qlmaging, Surrey, BC, Canada and Image Pro Plus
software version 7.0 (Media Cybernetics, Rockville, MD, USA). Myeloid differentiation was assessed by surface expression of CD11b. Briefly, $3 \times 10^{5}$ cells were collected, washed with PBS and incubated for 30 min at RT with anti-human anti-CD11b-Alexa Fluor488 antibodies, cat. no. 11-0118-42 (eBioscience, Thermo Fisher Scientific) diluted in $2 \%$ bovine serum albumin (BSA; Sigma-Aldrich) followed by PBS wash and viability staining with 7AAD (eBioscience) according to manufacturer's protocol. Fluorescence intensity was evaluated using FACSCanto II flow cytometer (BD Biosciences) and analyzed with FlowJo software version 10.2 (FlowJo LLC). Conventional semi-quantitative microscopic nitroblue tetrazolium (NBT; Sigma-Aldrich) assay was used to determine the production of superoxide anion upon stimulation with PMA in differentiated AML cells. To this end, $1 \times 10^{6}$ of cells were collected, washed with PBS and suspended in $100 \mu \mathrm{RPMI} 1640$ and mixed with an equal volume of 2 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ NBT dissolved in PBS containing $2 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ PMA and incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . Microscopic observation revealed cells containing blue NBT formazan deposits in MGG stained slides. Moreover, modified quantitative NBT assay was established and implemented by dissolving the blue formazan particles from equal cell numbers in $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of 2 M sodium hydroxide, followed by measurement of absorbance at 620 nm using ASYS UVM 340 microplate reader (Biochrom, Cambridge, UK).

HS5 and HL-60 co-culture. Cytostatic/cytotoxic effects of SK053 on HL-60 cells in coculture with stroma cell line HS5 was performed using a transwell system (Falcon; cat. No. 7340038, Thermo Fisher Scientific). HS5 cells were seeded at a density $5 \times 10^{4} /$ well on 24 -well plate in 0.5 ml medium into the lower compartment of transwell. Next, $3 \times 10^{4}$ of $\mathrm{HL}-60$ cells were seeded into the upper compartment. Medium with SK053 was changed every day. Upon 72 h incubation with SK053, the cytostatic/cytotoxic effects were assessed using propidium iodide staining (final concentration $2.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$; Sigma-Aldrich). Red fluorescence was analyzed in LSR Fortessa flow cytometer (BD Biosciences) and FlowJo software version 10.2 (FlowJo LLC, Ashland, OR, USA) and BD FACSDiva software version 8 (BD Biosciences).

LICs assessment. Surface expression of CD34, CD38 and CD123 markers was used to evaluate the percentage of leukemia initiating cells (LICs) in KG1 cell line incubated for the indicated times with SK053. For the analysis $5 \times 10^{5}$ cells were collected, washed with PBS and incubated for 30 min at RT with anti-human mAbs - anti-CD34-APC, cat. no. 555824; anti-CD38-V450, cat. no. 646851, anti-CD123-PerCP-Cy5.5, cat. no. 558714 (all obtained from BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA) diluted according to manufacturer's protocol in $2 \%$ BSA. Cells were washed with PBS and flow cytometry analysis was performed on the LSR II Fortessa cytometer (BD Biosciences) with BD FACSDiva software version 8 (BD Biosciences) or FlowJo software version 10.2 (FlowJo LLC).

Colony formation assay. To determine the clonogenic potential, KG1 cells were pre-treated for 72 h with SK053, washed with PBS and then $5 \times 10^{3}$ cells were plated in triplicates in cytokine-enriched methylcellulose (MethoCult ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Optimum Without EPO, Cat. No H4035, StemCell Technologies, Inc.) on Smart Dishes (Cat. No 27371 StemCell Technologies, Inc). Colonies containing more than 20 cells were counted after 14 days of culture. Images of colonies were acquired using Olympus CKX52 microscope and analyzed with ImageJ software (https://imagej.nih.gov/).

Incubation of recombinant proteins with SK053. For mass spectrometry and binding assays huPDI protein was reduced by $15-\mathrm{min}$ incubation with 10 mM DTT at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ followed by a dialysis to 10 mM Tris pH 7.5 and $30-\mathrm{min}$ incubation with a $10 \times$ molar excess of SK053 at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. To oxidize -SH groups of PDI for binding assays, the protein was left in the fridge for 24 or 48 h . Next, $10 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of reduced or oxidized huPDI was incubated for 30 min at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with a 10× molar excess of SK-BIO. 200 ng of each protein was separated with SDS-PAGE followed by Western blotting using anti-biotin monoclonal antibody (Supplementary Tab. S9). Gels stained with Coomasie blue (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) served as loading controls.

PDIA1-d4 reduced with 5mM DTT was incubated with SK053 in 1:10 molar ratio for 30 min at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in 10 mM Tris buffer, pH 7.5 . The binding of SK053 to PDIA1-d4 was assessed by mass spectrometry using LTQ Orbitrap Velos mass spectrometer (ThermoElectron).

Gene silencing using ready to use lentivirus-expressed shRNAs. Ready to use MISSION pLKO.1-puro shRNA lentivirus particles targeting TXN [TXN(a)], PDI [PDI(a), $\mathrm{PDI}(\mathrm{b})]$ as well as non-targeting shRNA scramble control (NTC) (Supplementary Tab. S10) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Transduction was performed according to the manufacturer's instructions. At 72 h post transduction successfully infected HL-60 cells were selected with $2 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ puromycin (Sigma-Aldrich), knock-down was validated by Western blotting 5 days post transduction. PDI(b) hairpin did not silence P4HB expression.

Gene silencing using custom packaged lentivirus-expressed shRNAs. Lentiviruses for shRNA were produced by co-transfecting (calcium chloride) HEK293T cells with MISSION pLKO.1-puro shRNA plasmids targeting TXN [TXN(b), TXN(c), TXN(d)], PDI [PDI(c), PDI(d), PDI (e)], CEBPA [CEBPA(a), CEBPA(b), CEBPA (c)] as well as non-targeting shRNA scramble control (NTC) (Supplementary Tab. S10) (Sigma-Aldrich) together with packaging plasmids pMD2.G and psPAX2 (pMD2.G and psPAX2 plasmids were generous gifts from prof. Didier Trono (Ecole polytechnique federale de Lausanne, Switzerland).Transduction was performed according to the manufacturer's instructions. At 72 h post transduction successfully infected cells were selected with $2 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ puromycin (Sigma-Aldrich), knockdown was validated by Western blotting 7 days post transduction. TXN(d), CEBPA(b) and $\mathrm{PDI}(\mathrm{c})$ hairpins did not silence expression of the corresponding genes. PDI(e) hairpin showed off target effects (silenced ERO1 $\alpha$ expression).

## RNA extraction and quantitative Real-Time PCR

RNA concentration was measured with NanoDrop spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). A total of $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ RNA was used for cDNA synthesis primed with oligo(dT) using Native AMV Reverse Transcriptase (EurX, Gdansk, Poland) in a final volume of $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$. For each qPCR run, the samples were measured in duplicates to estimate their reproducibility. Primers sequences and probes numbers are shown in Supplementary Tab. S8. Samples in which the cDNA was omitted were used as negative controls. The results were analyzed after 40 cycles of amplification using LightCycler 480 Software 1.5 and normalized for the content of the housekeeping genes ( $\beta 2$-microglobulin and ribosomal protein L29). The fold change for each gene was calculated using a user-non-influent, second derivative method. The specificity of the reactions was confirmed by analysis of the PCR product in $2 \%$ agarose gel.

Immunoprecipitation. HL-60 cells $\left(5 \times 10^{6}\right)$ were incubated for 4 h in culture media without FBS containing $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ SK-BIO or SK-IN. Next, the cells were lysed in $0.1 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ NP-40 in PBS supplemented with Complete protease inhibitors cocktail (Roche). Equal amounts of proteins were incubated with $5 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of anti-tioredoxin (TXN) (Abcam, Cambridge, UK; clone 3A1) antibody per 500 ml of lysate, $5 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of anti-PDI (Abcam; clone RL90) antibody per 500 ml of lysate or without antibodies for 2 h at room temperature (RT) with gentle agitation. Next, protein G-coated beads (Pierce, Thermo Fisher Scientific) were added to the lysates for the subsequent 16 h incubation at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with gentle agitation. After extensive washes with lysis buffer, beads-bound proteins were eluted by boiling in SDS sample buffer. Then, $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of samples were electrophoresed and probed with anti-TXN, anti-PDI, and anti-biotin antibodies using working concentrations as described in Supplementary Tab. S9.

PDI enzymatic assay. PDI enzymatic activity was assessed in a turbidimetric assay measuring the thiol isomerase-catalyzed reduction of insulin in the presence of DTT. The absorption of aggregated reduced insulin chains was measured at 650 nm using Asys UV340M plate reader (Biochrom). The enzymatic activity assay mixture was prepared in the final volume of $150 \mu$. Briefly, $1.7 \mu \mathrm{M}$ recombinant PDI protein was preincubated for 1 h at
$37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with indicated SK053 concentrations in the presence of 2 mM EDTA in 100 mM potassium phosphate buffer, pH 7.4. Next, $135 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $270 \mu \mathrm{M}$ DTT (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and $545 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of bovine insulin (Sigma-Aldrich) mixture dissolved in reaction buffer was added. The plate was incubated at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and absorbance was read every 5 min for subsequent 60 min. IC50 was determined using SigmaPlot software (Systat Software Inc., San Jose, CA, USA).

## Fluorescence lifetime measurements by time-correlated single-photon counting (TCSPC)

The day before transfection HeLa cells were plated $2.5 \times 105$ cells per well on 35 mm glass bottom dishes (Cell E\&G, San Diego, CA, USA) covered with poly-L-lysine (Sigma-Aldrich) and then cells were transfected with $2 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of roGFPiE containing plasmid ${ }^{2}$ (kindly provided by Professor David Ron from University of Cambridge Metabolic Research Laboratories and NIHR Cambridge Biomedical Research Centre, Cambridge, UK) using $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ Lipofectamine 3000 (Invitrogen, Thermo Fisher Scientific), according to the manufacturer's protocol. Next day, cells were incubated with DMSO (solvent control) for 8h, $25 \mu \mathrm{M}$ SK053 for 8h or $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ DTT for 30 min . Fluorescence lifetime imaging (FLIM) data were collected using SP8 confocal microscope equipped with TCSPC module (PicoHarp300, PicoQuant, Berlin, Germany). Moreover the system was equipped with an incubation chamber suitable to maintain the live cells and optics at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 63 \times$ water immersion NA 1.2 objective lens and with confocal pinhole set to 2 Airy units. To excite GFP fluorescence white light laser with wavelength set to 488 nm and 40 kHz repetition rate was used. GFP fluorescence was detected in 500-550 nm band with APD detector. FLIM data were collected in TCSPC mode using PicoHarp300 (PicoQuant). To avoid photo toxicity and minimize perturbations due to cells motility, FLIM images were collected $64 \times 64$ pixel format, with pixel size set to $14 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. FLIM data were collected until 5000 counts in the brightest pixel of an image were acquired. Data analysis and fitting were performed using FLIMfit software (Imperial College London, UK).

Western blot. HL-60 cells were washed twice with ice-cold PBS, lysed in RIPA buffer ( 50 $\mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{L}$ Tris base, $150 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{L} \mathrm{NaCl}, 1 \% \mathrm{NP}-40,0.25 \%$ sodium deoxycholate, and $1 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{L}$ EDTA) or cytoplasmic/nuclear fractions of proteins were extracted using NE-PER Kit (Pierce). Lysis buffers were supplemented with Complete protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche). The lysates were then cleared by centrifugation at $15,000 \times \mathrm{g}$ for 10 min . Protein concentration in supernatants was evaluated with Bio-Rad Protein Assay (Bio-Rad). Next, samples were boiled for 5 min in $5 \times$ Laemmli Sample Buffer. Equal amounts of lysates were subjected to SDS-PAGE, transferred onto a nitrocellulose membrane (Schleicher and Schuell, Sigma-Aldrich) and then blocked with Tris-buffered saline (pH 7.4) and $0.05 \%$ Tween 20 supplemented with 5\% BSA. Next, membranes were probed with primary (listed in Supplementary Tab. S9) and corresponding HRP-coupled secondary antibodies (Jackson ImmunoResearch, West Grove, PA, USA) before visualization using self-made chemiluminescence reagent ( 100 mM Tris pH 8.0, 1.25 mM luminol, 0.2 mM coumaric acid, $0.006 \%$ hydrogen peroxide) with Stella 8300 bioimager (Raytest, Angleur, Belgium).

RNA-seq libraries preparation. A total of $1 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ RNA was treated with Turbo-DNase (Life Technologies) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Then, rRNA was removed from samples using a Ribo-Zero Kit (Epicentre) according to the manufacturer's protocol, and samples were spiked-in with external RNA (ERCC RNA Spike-In Mix; Life Technologies). RNA libraries were prepared using KAPA Stranded RNA-Seq Library Preparation Kit (Kapa Biosystems) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Quality of libraries was determined by chip electrophoresis performed using the Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, Inc.).

RNA-seq data acquisition and analysis. Ribo-depleted total RNA isolated from HL-60 cell line incubated with SK053 in duplicates were used to prepare strand-specific libraries (dUTP

RNA). These libraries were subsequently sequenced using an Illumina HiSeq sequencing platform to the average number of 21.9 million reads per sample in 100-nt pair-end mode. The quality control of obtained sequences was performed using FastQC software. Reads were than mapped to the reference human genome (hg19) using STAR short-read aligner yielding an average of $76.4 \%$ uniquely mapped reads. Read processing, filtering and counting were performed using HTseq software. Differential expression analyses were performed using the EdgeR following gene filtration and raw read count normalization against differences in library sizes using TMM algorithm. The Gene Ontology (GO) (BP: Biological Process or MF: Molecular Function) enrichment analysis was carried out in Cytoscape (BiNGO) and topGO using the lists of differentially expressed genes (FDR<0.01) between cells incubated with SK053 and the control cells (DMSO). The background set of genes used in the GO analysis consisted of the filtered set of genes previously used in the RNAseq DGE analysis. The results were adjusted for multiple hypothesis testing using Benjamini-Hochberg FDR method.

Expression of human PDI. cDNA encoding human PDI was cloned and expressed in a prokaryotic protein expression system followed by nickel affinity chromatography and subsequent gel filtration as described. ${ }^{1}$

Cloning, expression, purification of d 4 domain (4 $4^{\text {th }}$ thioredoxin-like domain) of huPDIA1. The d4 domain of human PDIA1 protein, corresponding to the sequence encoding amino acids 368-475, was amplified by PCR using the following primers: FPDIA1d4: 5' GGCGAATTCCCTGTCAAGGTGCTTGTTGG and RPDIA1d4: 5' GGCCTCGAGTTATTGGCCACCGCTCTCTAGG. cDNA from human prostate cancer cell line DU-145 (ATCC No HTB-81) was used as a template. The PCR product was cloned into EcoRI and Xhol recognition sites of pET15-mod plasmid, a derivative of Novagen pET15b(+) vector, containing 6 N -terminal histidine codons (a generous gift from Prof. Mattias Bochtler from the International Institute of Molecular and Cell Biology, Warsaw, Poland). The sequence of the insert was confirmed by Sanger sequencing. For protein expression, the plasmid pET15-mod-PDIA1-d4 was transformed to E. coli Rosetta strain. Cells were grown at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until reached $\mathrm{OD}_{600}=0.6-0.7$, at which point 1 mM isopropyl $\beta-\mathrm{D}-1-$ thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) was added and the cultures were shifted to $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for additional 20 h . Recombinant protein was purified by nickel affinity and gel filtration chromatography as described. ${ }^{3}$

Pulldown of SK-BIO binding proteins and mass spectrometry. HL-60 cells $\left(30 \times 10^{6}\right)$ were incubated for 4 h in culture media without FBS containing $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of biotinylated enzymatically active analogs of SK053 (referred to as SK-BIO, Supplementary Fig. S1B) or an inactive analog lacking electrophile group attacking the nucleophilic cysteine residues (referred to as SK-IN, Fig. S1C). After cell lysis and extensive washes, beads-bound proteins were eluted with 5 mM biotin (Sigma-Aldrich), 5 mM biotin and 5 mM urea (Avantor Performance Materials, Gliwice, Poland) or by boiling in SDS sample buffer. Band detected in $12 \%$ polyacrylamide silver stained gel in SK-BIO sample was excised and analyzed by mass spectrometry using LTQ Orbitrap Velos mass spectrometer (ThermoElectron, San Jose, CA, USA). The obtained reads were compared with NCBI, UniProt database and analyzed using Mascot software.

Mass spectrometry of huPDI incubated with SK053. Following incubation with $10 \times$ molar excess of SK053, $30 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of recombinant huPDI was digested overnight with $10 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{L}$ trypsin (Promega, Madison, WI, USA), then reduced with 100 mM DTT for 30 min at $56^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and alkylated with 50 mM iodoacetamide in the dark for 45 min at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resulting peptide mixtures were applied to an RP-18 precolumn (Waters, Milford, MA, USA) using water that contained $0.1 \%$ formic acid as a mobile phase and then transferred to the RP-18 column (75 $\mu \mathrm{M}$ internal diameter; Waters) of the nanoACQUITY UPLC system (Waters) using an ACN gradient ( $0-30 \%$ ACN in 45 min ) in the presence of $0.1 \%$ formic acid at a flow rate of 250
$\mathrm{nL} / \mathrm{min}$. The column outlet was coupled directly to the ion source of an LTQ Orbitrap Velos mass spectrometer (ThermoElectron, San Jose, CA, USA) working in the regime of datadependent MS to MS/MS switch. Two blank runs that ensured the absence of crosscontamination from previous samples preceded each analysis. The obtained mass spectra were preprocessed with MascotDistiller software (v. 2.2.1, Matrix Science, Manchester, UK). The search parameters were set to the following: enzyme (semiTrypsin), variable modifications (cysteine carbamidomethylation, methionine oxidation, and SK053 modification) and the mass shift ( 282.157957 Da) for cysteine modification was calculated.

Molecular Dynamics simulation. To perform ensemble docking, a set of conformations of the reduced form of human PDI (pdb|4ekz) was generated using molecular dynamics simulation. The MD simulation was performed with the OpenMM program ${ }^{4}$ using the AMBER99sb force field and TIP3P water molecules (explicit solvent) with periodic boundary conditions. The thickness of the water box was 0.8 nm . Non-bond interactions were truncated at 1 nm . The simulation consisted of 3 stages: a 40 ps cooling to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$, a 100 ps warming to $300^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ and a 20 -ns production run. The unrestrained production run was carried out at a constant temperature $\left(300^{\circ} \mathrm{K}\right)$ using the Langevin thermostat with a collision frequency of $1 \mathrm{ps}-1$ and at a constant pressure ( 1 atm ) using a MonteCarlo barostat. The trajectories during production run were saved every 10 ps generating a total 2,000 conformations.

Conformation selection. Out of 2000 conformations obtained with MD simulation 90 were chosen for further analysis with ensemble molecular docking. Three criteria were chosen, each independently selecting 30 conformations. First, the best 30 conformations with the lowest total energy among those obtained in the production run were selected. Second, an RMSD matrix was calculated for all 2000 conformations and was subsequently used for clustering using an agglomerative clustering algorithm with UPGMA criterion. The clustering produced 30 clusters out of which a single medoid conformation was chosen. The final 30 conformations where selected based on their ability to represent the variability of distance observed between the sulfur atom of Cys397 and the sulfur atom of Cys400.

Covalent docking of SK053 to human PDI. In the next step, covalent docking of truncated SK053 (lacking the leaving group, Fig. S1d), to the previously selected 90 conformations was performed using the GOLD program. ${ }^{5}$ The covalent docking site was defined as a sphere of $12 \AA$ Å radius centered at the Cys 400 sulfur atom. Covalent docking simulations to Cys 400 and Cys397 were carried out independently. Prior to docking, a pair of atoms that form a covalent bond was specified and all the water molecules present after MD simulation were removed. Otherwise, the docking studies were performed using the program's default settings. For each GA run, a maximum of 100,000 operations was performed on a set of five groups with a population of 100 individuals. The annealing parameters were used as default: cutoff values of $3.0 \AA$ for hydrogen bonds and $4.0 \AA$ for van der Waals interactions. Early termination of the calculations was allowed if the RMSD of the three highest scored conformations were within $1.5 \AA$. The docking score between PDI and SKO53 was calculated using the GoldScore energy based scoring function, which takes into account intra- and intermolecular hydrogen bonding interaction energy, van der Waals energy and ligand torsion energy.
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## Descriptions to supplementary tables

Supplementary Table S1. Genes differentially expressed between HL-60 cells treated for 48 $h$ with $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ SK053 and control cells (DMSO).

Supplementary Table S2. Results of Gene Ontology (Biological Process) analysis carried out in Cytoscape \{BiNGO\}c using the list of genes differentially expressed (FDR < 0.01) between HL-60 cells treated for 48 h with $10 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{SK053}$ and the control cells (DMSO).

Supplementary Table S3. Results of Gene Ontology (Molecular Function) analysis carried out in Cytoscape $\{\mathrm{BiNGO}\}$ using the list of genes differentially expressed (FDR < 0.01) between HL-60 cells treated for 48 h with $10 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{SK053}$ and the control cells (DMSO).

Supplementary Table S4. Genes differentially expressed between HL-60 cells treated for 120 h with $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ SK053 and control cells (DMSO).

Supplementary Table S5. Results of Gene Ontology (Biological Process) analysis carried out in Cytoscape \{BiNGO\} using the list of genes differentially expressed (FDR < 0.01) between HL-60 cells treated for 120 h with $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ SK053 and the control cells (DMSO).

Supplementary Table S6. Results of Gene Ontology (Molecular Function) analysis carried out in Cytoscape $\{B i N G O\}$ using the list of genes differentially expressed (FDR < 0.01) between HL-60 cells treated for 120 h with SK053 and the control cells (DMSO).

## Supplementary Table S7-Top proteins pulled down using biotinylated SK053 from HL-60 AML cells identified in mass spectrometry

```
gi|39794653 Keratin 1 [Homo sapiens]
gi|375314779 keratin 1 [Homo sapiens]
gi|435476 cytokeratin 9 [Homo sapiens]
gi|119617047 keratin 2A (epidermal ichthyosis bullosa of Siemens) [Homo sapiens]
gi|119581084 keratin }10\mathrm{ (epidermolytic hyperkeratosis; keratosis palmaris et plantaris),
    isoform CRA_a [Homo sapiens]
gi|122920512 Chain A, Human Serum Albumin Complexed With Myristate And Aspirin
gi|119587944 heat shock 70kDa protein 8, isoform CRA_a [Homo sapiens]
gi|386785 heat shock protein [Homo sapiens]
gi|194388088 unnamed protein product [Homo sapiens]
gi|292059 MTHSP75 [Homo sapiens]
gi|158255142 unnamed protein product [Homo sapiens]
gi|47940601 Keratin 5 [Homo sapiens]
gi|63100331 Keratin 14 [Homo sapiens]
gi|410171504 PREDICTED: hornerin [Homo sapiens]
gi|1143492 BiP [Homo sapiens]
gi|31074631 keratin 1b [Homo sapiens]
gi|119617032 keratin 6B, isoform CRA_a [Homo sapiens]
gi|119600472 protein disulfide isomerase family A, member 4, isoform CRA_a [Homo
    sapiens]
gi|38013966 TKT protein [Homo sapiens]
gi|687237 tumor necrosis factor type 1 receptor associated protein, partial [Homo sapiens]
gi|148271059 dermcidin [Homo sapiens]
gi|119581157 keratin 17, isoform CRA_d [Homo sapiens]
gi|3983129 desmoglein 1 [Homo sapiens]
gi|31873640 hypothetical protein [Homo sapiens]
gi|74096732 filaggrin 2 [Homo sapiens]
gi|119617046 keratin 6 irs3 [Homo sapiens]
gi|184761 immunoglobulin alpha-2 heavy chain [Homo sapiens]
gi|21755908 unnamed protein product [Homo sapiens]
```

Supplementary Table S8-Primers and probes used for quantitative PCR

| Name | Accession No. | Forward primer (5'-3'): | Reversed primer (5'-3'): | UPL\# |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| $\beta_{2}$-microglobulin | NM_004048.2 | TAGGAGGGCTGGCAACTTAG | CCAAGATGTTGATGTTGGATAAGA | 42 |
| ribosomal protein <br> L29 | NM_000992.2 | CAGCTCAGGCTCCCAAAC | GCACCAGTCCTTCTGTCCTC | 53 |
| CEBPA | NM_004364.3 | GGAGCTGAGATCCCGACA | TTCTAAGGACAGGCGTGGAG | 28 |
| CEBPB | NM_005194.2 | GCGACGAGTACAAGATCC | AACAAGTTCCGCAGGGTG | 74 |
| MPO | NM_000250.1 | TTCGTCACTGGCGTCAACT | ATTGGGCGGGATCTTGAG | 38 |
| ELANE | NM_001972.2 | TTCCTCGCCTGTGTCCTG | CTGCAGGGACACCATGAA | 3 |
| GCSFR | NM_000760.3 | AGCGCGAGCAATAGCAAC | TGAAAGGGCCTGATGTTCTC | 23 |
| GMCSFR | NM_001161529.1 | AGTCTCCGAGAGAAGAAAAG <br> CA | CGGATTTTCCTGCTGTAAACC | 12 |
| HK3 | NM_002115.2 | CCTCCCACTGGGTTTTACCT | GAAACCCTTGGTCCAGTTCA | 37 |
| SOX4 | NM_003107.2 | AGCCGGAGGAGGAGATGT | TTCTCGGGTCATTTCCTAGC | 20 |

## Supplementary Table S9-Antibodies used for Western blot analyses

| Antibody target | Source and cat. no. | Manufacturer | Working <br> concentration |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| PDI | (C81H6) rabbit <br> mAb \#3501 | Cell Signaling | $1: 1000$ |
| actin-HRP conjugated | mAb A3854 | Sigma-Aldrich | $1: 50,000$ |
| a-Tubulin | (DM1A) mouse mAb <br> CP06 | Calbiochem | $1: 1000$ |
| C/EBPa | rabbit polyclonal ab15048 | Abcam | $1: 1000$ |
| HDAC2 | rabbit polyclonal\#2540 | Cell Signaling | $1: 1000$ |
| Thioredoxin 1 | (C63C6) rabbit mAb <br> \#2429 | Cell Signaling | $1: 1000$ |
| Biotin-HRP <br> conjugated | goat \#7075 rabbit | Cell Signaling | $1: 1000$ |
| GAPDH | (14C10) <br> mAb \#2118 | Cell Signaling | $1: 1000$ |
| ERO1a | (D2Cbit polyclonal \#3264 <br> mAb \#12782 | Cell Signaling | Cell Signaling |
| XBP1 | (L57A5) mouse <br> mAb \#2103 | Cell Signaling | $1: 1000$ |
| Phospho-elF2a | (C50B12) <br> mAb \#3177 | Cell Signaling | $1: 1000$ |
| BiP |  |  |  |

Supplementary Table S10 - shRNA used for PDI and TXN knock-down

| Catalog no. | Target | shRNA sequence |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SHC002V | Non- <br> Mammalian <br> shRNA Control | Not known |
| TRCN0000049193 | PDI (a) | CCGGCGAGTTCTTTGGCCTGAAGAACTCG <br> AGTTCTTCAGGCCAAAGAACTCGTTTTTG |
| TRCN0000049194 | PDI (b) | CCGGGGGTGGTCACTGCAAACAGTTCTCG <br> AGAACTGTTTGCAGTGACCACACTTTTG |
| TRCN0000290651 | PDI (c) | CCGGGTGTGGTCACTGCAAACAGTTCTCG <br> AGAACTGTTTGCAGTGACCACACTTTTG |
| TRCN0000049196 | PDI (d) | CCGGCGACAGGACGGTCATTGATTACTCG <br> AGTAATCAATGACCGTCCTGTCGTTTTG |
| TRCN0000296736 | PDI (e) | CCGGTGCTGTTCTTGCCCAAGAGTGCTCG <br> AGCACTCTTGGGCAAGAACAGCATTTTTG |
| TRCN0000064279 | TXN (a) | CCGGGCTTCAGAGTGTGAAGTCAAACTCG <br> AGTTTGACTTCACACTCTGAAGCTTTTTG |
| TRCN0000064278 | TXN (b) | CCGGGCAGGTGATAAACTTGTAGTACTCG <br> AGTACTACAAGTTTATCACCTGCTTTTTG |
| TRCN0000064280 | TXN (c) | CCGGGATGTGGATGACTGTCAGGATCTCG <br> AGATCCTGACAGTCATCCACATCTTTTG |
| TRCN0000369941 | TXN (d) | CCGGATCAAGCCTTTCTTCATTCCCTTCGA <br> GGGAATGAAAGAAAGGCTTGATTTTTTG |
| TRCN0000007305 | CEBPA (a) | CCGGGCTGGAGCTGACCAGTGACAACTCG <br> AGTTGTCACTGGTCAGCTCCAGCTTTTT |
| TRCN0000007307 | CEBPA (b) | CCGGCAAGAAGTCGGTGGACAAGAACTCG <br> AGTTCTTGTCCACCGACTTCTTGTTTTT |
| TRCN0000356198 | CEBPA (c) | CCGGCCCGGCAACTCTAGTATTTAGCTCG <br> AGCTAAATACTAGAGTTGCCGGGTTTTTG |

Supplementary Table S11 - AML patients data

| No | Diagnosis | Age at diagnosis | Sex | FAB | Molecular signature | Karyotype | Blasts in bone marrow | $\begin{gathered} \text { WBC } \\ {\left[\times 10^{3} /\right.} \\ \mu \mathrm{l}] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { RBC } \\ {\left[\times 10^{6} /\right.} \\ \mu \mathrm{l}] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Hgb}[\mathrm{~g} / \\ \mathrm{dl}] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PLT } \\ {\left[\times 10^{3} /\right.} \\ \mu \mathrm{l}] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Neut } \\ & {\left[\times 10^{3} /\right.} \\ & \mu \mathrm{l}] \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pt 1 | AML/ MDS | 36 | F |  |  | chr. 7 monosomy | 40 | 19.45 | 2.8 | 8.7 | 50 | 45.5 |
| Pt 2 | AML | 43 | F | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AML- } \\ & \text { M5 } \end{aligned}$ | NPM1 mutation | normal karyotype |  | 39.54 | 4.15 | 12.6 | 143 | -- |
| Pt 3 | AML | 59 | M |  | NPM1 mutation | chr. 8 trisomy |  | 41.07 | 4.69 | 11.5 | 26 | -- |
| Pt 4 | AML | 27 | M | $\begin{gathered} \text { AML- } \\ \text { M4 } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | 66.02 | 4.28 | 10.4 | 34 | 9.11 |
| Pt 5 | mixed karyotype AML | 25 | F |  |  |  |  | 14.7 | 2.92 | 5 | 22 | -- |
| Pt 6 | atypical AML (CML->AMLM4) | 58 | M | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AML- } \\ & \text { M4 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 28 |  |  |  |  |  |

Abbreviations used: AML - acute myeloid leukemia; chr - chromosome; CML - chronic myeloid leukemia; F - female; FAB - French-American-British AML classification; Hgb hemoglobin; M - male; MDS - myelodysplastic syndrome; Neut - neutrophils; PLT platelets; RBC - red blood cells; WBC - white blood cells.

## Descriptions to supplementary figures and a video file


#### Abstract

Supplementary Fig. S1. SK053 exerts anti-leukemic effects and induces differentiation of AML cell lines in vitro. A, MOLM14, NB4, and KG1 cells were incubated with serial dilutions of SK053 for up to 5 days. Each day the number of dead cells was evaluated with trypan blue exclusion. Graphs present mean percentage of dead cells comparing to controls $\pm$ SD; * $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ vs controls, one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's post-hoc test, $\mathrm{n}=6$. B, MOLM14, NB4, and KG1 cells were incubated with serial dilutions of SK053 for up to 5 days. Each day the number of living cells was evaluated with trypan blue exclusion. Graphs present mean cell number $\pm S D$; ${ }^{*} \mathrm{P}<0.05$ vs controls, one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's post-hoc test, $\mathrm{n}=6$. C, Semi-quantitative colorimetric NBT reduction assay in MOLM14, NB4, and KG1 cells incubated for 5 days with indicated SK053 concentrations; graphs present mean \% increase vs control $\pm$ SD; * $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ vs controls, one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's post-hoc test, $\mathrm{n}=3$.


Supplementary Fig. S2. Diagram of RNA sequencing data analysis pipeline used for differential gene expression (DGE) analysis in the SK053-treated HL-60 cells.

Supplementary Fig. S3. Differential gene expression (DGE) analysis of HL-60 cells treated for 48 h with $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ SK053 vs DMSO treated controls. A, Volcano plot of genes differentially expressed between the cells treated for 48 h with SK053 and control cells (DMSO). B, Diagram of significantly enriched Biological Process GO nodes corresponding to the results of DGE results obtained for cells treated for 48 h with $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ SK053. The yellow and orange nodes represent terms with significant enrichment, with different color shades representing various degrees of significance i.e. from 1.00E-2 (yellow) up to <1.00E-6 (red). C, Diagram of significantly enriched Molecular Function GO nodes corresponding to the results of DGE results obtained for cells treated for 48 h with $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ SK053. The yellow and orange nodes represent terms with significant enrichment, with different color shades representing various degrees of significance i.e. from 1.00E-2 (yellow) up to $<1.00 \mathrm{E}-3$ (red).

Supplementary Fig. S4. Differential gene expression (DGE) analysis of HL-60 cells treated for 120 h with $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ SK053 vs DMSO treated controls. A, Volcano plot of genes differentially expressed between the cells treated for 120 h with $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ SK053 and control cells (DMSO). B, Diagram of significantly enriched Biological Process GO nodes corresponding to the results of DGE results obtained for cells treated for 120 h with $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ SK053. The yellow and orange nodes represent terms with significant enrichment, with different color shades representing various degrees of significance i.e. from 1.00E-2 (light yellow) up to $<1.00 \mathrm{E}-7$ (orange). C, Diagram of significantly enriched Molecular Function GO nodes corresponding to the results of DGE results obtained for cells treated for 120 h with $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ SK053. The yellow and orange nodes represent terms with significant enrichment, with different color shades representing various degrees of significance i.e. from $1.00 \mathrm{E}-2$ (light yellow) up to <1.00E-7 (orange).

Supplementary Fig. S5. SK053 induces ER stress in HL-60 cells. Representative Western blot results showing UPR and ER stress markers induction in HL-60 cells upon incubation with $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ SK053, GAPDH levels served as a loading control.

Supplementary Fig. S6. Evaluation of cell growth and differentiation in HL-60 and MOLM14 cells upon TXN knock-down. A, Control HL-60 cells and HL-60 cells transduced with nontargeting (NTC) or TXN [TXN(a)]-targeting shRNA lentiviral particles were counted daily in trypan blue from day [d] 1 till day 10. B, Western blot showing the efficacy of TXN knockdown in HL-60 and MOLM14 cell lines transduced with TXN-targeting shRNA [(TXN(b) or TXN(c)]-encoding lentiviruses. GAPDH served as a loading control. C, Growth of HL-60 cells transduced with non-targeting (NTC) or TXN-targeting [(TXN(b) or TXN(c)] shRNA. Cells were counted daily in trypan blue from day 1 till day 9 . *p $<0.05$ vs NTC in two-tailed Student's $t$ test. D, Growth of MOLM14 cells transduced with non-targeting (NTC) or TXNtargeting [(TXN(b) or TXN(c)] shRNA. Cells were counted daily in trypan blue from day 1 till day 9. * $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ vs NTC in two-tailed Student's $t$ test. E, Mean percentage $\pm$ SD of AML cells modified with non-targeting (NTC) or TXN-targeting [(TXN(b) or TXN(c)] shRNA, expressing CD11b myeloid marker determined in flow cytometry, $N=4, p$ values ( $p$ ) calculated vs NTC, two-tailed Student's $t$ test, NS-not significant.

Supplementary Fig. S7. Evaluation of cell growth and differentiation in HL-60 and cells upon CEBPA knock-down A, Western blot showing C/EBP $\alpha$ levels in two distinct sets (1 and 2) of HL-60 cells transduced with non-targeting (NTC) or PDI-targeting [PDI(d)] shRNA. GAPDH served as a loading control. B, Western blot showing the efficacy of CEBPA knock-down in HL-60 cells transduced with CEBPA-targeting shRNA [CEBPA(a) or CEBPA(c)]-encoding lentiviruses. GAPDH served as a loading control. C, Growth of HL-60 cells modified with CEBPA [CEBPA(a) or CEBPA(c)]-targeting shRNA and incubated with 5 or $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ SK053. Cells were counted daily in trypan blue from day 1 till day 8 . D, Mean percentage $\pm$ SD of HL60 cells modified with non-targeting (NTC) or CEBPA [CEBPA(a) or CEBPA(c)]-targeting shRNA, expressing CD11b myeloid marker determined in flow cytometry, $\mathrm{N}=2$.

Supplementary Fig. S8. Chemical structures of compounds. A, Chemical structure of SK053. B, Chemical structure of SK-BIO - a biotinylated SK053 analog. C, Chemical structure of SK-IN - an inactive, biotinylated SK053 analogue that lacks the electrophilic double bond. D, Chemical structure of SK053 showing the localization of the leaving group and truncated form of the compound. E, Potential mechanism of SK053 binding to catalytic cysteines in PDI, 1 or 2 truncated SK053 molecules bind to PDI catalytic site.

Supplementary Fig. S9. Proteomic assessment of SK053 binding site in the human PDI (huPDI). A, Amino acid sequence of huPDI showing peptides identified by mass spectrometry (bold red). The peptide containing Cys residue with SK053 modification is underlined. (b-d) Example MS/MS data for the peptide 400KNVFVEFYAPWCGHCK415 from huPDI. MS/MS fragmentation was performed on a Thermo Orbitrap Velos coupled with Waters nanoAcquity UPLC and data were analyzed by Mascot software. This peptide had a

Mascot ion score of 56 , precursor mass of 2209.054, charge state of +3 . B, The MS/MS fragmentation spectrum with y-series and b-series ions labeled. C, Table of detected masses as annotated by Mascot. Bold italic red indicates that the ion series contributed to the peptide score. Bold red indicates the number of matches in the ion series is greater than would be expected by chance alone, suggesting that the ion series is present in the spectrum. Nonbold red means that the number of matches in the ion series is no greater than would be expected by chance. Masses indicated in black type were not detected in the spectrum. C* indicates the most probable site of SK053 modification on Cys414. D, Alternate Mascot site analysis indicates another putative SK053 binding site on residue Cys411 (marked as C\#). E, SK053 binds to the $4^{\text {th }}$ thioredoxin-like domain of huPDI (PDIA1-d4): the recombinant PDIA1d4 protein was incubated without (left) and with SK053 in a molar ratio 1:10 (right). The mass shift observed in the right panel corresponds to two molecules of the inhibitor after the elimination of the leaving group ( $2 \times 282 \mathrm{Da}$ ), according to the mechanism described previously. ${ }^{3}$

Supplementary Fig. S10. Covalent docking of SK053 to two cavities in the $4^{\text {th }}$ thioredoxinlike domain in human PDI. A, Truncated SK053 molecule (molecule without the leaving group, Supplementary Fig. S6d) bound to Cys397 of huPDI. The polar contacts between the ligand and the protein are shown with a dotted, yellow line. The ligand is placed in the "primary" cavity. B, Truncated SK053 molecule bound to Cys400 of huPDI. The polar contacts between the ligand and the protein are shown with a dotted, yellow line. The ligand is placed in the "secondary" cavity. C, Two truncated SK053 molecules bound to either Cys400 or Cys397of huPDI. The ligand can be either placed in the "primary" cavity or the "secondary" cavity.

Supplementary Video S1. Two putative binding sites of hPDI are located on the opposite site of the helix harboring active site cysteines. Molecular docking with GOLD suggests that both 'primary' binding site (depict cyan on the protein surface) and 'secondary' binding site (magenta) are equally probable to host SK053 molecule. Full atom representations of both binding sites are presented in Supplementary Fig. S10.
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| \# | b | $\mathbf{b}^{++}$ | b* | $\mathrm{b}^{*+}$ | $\mathrm{b}^{0}$ | $\mathrm{b}^{0++}$ | Seq. | y | $\mathbf{y}^{++}$ | $\mathrm{y}^{*}$ | $\mathbf{y}^{*++}$ | $\mathbf{y}^{0}$ | $\mathbf{y}^{\text {0++ }}$ | \# |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 129.1022 | 65.0548 | 112.0757 | 56.5415 |  |  | K |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16 |
| 2 | 243.1452 | 122.0762 | 226.1186 | 113.5629 |  |  | N | 2081.9612 | 1041.4842 | 2064.9347 | 1032.9710 | 2063.9506 | 1032.4790 | 15 |
| 3 | 342.2136 | 171.6104 | 325.1870 | 163.0972 |  |  | V | 1967.9183 | 984.4628 | 1950.8917 | 975.9495 | 1949.9077 | 975.4575 | 14 |
| 4 | 489.2820 | 245.1446 | 472.2554 | 236.6314 |  |  | F | 1868.8499 | 934.9286 | 1851.8233 | 926.4153 | 1850.8393 | 925.9233 | 13 |
| 5 | 588.3504 | 294.6788 | 571.3239 | 286.1656 |  |  | V | 1721.7814 | 861.3944 | 1704.7549 | 852.8811 | 1703.7709 | 852.3891 | 12 |
| 6 | 717.3930 | 359.2001 | 700.3665 | 350.6869 | 699.3824 | 350.1949 | E | 1622.7130 | 811.8602 | 1605.6865 | 803.3469 | 1604.7025 | 802.8549 | 11 |
| 7 | 864.4614 | 432.7343 | 847.4349 | 424.2211 | 846.4508 | 423.7291 | F | 1493.6704 | 747.3389 | 1476.6439 | 738.8256 |  |  | 10 |
| 8 | 1027.5247 | 514.2660 | 1010.4982 | 505.7527 | 1009.5142 | 505.2607 | Y | 1346.6020 | 673.8047 | 1329.5755 | 665.2914 |  |  | 9 |
| 9 | 1098.5619 | 549.7846 | 1081.5353 | 541.2713 | 1080.5513 | 540.7793 | A | 1183.5387 | 592.2730 | 1166.5121 | 583.7597 |  |  | 8 |
| 10 | 1195.6146 | 598.3109 | 1178.5881 | 589.7977 | 1177.6041 | 589.3057 | P | 1112.5016 | 556.7544 | 1095.4750 | 548.2412 |  |  | 7 |
| 11 | 1381.6939 | 691.3506 | 1364.6674 | 682.8373 | 1363.6834 | 682.3453 | W | 1015.4488 | 508.2280 | 998.4223 | 499.7148 |  |  | 6 |
| 12 | 1484.7031 | 742.8552 | 1467.6766 | 734.3419 | 1466.6926 | 733.8499 | $\mathrm{C}^{\text {\# }}$ | 829.3695 | 415.1884 | 812.3430 | 406.6751 |  |  | 5 |
| 13 | 1541.7246 | 771.3659 | 1524.6980 | 762.8527 | 1523.7140 | 762.3606 | G | 726.3603 | 363.6838 | 709.3338 | 355.1705 |  |  | 4 |
| 14 | 1678.7835 | 839.8954 | 1661.7569 | 831.3821 | 1660.7729 | 830.8901 | H | 669.3389 | 335.1731 | 652.3123 | 326.6598 |  |  | 3 |
| 15 | 2063.9506 | 1032.4790 | 2046.9241 | 1023.9657 | 2045.9401 | 1023.4737 | C* | 532.2799 | 266.6436 | 515.2534 | 258.1303 |  |  | 2 |
| 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  | K | 147.1128 | 74.0600 | 130.0863 | 65.5468 |  |  | 1 |

D

| Score | Mr(calc) | Delta | Sequence | Site Analysis |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 56.0 | 2209.0489 | 0.0059 | KNVFVEFYAPWCGHCK | SK053 C15* $87.57 \%$ |
| 47.5 | 2209.0489 | 0.0059 | KNVFVEFYAPWCGHCK | SK053 C12* $12.43 \%$ |
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