Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia

Amsacrine combined with etoposide and high-dose methylprednisolone as salvage therapy in acute lymphoblastic leukemia in children

In a retrospective analysis of 24 children with refractory or multiply relapsed acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL), a salvage regimen comprising amsacrine, etoposide, and high-dose methylprednisolone AEP achieved a significant treatment response in 11 of 19 evaluable patients (8 complete and 3 partial remissions). Five of 9 AEP-responsive patients who underwent subsequent stem cell transplantation are alive (median follow-up: 43 months; range 10 to 91). The load of minimal residual disease prior to transplantation appears to be predictive for outcome in this very poor-prognosis subgroup of ALL.

haematologica 2005; 90:1701-1703 (http://www.haematologica.org/journal/2005/12/1701.html)

The success of allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (HSCT) in patients with high-risk acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL) critically depends on the pretransplant burden of leukemic cells. Particularly in refractory or multiply relapsed ALL it is a challenging task to repress the leukemic cell population to as little submicroscopic disease as possible until consolidating HSCT can be performed. Various drug resistance mechanisms and considerable co-morbidity of these often heavily pretreated patients have to be faced. Here we report results of an institutional, non-randomized, single-arm protocol comprising amsacrine, etoposide, and high-dose methylprednisolone as a salvage treatment for multiply relapsed and/or refractory ALL in children. According to institutional guidelines, written informed consent was obtained from patients or their guardians before initiation of therapy. The patients' characteristics are presented in Table 1.

To assess the response to treatment cytomorphological examinations were complemented by the assessment of minimal residual disease (MRD) based on realtime polymerase chain reaction (PCR) of T-cell receptor or immunoglobulin heavy chain gene rearrangements.<sup>2</sup> Patients received amsacrine 100 mg/m²/day i.v. for 2 days, etoposide 500 mg/m²/day i.v. for 2 days, and methylprednisolone 1 g/m²/day i.v. or p.o. for 3 days (AEP). A total of 24 children were eligible for the retrospective analysis of the efficacy and feasibility of AEP salvage therapy of recurrent/refractory ALL (14 c-ALL, 4 pre-B-ALL, 1 pro-B-ALL, 5 T-ALL). Among the patients with B-precursor ALL four were identified as carrying a Bcr-abl rearrangement. In all, ten patients presented with disease refractory to remission induction either primarily (n=2) or after the first (n=6) or subsequent relapses (n=2). The remaining patients to be reinduced (n=9) had a median of two relapses. Following treatment with AEP, 11 out of 19 evaluable patients (58%) achieved a significant response with 8 patients (42%) entering a complete cytomorphological remission and 3 patients achieving a partial remission (Table 2).

Refractory disease could be overcome in 4 of 10 patients. There was no AEP-related mortality after a total of 34 treatment cycles in 24 patients. The overall morbidity was acceptable (n=9 infectious diseases; n=2 mucositis). Myelotoxicity was moderate with a median time of 24 days (range: 12-28) to proceed from AEP to a subsequent treatment element. Nine of 11 responding patients underwent HSCT, four of whom (patients 18, 17, 6, 19) are alive and free of disease 10, 13, 61, and 91 months from the start of AEP. The median overall survival after AEP for remission induction and HSCT is 12 months (range 5.3 to 91). Among six AEP non-responders fludarabine-based regimens<sup>3</sup> improved the remission status in three patients (patients 7, 14, 16) resulting in two partial and one complete remission. The combined use of topotecan, vinorelbine, thiotepa, dexamethasone, and gemcitabine (TVTG) 4 achieved a partial remission in a patient with primarily refractory T-ALL not responding to either AEP or idarubicin, fludarabine, cytarabine and granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (ida-FLAG). The overall survival of patients not responding to AEP was 4 months (range 1.6 to 18). MRD analyses could be performed in 17 of 24 patients on the AEP protocol. Four of six patients (patients 17,18,19,24) with a low or negative level of MRD (<10<sup>-3</sup>) pre-HSCT are alive without evidence of disease.

By contrast, only one (patient 21) of six patients carrying a high level of MRD (>10-3) into HSCT has survived and is event-free. These observations are in line with an earlier study on ALL defining MRD as a strong prognostic predictor for outcome before HSCT.<sup>5</sup> The efficacy of AEP in the HSCT-setting appears to be similar to that of the recently introduced TVTG-regimen for relapsed or refractory acute leukemia.4 Compared to the latter regimen AEP resulted in fewer adverse events with faster hematopoietic recovery and fewer infectious complications without any treatment-related deaths. A further dosage escalation of amsacrine up to a total dose of 500 mg/m<sup>2</sup> may be feasible according to former studies on childhood acute myeloid leukemia although potentially at the expense of an increased treatmentrelated morbidity and a higher risk of secondary acute myeloid leukemia.6 In the present study etoposide and amsacrine may have complemented each other in their topoII-poisoning activities.

A synergy between amsacrine and etoposide can be at least partially explained by the difference in structure of cleavable complexes, which may account for the retained activity of amsacrine in etoposide-resistant cells and vice versa. Also, amsacrine exerts its cytotoxicity by binding both topoII isoforms  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  equally, whereas etoposide predominantly targets topoII $\beta$ .

Table 1. Characteristics of patients treated with AEP (n=24).

Pt	Diagnosis	Age (y) at diagnosis/ at AEP	Sex	Prior therapy	Disease status at enrollment
1	c-ALL	13.5/14.5	М	CoALL 89; early relapse (BM): ALL REZ BFM 90	1 <sup>st</sup> rel, early; ref
2	c-ALL	14.9/19.1	М	CoALL 89; late relapse (BM): ALL REZ BFM 90	2 <sup>nd</sup> rel, early
3	Pre-B ALL	10.1/10.9	F	CoALL 92; very early relapse (BM): ALL REZ BFM 96	2 <sup>™</sup> rel, very early
4	c-ALL(Ph <sup>+</sup> )	3.9/4.8	F	CoALL 92; BMT (MRD); early relapse (BM); VCR, ADR	1st rel, early
5	c-ALL	4.4/10.9	M	CoALL 97; late relapse (BM): ALL REZ BFM 96	2 <sup>rd</sup> rel, very early; ref
6	Pro-B ALL	0.6/1.0	M	Interfant-99; early relapse (BM); OCTADD	1st rel, very early; PR
7	c-ALL (Ph <sup>*</sup> )	14.3/15.5	M	ALL BFM 95; very early relapse (BM): ALL REZ BFM 99 (pilot); HU, AraC, VCR	2 <sup>nd</sup> rel, very early; ref
8	T-ALL	2.2/3.9	М	CoALL 97; early relapse (BM); Ida,VCR, Dex	1st rel, early; ref
9	T-ALL	3.6/3.8	М	Coall 03; all BFM 2000	primarily ref
10	c- ALL	2.4/5.8	F	CoALL 97; early relapse (BM): ALL REZ BFM 96	2 <sup>rd</sup> rel, very early
11	Pre-B ALL (Ph*)	7.2/8.5	М	CoALL 97; BMT (MUD); very early relapse (BM); VCR, DNR, ASP	1st rel, very early (ref)
12	c-ALL	7.5/10.5	F	CoALL 97; late relapse (BM): ALL REZ BFM 96	2 <sup>nd</sup> rel, very early
13	Pre-B ALL	13.1/14.3	M	CoALL 97; very early relapse (combined, BM+CNS): ALL REZ BFM 96	2 <sup>rd</sup> rel; very early
14	c-ALL	3.7/5.6	M	CoALL 97; early relapse (BM): ALL REZ BFM 96; Dex, VCR, HD-AraC, ASP	1st rel, early; (ref)
15	c-ALL	3.5/5.2	F	CoALL 97; early relapse (BM): ALL REZ BFM 96	1st rel, early; (ref)
16	T-ALL	3.9/4.5	F	ALL BFM 2000 HR; very early relapse (BM)	1st rel, very early
17	c-ALL	8.0/12.1	M	CoALL 97; late relapse (BM): ALL REZ BFM 2002	1st rel, late; ref
18	T-ALL	7.7/9.2	М	Coall 97; very early relapse (EM, CNS): ALL REZ BFM 2002; i.th. MTX, AraC, Pred	3 <sup>rd</sup> rel, very early (CNS)
19	T-ALL	10.5/10.9	M	CoALL 97	primarily ref
20	c-ALL	3.4/8.2	F	CoALL 92; late relapse (BM): ALL REZ BFM 96	3 <sup>rd</sup> CR
21	c-ALL (Ph <sup>+</sup> )	4.4/4.8	F	Coall 97; NHL-BFM 95	1st CR
22	c-ALL	9.5/13.6	M	MB 91; late relapse (BM): ALL REZ BFM 96; DNX, HD-AraC, VCR, Pred	3 <sup>rd</sup> CR
23	c-ALL	2.4/4.5	M	CoALL 97; early relapse (BM): ALL REZ BFM 2002 pilot	2 <sup>nd</sup> CR
24	Pre-B ALL	11.2/11.5	M	CoALL 97; early relapse? (BM)	1st CR or 1st rel (?)

Rel: relapse; BM: bone marrow; EM: extramedullary; combined, BM and EM; Ref, refractory disease; (Ref), refractory to a single course of intensive induction treatment; CR, complete (cytomorphological) remission; PR, partial remission; Ph+; Philadelphia chromosome (Bcr-abl rearrangement); CoALL, Cooperative ALL Study Group; ALL-BEM, Berlin-Frankfurt-Münster ALL Study Group; ALL-REZ BFM10; Interfant 99, international infant ALL study group (unpublished); MB91, Moscow-Berlin ALL protocol; VCR, vincristine; ADR, doxorubicin; DNR: daunorubicin; DNX, liposomal daunorubicin; Ida, idarubicin, HD-AraC, high dose cytarabine; Dex, dexamethasone; Pred, prednisolone; MTX, methotrexate; HU: hydroxyurea; ASP: asparaginase.

Table 2. Response to salvage therapy and outcome.

Pt	Response to AEP	AEP cycles	Additional salvage therapy after AEP (response)	Time salvage to SCT (days)	SCT	Status at last follow-up (time after AEP)
1	Ref	1	-	-	-	DOD (1.6mo)
2	Ref	2	-	-	-	DOD (6mo)
3	PR	2	-	20	MUD	DOD (11mon)
4	PR	2	HAM (PR), 2 DLI (CR)	-	HSCT prior to AEP	DOD (33mon)
5	CR	1	n.d. (3rd rel)	(89)	MMRD	DOTRM (7.7mon)
6	CR	2	-	28	MUD	EFS (61mon)
7	Ref	2	Ida-FLAG (PR)	41	MUD	DOD (18mon)
8	Ref	1	-	-	-	DOD (2mon)
9	Ref	1	Ida-FLAG (NR); TVTG (PR)	27	MRD + IF-Rx	EFS (3.5mon)
10	CR	2	DNX-FLAG (CCR)	_	-	DOTRM (2mon)
11	Ref	1	HAM (Ref);DLI (Ref); Imatinib (CR)	-	HSCT prior to AEP	DOD (4mon)
12	CR	1	-	35	MRD	4 <sup>th</sup> rel (d872; OS 46mon)
13	Ref	1	-	39	MUD	DOD (4mon)
14	PR	1	DNX-FLAG (CR)	31	MRD	DOTRM (5.3mon)
15	CR	2	-	35	MRD	DOD (29mon)
16	Ref	1	DNX-FLAG (PR)	-	-	DOD (4.5mon)
17	CR	1	DNX-FLAG (CCR)	34	MRD	EFS (13mon)
18	CR	2	-	38	MUD	EFS (10mon)
19	CR	2	-	37	MUD	EFS (91mon)
20	CCR	1	VCR/ASP (CCR)	29	MUD	DOTRM (26mon)
21	CCR	1	-	27	MUD	EFS (69mon)
22	CCR	2	DNX/VCR/AraC/Pred; VCR/CPM/Pred	29	Haploident	DOD (12mon)
23	CCR	1	-	35	MRD	DOD (13mon)
24	CCR(?)	1	-	26	MUD	EFS (15mon)

Ref, refractory disease; C(C)R, complete (continuous) remission; PR, partial response; MRD, matched related donor; MMRD, mismatched related donor; MUD, matched unrelated donor; EFS, event-free survival: OS, overall survival; DLI, donor lymphocyte infusion; DOD, dead of disease; DOTRM, dead of treatment-related mortality; FLAG, fludarabine, cytarabine, GCSF; DNX, liposomal daunorubicin; Ida, idarubicin; HAM, high-dose cytarabine + mitoxantrone; TVTG: topotecan, vinorelbine, thiotepa, dexamethasone, gemcitabine; CPM, cyclophosphamide; IF-Rx, involved field lymph node irradiation; -, not applicable; n.d., additional salvage between AEP and HSCT not documented (patient 5).

Importantly, hyperphosphorylation of topoIIß may compensate for hypophosphorylation of topoIIß to maintain normal topoII function during proliferation making the topoIIß isoform an equally important drug target. In conclusion, AEP may be considered as one element of a rescue strategy in refractory or multiply relapsed ALL of childhood. Larger, prospective studies

are warranted to define its role more clearly. MRDmonitoring is a prerequisite to control rescue efficacy precisely.

Martin A. Horstmann,\* Wolf-Achim Hassenpflug,\* Udo zur Stadt, Gabi Escherich, Gritta Janka, Hartmut Kabisch

Clinic of Pediatric Oncology and Hematology, University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, Germany

\*These authors contributed equally to this study.

Acknowledgements: we are grateful to Sonja Bartl, Elke Groh and Steffi Golta for their skilled technical assistance.

Funding: this study was supported by the Fördergemeinschaft Kinderkrebs-Zentrum Hamburg.

Key words: pediatrics, acute lymphoblastic leukemia, salvage, amsacrine, etoposide, prednisolone, minimal residual disease.

Correspondence: M.A. Horstmann, MD, Clinic of Pediatric Oncology and Hematology, University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf., Martinstrasse 52, 20246 Hamburg, Germany. Phone: international +49.40.428034274. Fax: international +49.40.428034601. E-mail: horstman@uke.uni-hamburg.de

## References

- 1. Uckun FM, Kersey JH, Haake R, Weisdorf D, Nesbit ME, Ramsay NK. Pretransplantation burden of leukemic progenitor cells as a predictor of relapse after bone marrow transplantation for acute lymphoblastic leukemia. N Engl J Med 1993;329:1296-301.
- Van der Velden VH, Hochhaus A, Cazzaniga G, Szczepanski T, Gabert J, van Dongen JJ. Detection of minimal residual disease in hematologic malignancies by real-time quantitative PCR: principles, approaches, and laboratory aspects. Leukemia

2003;17:1013-34.

3. Fleischhack G, Hasan C, Graf N, Mann G, Bode U. IDA-FLAG (idarubicin, fludarabine, cytarabine, G-CSF), an effective remission-induction therapy for poor-prognosis AML of childhood prior to allogeneic or autologous bone marrow transplantation: experiences of a phase II trial. Br J Haematol 1998;102:647-55.

4. Kolb EA, Steinherz PG. A new multidrug reinduction protocol with topotecan, vinorelbine, thiotepa, dexamethasone, and

gemcitabine for relapsed or refractory acute leukemia. Leukemia 2003;17:1967-72. 5. Knechtli CJ, Goulden NJ, Hancock JP, Grandage V, Harris EL, Graland RJ, et al. Minimal residual disease status before allogeneic bone marrow transplantation is an important determinant of successful outcome for children and adolescents with acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Blood 1998;92:4072-9.

6. Steuber CP, Krischer J, Holbrook T, Camitta B, Land V, Sexauer

C, et al. Therapy of refractory or recurrent childhood acute myeloid leukemia using amsacrine and etoposide with or without azacitidine: a Pediatric Oncology Group randomized phase II study. J Clin Oncol 1996;14: 1521-5.

7. Freudenreich CH, Kreuzer KN. Localization of an aminoacridine antitumor agent in a tyme II topogeomerse. DNA com-

dine antitumor agent in a type II topoisomerase-DNA complex. Proc Natil Acad Sci USA 1994;91:11007-10. Grabowski DR, Holmes KA, Aoyama M, Ye Y, Rybicki LA,

Bukowski RM, et al. Altered drug interaction and regulation of topoisomerase IIbeta: potential mechanisms governing sensitivity of HL-60 cells to amsacrine and etoposide. Mol Pharmacol 1999;56:1340-5

Harms DO, Janka-Schaub GE. Co-operative study group for childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia (COALL): Long-term follow-up of trials 82, 85, 89, and 92. Leukemia 2000;14:2234-

10. Henze G, Fengler R, Hartmann R, Kornhuber B, Janka-Schaub G, Niethammer D, et al. Six-year experience with a comprehensive approach to the treatment of recurrent childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL-REZ BFM 85). A relapse study of the BFM group. Blood 1991;78:1166-72.