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## Supplementary Methods

Quantification of HOXA9 by qRT-PCR: RNA was extracted using an RNeasy MicroKit (Qiagen) and retrotranscribed using Superscript III reverse transcriptase (Life Technologies). The change-in-threshold ( $-\Delta \Delta \mathrm{CT}$ ) method was used to quantify transcript levels following PCR amplification. HOXA9 levels were calculated relative to the reference gene $A B L$, and HOXA9/ $A B L$ was expressed as a HOXA ratio, whereby a ratio of 1 indicated equivalent expression of the two genes. Primer and Taqman probe sequences are shown in Supplementary Table S2.

Fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH): The following Break Apart probes were used: TCRB: 5': RP111084E4 and RP11-615P18 (rhodamine-dUTP). 3': RP11-114L10 and CTD-2552B9 (FITC-dUTP). HOXA: 5': RP11-1036C18 (rhodamine-dUTP). 3': RP11-1132K14 (FITC-dUTP). MLL: 5': RP11-59N1 and RP11-112I9 (rhodamine-dUTP). 3': RP11-278O8 and RP11-30E1 (FITC-dUTP). MLLT10: RP11-469D16 (rhodaminedUTP) and RP11-140P12 (FITC-dUTP).

RNA-sequencing: Poly(A)-enriched RNA-sequencing was performed using strand-specific and paired-end sequencing on Life Technologies SOLiD HQ5500XL. Poly(A)+ RNA was enriched from $3 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of total RNA using the MACS mRNA isolation kit (Miltenyi Biotec). Quantity and quality of mRNA was verified using RNA Pico chips on a 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent) and 200 ng of mRNA was used for mRNA-seq library preparation according to SOLiD Poly(A) RNA-Seq Kit (Life Technologies). The resulting library was sequenced on an AB SOLiD 5500xl using paired-end $75-35 \mathrm{bp}$ sequencing chemistry following the manufacturer's instructions. High quality reads were matched to individual samples based on the barcode tag and using default parameters. Basecalls were performed using 5500 Series Genetic Analyzers Instrument Control Software v1.2 (Life Technologies). Mapping, coverage and fusion discovery were performed using default parameters of Lifescope ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ (Life Technologies) after mapping of sequence reads to version hg 19 of the human genome.

RT-PCR testing for leukemic fusion transcripts: Primer sequences are shown in Supplementary Table S3.

Taqman Low-density array (TLDA): Custom 48-well TLDA cards containing primers and probes for amplification of HOX genes were designed in collaboration with and ordered from Life Technologies. PCR was performed according to the manufacturer's instructions following retrotranscription of 200ng of RNA extracted from leukemic blasts at diagnosis. Expression of $H O X$ genes was normalized to the GAPDH reference gene.

Supplementary Table S1: Characteristics of the HOXA study patients and the remainder of the T-ALL cohort in the GRAALL-2003 and GRAALL-2005 studies.

|  | Non-included cohort | HOXA cohort | $P$-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total, no. | 129 | 209 |  |
| Clinical subsets analyzed Median age, y [Q1-Q3] | $33 \cdot 55$ (25•32-45•10) | $30 \cdot 58$ (23.78-40.47) | 0•1754 |
| WBC > $100 \times 10^{9} / 1$, no. (\%) | 20 (15.50\%) | 54 (25.84\%) | $0 \cdot 0256$ |
| CNS involvement, no. (\%) | 8 (6.20\%) | 27 (13.17\%) | $0 \cdot 0429$ |
| CR, no. (\%) | 121 (93.80\%) | 196 (93.78\%) | 0.9945 |
| CS, no. (\%) | 94 (73.44\%) | 113 (54.07\%) | 0.0004 |
| CHS, no. (\%) | 75 (60.48\%) | 112 (54.37\%) | $0 \cdot 2776$ |
| SCT, no. (\%) | 32 (26.45\%) | 77 (39.49\%) | $0 \cdot 0178$ |
| Relapse rate, no. (\%) | 36 (30.00\%) | 60 (30.61\%) | 0.9086 |
| DFS at 5 years [ $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ ] | $\begin{gathered} 49 \cdot 73 \% \\ {[40 \cdot 08 \%-60 \cdot 29 \%]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 46 \cdot 65 \% \\ {[39 \cdot 2 \%-54 \cdot 76 \%]} \end{gathered}$ | $0 \cdot 4018$ |
| OS at 5 years [ $95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ ] | $\begin{gathered} 47.42 \% \\ {[38.07-57.79 \%]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 40 \cdot 12 \% \\ {[33 \cdot 04-48 \cdot 10 \%]} \end{gathered}$ | 0•1652 |

no: number; Q: Quartile WBC: white blood cell count; CNS: central nervous system; CR: complete remission; Cs: cortico-sensitive; CHs: chemo-sensitive; SCT: stem-cell transplantation; DFS: DiseaseFree Survival; OS: Overall Survival.

Supplementary Table S2: Sequences of primers and probes used for quantification of HOXA9.

| Gene | Forward Primer | Reverse Primer | Taqman Probe |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HOXA9 | GAAAACAATGCTGAGAATGAGAGC | CGCGCATGAAGCCAGTT | ACAAGCCCCCCATCGATCCCA |
| $A B L$ | TGGAGATAACACTCTAAGCATAACTAAAGGT | GATGTAGTTGCTTGGGACCCA | CCATTTTTGGTTTGGGCTTCACACCATT |

Supplementary Table S3: Sequences of primers used for PCR testing for fusion transcripts associated with HOXA positivity.

| Fusion Transcript | Forward Primer | Reverse Primer |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PICALM-MLLT10* | GCAATCTTGGCATCGGAAAT | GCGCTTCAATGATCCAGATATAGAG and <br> CCGTTTGCTCTTTTTCAGCTT |
| SET-NUP214 | TTCCCGATATGGATGATG | CTTTGGGCAAGGATTTG |
| NUP98-RAP1GDS1 | CTTACTACATTTGGAAGCAGC | CAGACAATCCAAGCATCCTTC |
| $X P O 1-M L L T 10 ~$ | GTTTCCCAGCATTCCTTGC | CAGTCCGGCAAACTGAGCG |
| $D D X 3 X-M L L T 10 ~$ | TGCTGGCCTAGACCTGAACT | AGAGCGCTCCTACTTGTTGC |
| NAP1L1-MLLT10 | CCCCTCCTGAAGTTCCTGAGAGTGGA | GCACCAGTGGCTGCTTTGCTTTCTC |

*A multiplex PCR reaction was used in the detection of PICALM-MLLT10, therefore the sequences of two separate reverse primers are shown.
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Supplementary Table S5: Multivariate models of the interaction of HOXA with other covariates.

| OS | Haz. Ratio | [95\% Conf. | Interval] | $\mathrm{P}>\mathrm{z}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 4.647 |  |  |  | 0.022 |
| WBC $>100$ | 2.288 | 1.127 |  |  |  |  |
| SCT | 0.701 | 0.350 | 1.406 | 0.317 |  |  |
| ETP | 1.099 | 0.430 | 2.808 | 0.844 |  |  |
| HOXA pos | 1.300 | 0.682 | 2.477 | 0.425 |  |  |
| risk classifier high | 2.469 | 1.334 | 4.571 | 0.004 |  |  |
| EGIL 3-4 vs 1-2 | 0.721 | 0.353 | 1.475 | 0.371 |  |  |
| cs pos | 1.092 | 0.576 | 2.071 | 0.787 |  |  |
| chs pos | 0.835 | 0.398 | 1.751 | 0.632 |  |  |


| EFS | Haz. Ratio | [95\% Conf. | Interval] | $\mathrm{P}>\mathrm{z}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| WBC $>100$ | 1.388 | 0.802 | 2.401 | 0.241 |
| SCT | 0.807 | 0.433 | 1.501 | 0.497 |
| ETP | 1.452 | 0.629 | 3.351 | 0.382 |
| HOXA pos | 1.806 | 0.884 | 3.687 | 0.105 |
| risk classifier high | 2.529 | 1.489 | 4.296 | 0.001 |
| EGIL 3-4 vs 1-2 | 1.281 | 0.595 | 2.758 | 0.528 |
| cs pos | 0.898 | 0.501 | 1.609 | 0.716 |
| chs pos \|HOXA neg | 1.111 | 0.541 | 2.283 | 0.774 |
| chs pos \| HOXA pos | 0.277 | 0.071 | 1.074 | 0.063 |


| DFS | Haz. Ratio | [95\% Conf. | Interval] | $\mathrm{P}>\mathrm{z}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| WBC $>100$ | 1.396 | 0.757 | 2.575 | 0.285 |
| SCT | 1.056 | 0.530 | 2.107 | 0.876 |
| ETP | 1.692 | 0.663 | 4.319 | 0.271 |
| HOXA pos | 1.758 | 0.784 | 3.943 | 0.171 |
| risk classifier high | 2.922 | 1.643 | 5.195 | 0.000 |
| EGIL 3-4 vs 1-2 | 1.504 | 0.647 | 3.493 | 0.343 |
| cs pos | 0.755 | 0.408 | 1.397 | 0.371 |
| chs pos \|HOXA neg | 1.500 | 0.625 | 3.596 | 0.364 |
| chs pos HOXA pos | 0.362 | 0.091 | 1.441 | 0.149 |

Multivariate Cox models were performed for studying OS, EFS and DFS. Multivariate Fine \& Gray models adapted for competing risk events were performed for studying CIR.

The effect of HOXA was adjusted on WCC, SCT, EGIL classification, Risk classifier, ETP status,
Corticosensitivity (CS) and Chemosensitivity (CHS).
Interactions between Chemosensitivity and HOXA status have been highlighted for EFS ( $\mathrm{p}=0.053$ ), CIR ( $\mathrm{p}=$ $0.039)$ and DFS ( $\mathrm{p}=0.071$ ).

Conditional effects of CHS depending on HOXA status are presented when the interaction is highlighted
(chs pos | HOXA neg:
effect of chemosensitivity when HOXA is negative)
(chs pos | HOXA pos:
effect of chemosensitivity when HOXA is positive).

OS = Overall Survival.
EFS = Event-Free Survival.
DFS = Disease-Free Survival.
WBC $=$ White blood cell count.
SCT = Stem Cell Transplant.
ETP = Early Thymic Precursor-like phenotype.
EGIL = European Group for the immunological classification of leukaemia.

| Relapse | SHR | [95\% Conf. | Interval] | $\mathrm{P}>\mathrm{z}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| WBC $>100$ | 1.322 | 0.691 | 2.526 | 0.399 |
| SCT | 0.556 | 0.270 | 1.145 | 0.111 |
| ETP | 2.812 | 0.852 | 9.280 | 0.090 |
| HOXA pos | 2.049 | 0.836 | 5.020 | 0.117 |
| risk classifier high | 3.664 | 1.987 | 6.757 | 0.000 |
| EGIL 3-4 vs 1-2 | 2.662 | 0.807 | 8.780 | 0.108 |
| cs pos | 0.904 | 0.481 | 1.701 | 0.755 |
| chs pos \|HOXA neg | 1.461 | 0.619 | 3.446 | 0.387 |
| chs pos HOXA pos | 0.119 | 0.013 | 1.085 | 0.059 |

Supplementary Table S6: Summary of the genetics of HOXA ${ }^{\text {Neg }}$ and HOXA ${ }^{\text {Pos }}$ T-ALL.

|  | Total | HOXA $^{\text {Neg }}$ | HOXA $^{\text {Pos }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All patients | 209 | 154 | 55 |
| SIL-TAL1 | 18 | $18(154)$ | $0(55)$ |
| TLX1 | 44 | $42(154)$ | $2(55)$ |
| TLX3 | 25 | $23(154)$ | $2(55)$ |
| MLL | 6 | $0(80)$ | $6(54)$ |
| PICALM-MLLT10 | 8 | $0(154)$ | $8(55)$ |
| TCR $\beta-H O X A$ | 11 | $0(50)$ | $9(52)$ |
| SET-NUP214 | 9 | 71 | 16 |
| No abnormality detected | 87 |  |  |

Diagnostic T-ALL samples were tested for the genetic abnormalities as shown. Figures in brackets indicate the number of patients tested in each case.


HOXA5 was measured by qRT-PCR and normalized to the expression of ABL1. Comparison with HOXA9 levels (HOXA ratio) is shown. Each point represents HOXA5 and HOXA9 levels for an individual patient. The indicated R value corresponds to the calculated Spearman's correlation coefficient.

Supplementary Figure S 2 (Corresponds to Figure 3: HOXA ${ }^{\text {Pos }}$ and HOXA ${ }^{\mathrm{Neg}}$ adult T-ALL patients have similar survival outcomes)

(A) 5 year DFS was estimated at $50.0 \% ~\left(95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 33 \cdot 4 \%-64.5 \%\right.$ ) in HOXA ${ }^{\text {Pos }}$ patients and $51 \cdot 1 \%$ ( $95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ : $41.5 \%-59.9 \%$ ) in HOXA ${ }^{\text {Neg }}$ patients. Survival analysis revealed no differences in (B) OS, (C) EFS or (D) DFS when patients were separated by quartile of HOXA ratio.

## Supplementary Figure S3. Survival analysis of HOXA ${ }^{\text {Pos }}$ oncogenetic subgroups.



Outcome analyses were performed according the underlying oncogenetic abnormality. Survival graphs for (A) OS, (B) EFS and (C) DFS are shown. There was an observed trend towards poorer prognosis in the MLLT10 subgroup, but limited patient numbers ( $\mathrm{n}=12$ ) preclude definitive conclusions. 5 year OS for MLLT10 patients was estimated at $30 \%$ ( $95 \%$ CI: $7 \cdot 11 \%-57.79 \%$ ). The corresponding figure for EFS was $23.8 \%$ ( $95 \%$ CI: $4.7 \%$ $50.9 \%$ ), and for DFS $23.8 \%$ ( $95 \%$ CI: $4.7 \%-50.9 \%$ ).

## GRAALL-2003 GRAALL-2005 T-ALL



