

## Perspective on the current use of bortezomib in multiple myeloma

**Jesús San-Miguel, Joan Bladé**

Hematology Department, Hospital Clínico Universitario de Salamanca, Servicio de Hematología, Salamanca, Spain (JS-M); Hematology Department, Institute of Hematology and Oncology, Hospital Clinic, IDIBAPS, University of Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain (JB). E-mail: sanmigiz@usal.es / jblade@clinic.ub.es

Multiple myeloma (MM) remains an incurable disease; therefore new treatment approaches are needed in order to improve the outcome of patients with this disease.<sup>1</sup> We have recently learned that the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway represents an attractive therapeutic target in cancer. Proteasomes are a large complex of proteolytic enzymes responsible for the intracellular degradation of ubiquitinated proteins, including proteins that govern important cellular functions such as cell cycling, cell growth and cell differentiation.<sup>2,3</sup>

Bortezomib (Velcade<sup>®</sup>, formerly PS-341) is a novel dipeptide boronic acid which induces reversible inhibition of the 26S proteasome. In addition to its antiproliferative and proapoptotic effects (via NF- $\kappa$ B blockage) it downregulates the expression of adhesion molecules, inhibits angiogenesis, inhibits effectors involved in DNA repair, and blocks the unfolded protein response, resulting in accumulation of improperly folded proteins and subsequent endoplasmic reticulum stress and cell death.<sup>2,3</sup> Although other proteasome inhibitors, including oral formulations,<sup>4</sup> are under investigation, bortezomib is the only one that has been introduced into clinical practice.

Based on preclinical studies and a promising phase 1 trial, two pivotal phase 2 studies, SUMMIT<sup>5</sup> and CREST,<sup>6</sup> were developed in relapsed/refractory MM patients. Patients were treated with bortezomib 1.3 mg/m<sup>2</sup> on days 1, 4, 8 and 11 every 3 weeks; Dexamethasone was allowed in patients with suboptimal responses to bortezomib alone. The overall response rate was 35%, including 10% complete or near complete responses with an overall survival of 17 months. The randomized CREST study,<sup>6</sup> comparing two dosages of bortezomib (1.3 vs 1.0 mg/m<sup>2</sup>) showed that a reduced dose was able to produce responses in up to one third of the patients with a trend towards a lower toxicity. This is important for the patients who do not tolerate the full doses of bortezomib since they have still a chance to respond to the dose level of 1 mg/m<sup>2</sup>. In this issue of Hematologica/The Hematology Journal, Jagannath *et al.* report<sup>7</sup> on the improvement of response by adding dexamethasone in patients who showed suboptimal response to bortezomib. The addition of dexamethasone resulted in an improvement in the response degree in 18% and 33% of patients with suboptimal response included in the SUMMIT and CREST trials, respectively. A subsequent randomized phase 3 trial (APEX)<sup>8</sup> including 669 patients with relapsed MM has shown that bortezomib is more effective than high-dose dexamethasone as demonstrated by a significant improvement in response rate (43% vs 18%), median time to progression (6.2 vs 3.4 months) and 1-year survival rate (80% vs 67%, respectively) (updated at ASH 2005).<sup>9</sup> Concerning the characteristics of response to bortezomib it is important to note that the response is usually very quick (1 or 2 cycles), independently of previous thera-

py and that this agent is highly effective on extremedullary plasmacytomas.<sup>10</sup> Although these results are encouraging, a substantial proportion of patients do not respond to bortezomib, and acquired resistance has already been observed. These facts together with the well documented *in vitro* synergy of bortezomib with other agents, clearly justify combination therapy. Two pilot studies have shown that bortezomib in combination with melphalan<sup>11</sup> or pegylated liposomal doxorubicin<sup>12</sup> or cyclophosphamide plus dexamethasone<sup>13</sup> produces a response rate of 50% to 76% in refractory MM, including a substantial number of complete responses (6 to 30%). Bortezomib has also been combined with thalidomide. In a series of 56 refractory MM patients these two drugs yielded an overall response of 70%, with 22% complete or near complete responses, without increased toxicity regarding neuropathy and myelosuppression.<sup>14</sup> A combination of thalidomide and bortezomib with adriamycin and dexamethasone is also being explored; the complete+partial response rate is about 55% and toxicities are manageable.<sup>15</sup> These responses rates are clearly superior to those obtained with bortezomib alone, and confirm the synergistic effect found in *in vitro* studies. The next obvious step was to explore the efficacy of bortezomib in previously untreated MM patients. At the ASH 2005 meeting several pilot studies on this setting were presented. The results with bortezomib as a single agent were rather discrepant, since while Richardson *et al.*<sup>16</sup> reported a response rate of 30%, with 11% complete remission (a figure which is similar to that previously reported in refractory patients), Dispenzieri *et al.*,<sup>17</sup> using the same dose and schedule observed a higher response rate (73% partial responses). These differences may be due to the number of cycles administered (median 2 vs 5, respectively). The addition of dexamethasone was associated with a higher overall response rate ( $\geq$  partial response 80-90%, with 18% complete or near complete responses).<sup>18,19</sup> Similar results were obtained with the PAD regimen<sup>20</sup> (bortezomib, adriamycin, and dexamethasone) (89% response rate, with 16% complete or near complete responses) and the VTD scheme<sup>21</sup> (bortezomib, thalidomide and dexamethasone) (92% response rate with 19% complete responses). These results show that the vast majority of newly diagnosed MM patients will respond to bortezomib-based regimens and around one in five will achieve complete remission, resulting in a picture similar to that observed after autologous stem cell transplantation. One important issue in these studies was to evaluate the influence of bortezomib on stem cell collection. All these studies, showed that stem cell mobilization was unaffected with adequate hematologic recovery.<sup>18-21</sup> Moreover, the use of high dose melphalan after these bortezomib-based induction regimens was associated with an up-grade in the complete response rate. Thus, in the PAD<sup>20</sup> study the 16% complete or near complete

responses prior to transplantation increased to 54% after Mel200; in the DT-PACE<sup>22</sup> study the percentage of complete responses increased from 16% to 58%, and in the VTID<sup>21</sup> from 19% to 31%. These data strongly support the complementary value of this sequential strategy (i.e., novel drugs combinations upfront, followed by autologous stem cell transplantation).

Nevertheless, it is important to bear in mind that half of all MM patients are 65 years old or more and therefore are not candidates for high dose therapy. We have explored the efficacy of adding bortezomib to the conventional melphalan-prednisone scheme in elderly untreated MM patients. After a median of five cycles the response rate was 86% with 30% complete responses by immunofixation plus 13% near complete responses.<sup>23</sup> Interestingly, responses were not influenced by the presence of cytogenetic abnormalities. These data together with the results reported by Italian<sup>24</sup> and French<sup>25</sup> groups with melphalan, prednisone and thalidomide suggest that new gold standards may become available for elderly MM patients. An important aspect of all these studies is the toxicity profile of bortezomib, particularly when used in combinations with other agents. The most common side effects of bortezomib, used alone in refractory patients, were gastrointestinal symptoms, fatigue, and anorexia, although these were mostly grade 1-2.<sup>26</sup> Thrombocytopenia grade 3-4, due to a reversible blockage in platelet release, was found in 30% of cases, while anemia and neutropenia are uncommon (<10%).<sup>5-8,26</sup> The most troublesome side effect is painful/sensory peripheral neuropathy (37%, with only 9% grade 3), although this resolved or improved in two-thirds of patients after completion or discontinuation of therapy.<sup>5-8,26,27</sup> Clinicians should be aware that an early reduction of the dose as soon as peripheral neuropathy emerges, according to well established guidelines, helps to avoid more severe symptoms and the need for interruption of treatment. However, clinicians should also be aware that exceptional cases of life-threatening motor neuropathy, such as the two cases reported in a recent issue of this journal,<sup>28</sup> may occur in association with bortezomib therapy. Unusual toxicities such as tumor lysis syndrome, severe pulmonary failure, toxic hepatitis or rhabdomyolysis have also been reported.<sup>29-31</sup> So far the reported side effects with the combination therapies in newly diagnosed patients are similar to those previously reported in refractory treated patients. Therefore, overall, the toxicity profile of bortezomib is now well defined and most complications are predictable and manageable.

## References

- Kyle R, Rajkumar SV. Multiple myeloma. *N Engl J Med* 2004;351:1860-73.
- Adams J. The proteasome: a suitable antineoplastic target. *Nature Reviews Cancer* 2004;4:5:349-60.
- Orlowski RZ, Stinchcombe TE, Mitchell BS, Shea TC, Baldwin AS, Stahl S, et al. Phase I trial of the proteasome inhibitor PS-341 in patients with refractory hematologic malignancies. *J Clin Oncol* 2002;20:4420-7.
- Chauhan D, Catley L, Li G, Podar K, Hideshima T, Velankar M, et al. A novel orally active proteasome inhibitor induces apoptosis in multiple myeloma cells with mechanisms distinct from bortezomib. *Cancer Cell* 2005; 8:407-19.
- Richardson PG, Barlogie B, Berenson J, Singhal S, Jagannath S, Irwin D, et al. A phase 2 study of bortezomib in relapsed, refractory myeloma. *N Engl J Med* 2003;348:2609-17.
- Jagannath S, Barlogie B, Berenson J, Siegel D, Irwin D, Richardson PG, et al. A phase 2 study of two doses of bortezomib in relapsed or refractory myeloma. *Br J Haematol* 2004;127:165-72.
- Jagannath S, Richardson P, Barlogie B, Berenson JR, Singhal S, Irwin D, et al. Bortezomib in combination with dexamethasone for the treatment of patients with relapsed and or refractory multiple myeloma with less than optimal response to bortezomib alone. *Haematologica* 2006;91:929-34.
- Richardson PG, Sonnelveld P, Schuster MW, Irwin D, Stadtmauer EA, Facon T, et al. Bortezomib or high dose dexamethasone for relapsed multiple myeloma. *N Engl J Med* 2005;352:2487-98.
- Richardson PG, Barlogie B, Berenson J, Singhal S, Jagannath S, Irwin D, et al. Clinical factors predictive of outcome with bortezomib in patients with relapsed, refractory multiple myeloma. The SUMMIT Investigators. *Blood* 2005;106:2977-81.
- Rosiñol L, Cibeira MT, Uriburu C, Yantorno S, Salamero O, Blade J, et al. Bortezomib: an effective agent in extramedullary disease in multiple myeloma. *Eur J Haematol* 2006;76:405-8.
- Berenson JR, Yang HH, Sadler K, Jarutirasam SG, Vescio RA, Mapes R, et al. Phase I/II trial assessing bortezomib and melphalan combination therapy for the treatment of patients with relapsed or refractory multiple myeloma. *J Clin Oncol* 2006;24:937-44.
- Orlowski RZ, Voorhees PM, Garcia RA, Hall MD, Kudrik FJ, Allred T, et al. Phase I trial of the proteasome inhibitor bortezomib and pegylated liposomal doxorubicin in patients with advanced hematologic malignancies. *Blood* 2005;105:3058-65.
- Kropff M, Bisping G, Liebisch P. Bortezomib in combination with high-dose dexamethasone and continuous low-dose oral cyclophosphamide for relapsed multiple myeloma. *Blood* 2005;106:2549[abstract].
- Zangari M, Barlogie B, Burns MJ, Velcade (V)-Thalidomide (T)-dexamethasone (D) for advanced and refractory multiple myeloma (MM): long-term follow-up of phase I-II Trial UARK 2001-37: superior outcome in Patients with normal cytogenetics and no prior T. *Blood* 2005;106: 2552[abstract].
- Chanan-Khan A, Miller KC. Velcade, doxil and thalidomide (VDT) is an effective salvage regimen for patients with relapsed and refractory multiple myeloma. *Leuk Lymphoma* 2005;46:1103-4.
- Richardson P, Chanan-Khan, Schlossman R. A multicenter phase II trial of Bortezomib in patients with previously untreated multiple myeloma: efficacy with manageable toxicity in patients with unexpectedly high rates off baseline peripheral neuropathy. *Blood* 2005;106:2548 [abstract].
- Dispenzieri A, Blood E, Vesole D. A phase II study of PS-341 for patients with high risk, newly diagnosed multiple myeloma: a trial of the eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (E2A02). *Blood* 2005; 106: 2546[abstract].
- Jagannath S, Durie BG, Wolf J, Camacho E, Irwin D, Lutzky J, J, et al. Bortezomib therapy alone and in combination with dexamethasone for patients with previously untreated multiple myeloma. *Blood* 2005; 106:776-83.
- Harousseau JL, Attal M, Leleu X, et al. Bortezomib (VELCADE®) Plus dexamethasone as induction treatment prior to autologous stem cell transplantation in patients with newly diagnosed multiple myeloma: preliminary results of an IFM phase II study. *Blood* 2004;104: 1490[abstract].
- Popat R, Oakervee HE, Curry N. Reduced dose PAD combination therapy (PS-341)/bortezomib, adriamycin and dexamethasone) for previously untreated patients with multiple myeloma. *Blood* 2005;106: 2554[abstract].
- Wang M, Delasalle K, Giralt S, et al. Rapid control of previously untreated multiple myeloma with Bortezomib-thalidomide-dexamethasone followed by early intensive therapy. *Blood* 2005;106:784[abstract].
- Badros A, Rapoport A, Goloubeva O. Phase I trial of Bortezomib (V) in combination with DT-Pace: Toxicity, stem cell collection and engraftment in newly diagnosed multiple myeloma (MM) patients. *Blood* 2005; 106:780[abstract].
- Mateos MV, Hernández M, Diaz-Mediavilla J, et al. A phase I/II national, multi-center, open-label study of Bortezomib plus melphalan and prednisone (V-MP) in elderly untreated multiple myeloma (MM) patients. *Blood* 2006; in press.
- Palumbo A, Brinchen S, Caravita T. Oral melphalan and prednisone chemotherapy plus thalidomide compared with melphalan and prednisone alone in elderly patients with multiple myeloma: randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2006;367:825-31
- Facon T, Mary JY, Hulin L, et al. Major superiority of melphalan-prednisone (MP)+ thalidomide (THAL) over MP and autologous stem cell transplantation in the treatment of newly diagnosed elderly patients with multiple myeloma. *Blood* 2005;106:780
- San Miguel J, Bladé J, Boccadoro M, Cavenagh J, Glasmacher A, Jagannath S, et al. A practical update on the use of bortezomib in the management of multiple myeloma. *Oncologist* 2006; 11:51-61
- San-Miguel JF, Richardson P, Sonneveld P. Frequency, characteristics, and reversibility of peripheral neuropathy (PN) in the APEX Trial. *Blood* 2005; 106:366.
- Gupta S, Pagliuca A, Devereux S, Mufti GJ, Schey S. Life-threatening motor neurotoxicity in association with Bortezomib. *Haematologica* 2006; 91:1001.
- Rosiñol L, Montoto S, Cibeira MT, Blade J. Bortezomib-induced severe hepatitis in multiple myeloma: a case report. *Arch Intern Med* 2005; 165:464-5.
- Cibeira MT, Mercadal S, Arenillas L, et al. Bortezomib-induced rhabdomyolysis in multiple myeloma. *Acta Haematologica* 2006 (in press).
- Miyakoshi S, Kami M, Yuji K, Matsumura T, Takatoku M, Sasaki M, et al. Severe pulmonary complications in Japanese patients after bortezomib treatment for refractory multiple myeloma. *Blood* 2006; 107: 3492-4.