Correspondence: Jean-Philippe Merlio, EA 2406 Histologie et Pathologie Moléculaire, Université Victor Segalen, 146 rue Léo Saignat, 33076 Bordeaux Cedex, France. E-mail: jp.merlio@histo.u-bordeaux2.fr

## References

- Motokura T, Arnold A. PRAD1/cyclin D1 proto-oncogene: genomic organization, 5' DNA sequence, and sequence of a tumor-specific rearrangement breakpoint. Genes Chromosomes Cancer 1993;7:89-95.
   Rosenwald A, Wright G, Wiestner A, Chan WC, Connors JM, Connors J
- Campo E, et al. The proliferation gene expression signature is a quantitative integrator of oncogenic events that predicts sur-vival in mantle cell lymphoma. Cancer Cell 2003;3:185-97.
- Betticher DC, Thatcher N, Altermatt HJ, Hoban P, Ryder WD, 3. Heighway J. Alternate splicing produces a novel cyclin D1 transcript. Oncogene 1995;11:1005-11.
  Hosokawa Y, Tu T, Tahara H, Smith AP, Arnold A. Absence of
- cyclin D1/PRAD1 point mutations in human breast cancers and parathyroid adenomas and identification of a new cyclin D1 gene polymorphism. Cancer Lett 1995;93:165-70. Sawa H, Ohshima TA, Ukita H, Murakami H, Chiba Y, Kamada
- H, et al. Alternatively spliced forms of cyclin D1 modulate entry into the cell cycle in an inverse manner. Oncogene 1998;16: 1701-12
- Holley SL, Parkes G, Matthias C, Bockmuhl U, Jahnke V, Leder K, et al. Cyclin D1 polymorphism and expression in patients with squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck. Am J Pathol 2001;159:1917-24.
- Porter TR, Richards FM, Houlston RS, Evans DG, Jankowski JA, Macdonald F, et al. Contribution of cyclin d1 (CCND1) and E-cadherin (CDH1) polymorphisms to familial and sporadic col-orectal cancer. Oncogene 2002;21:1928-33.
   Howe D, Lynas C. The cyclin D1 alternative transcripts [a] and
- b] are expressed in normal and malignant lymphocytes and their relative levels are influenced by the polymorphism at codon 241. Haematologica 2001;86:563-9.
- Howe JG, Crouch J, Cooper D, Smith BR. Real-time quantita-tive reverse transcription-PCR for cyclin D1 mRNA in blood,
- tive reverse transcription-PCR for cyclin D1 mRNA in blood, marrow, and tissue specimens for diagnosis of mantle cell lymphoma. Clin Chem 2004;50:80-7.
  10. Yang W, Agrawal N, Patel J, Edinger A, Osei E, Thut D, et al. Diminished expression of CD19 in B-cell lymphomas. Cytometry B Clin Cytom 2005;63B:28-35.
  11. Uchimaru K, Taniguchi T, Yoshikawa M, Asano S, Arnold A, Fujita T, et al. Detection of cyclin D1 (bcl-1, PRAD1) overexpression by a simple competitive reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction assay in t(11;14)(q13;q32)-bearing B-cell malignancies and/or mantle cell lymphoma. Blood 1997;89: 965-74.

## Malignant Lymphomas

**Rituximab monotherapy for splenic marginal zone** lymphoma

In this retrospective study, rituximab was found to be effective therapy in 10 of 11 patients with splenic marginal zone lymphoma, inducing prompt reduction in splenomegaly, improvement in blood counts in 9 patients and clearance of a pleural effusion in 1 patient. Median response duration was 21 months (range 4 to 37 months). Two patients who relapsed at 21 and 23 months responded to retreatment. Rituximab should be considered in patients who are poor candidates for splenectomy.

haematologica 2005; 90:856-858 (http://www.haematologica.org/journal/2005/6/856.html)

Splenic marginal zone lymphoma (SMZL) is accepted within the WHO classification as an indolent disease with distinct clinical, morphological and immunophenotypic features.<sup>1,2</sup> Patients typically have splenomegaly, inconspicuous lymphadenopathy, and circulating neoplastic cells characterized by unipolar cytoplasmic projections, round or oval nuclei and clumped chromatin. The lymphoma cells express CD19, CD20, and CD22 but not usually CD5, CD10, CD23, CD25, CD43, CD103 or cyclin D1.<sup>3</sup> No treatment may be necessary at the outset. In initially untreated patients the 5-year survival rate has been reported to be 88%.<sup>4</sup> Although no standard therapy has yet been established, splenectomy is considered the treatment of choice giving a significant survival advantage over chemotherapy.5

We treated 11 patients with the anti-CD20 chimeric monoclonal antibody rituximab at a dose of 375 mg/m<sup>2</sup> once a week for 4 consecutive weeks and retrospectively reviewed these patients' records.

The diagnosis of SMZL was based on clinical features, cell morphology and an immunofluorescent phenotype which excluded other types of lymphoid malignancy (Table 1). Ten patients had enlarged spleens palpable between 6 and 21 cm below the costal margin and were treated because of cytopenias and symptomatic splenomegaly. One patient (#9) had had a splenectomy three months previously and was treated because of a persistent symptomatic exudative pleural effusion. Nine patients had had previous chemotherapy with prednisone, chlorambucil, fludarabine, or cyclophosphamide. Rituximab was chosen because the patients were considered poor candidates for surgery or had refused surgery. A complete hematologic response was defined as the absence of a palpable spleen, disappearance of villous lymphocytes from the peripheral blood and normalization of the complete blood count. A partial response was defined as at least a 50% decrease in spleen size and improvement in blood counts. Bone marrow was not reexamined. All patients tolerated rituximab infusions without serious side effects such as tumor lysis syndrome. Ten of the 11 responded. Nine of the 10 patients with splenomegaly had an initial rapid reduction in spleen size followed by a slower decrease, resulting in an impalpable or barely palpable spleen in 2 to 34 weeks (median 16 weeks) accompanied by improvement in blood counts and disappearance of villous lymphocytes. The pleural effusion in patient #9 cleared completely leaving minimal blunting of the left costal margin within 3 months. Eight of the 10 responding patients had complete resolution of their cytopenias (Table 2). Two patients had persistent mild thrombocytopenia, although their spleens were barely palpable. Patient #5 did not respond and later underwent splenectomy. She developed a post-operative pancreatic fistula which took some months to heal, but now remains well with improved blood counts.

In 8 of the 10 responders there has been no evidence of disease progression after a median follow-up of 21 months (range 4-37). Patient #1 was successfully retreated with a second course of rituximab at 21 months because of recurrent progressive splenomegaly and anemia. She was then aged 93 and tolerated the therapy very well. Patient #7 was also successfully retreated at 23 months because of anemia, splenomegaly and night sweats.

As the neoplastic cells in SMZL express CD20 strongly, response to rituximab seemed likely. Indeed, a gratifying response was obtained to rituximab given as a single agent in this series, even in a nonagenarian who could tolerate few other treatment modalities. A previous abstract reported a comparable experience with rituximab in 14 patients described as having splenic lymphoma with villous lymphocytes or marginal zone lym-

Table 1	1.	Clinical	features	of	the	patients	and	their	previous	treatment.
---------	----	----------	----------	----	-----	----------	-----	-------	----------	------------

No.	Age	Sex	Blood	BM	Nodes	Spleen	Previous Treatment
1a* 1b	91 93	F	+ +	+	-	16 18	chlorambucil & prednisone rituximab
2	51	F	+	+	-	15	chlorambucil & prednisone
3	78	F	+	+	-	20	chlorambucil & prednisone
4	72	М	+	+	-	6	chlorambucil & prednisone
5	73	F	+	+	-	21	chlorambucil & prednisone
6	47	М	+	+	-	7	chlorambucil & prednisone
7a* 7b	55 57	М	+ +	-	+# +#	14 14	none rituximab
8	85	М	+	-	-	11	prednisone, fludarabine cyclophosphamide
9	75	М	+	+	-	-	splenectomy
10	79	М	-	+	-	15	none
11	79	F	+	+	-	6	chlorambucil & prednisone

No : patient number Age : in years Blood : presence of villous lymphocytes in peripheral blood; BM : Bone marrow involvement; Nodes : significant lymphadenopathy Spleen : cm below the costal margin; \*Ia and 7a : features prior to first treatment; Ib and 7b : features prior to second treatment; #2 cm periaortic node which responded to each course of rituximab.

able 2. Response to rituximab.											
Prior to therapy								Time to disease			
Spleen size cm*	Hb g/dL	Neu ×10º/L	Lym ×10º/L	Plts ×10º/L	Spleen size cm*	Hb g/dL	Neu ×10º/L	Lym ×10º/L	Plts ×10º/L	Progression free (months)	progression
16 18	9.5 9.7	2.82 2.96	1.04 3.72	76 123	0 0	15.0 12.7	4.41 4.72	1.41 1.89	157 157	11 4	21
15	10.9	1.12	0.45	81	0	14.4	4.18	1.07	163	24	
20	9.5	3.08	18.6	150	0	13.1	4.4	2.89	178	37	
6	9.3	5.18	2.17	82	01	13.4	5.24	1.67	165	13	
21	12.1	1.62	3.98	77	20	12.1	2.16	2.36	96		
7	12.1	3.33	21.7	209	0	15.8	5.89	2.43	190	10	
14 14	12.5 13.5	4.4 3.2	3.2 2.3	169 166	0 0	16.3 15.2	3.9 5.4	1.6 1.8	222 239	19 4	23
11	11.3	2.0	3.0	112	1	12.3	5.75	0.6	123	36	
-#	13.6	4.6	7.2	299	-	14.8	3.5	4.2	210	29	
15	12.9	0.8	1.1	83	0	15.5	4.1	1.6	109	17	
6	9.4	3.3	8.23	210	0	11.7	3.62	1.37	244	4	
	2. Respo Spleen size cm* 16 18 15 20 6 21 7 14 14 11 -# 15 6	Product         Product           Spleen size cm*         Hb g/dL           16         9.5           18         9.7           15         10.9           20         9.5           6         9.3           21         12.1           14         12.5           11         11.3           #         13.6           15         12.9           6         9.4	Product           Spleen size cm*         Hb g/dL         Neu x10°/L           16         9.5         2.82           18         9.7         2.96           15         10.9         1.12           20         9.5         3.08           6         9.3         5.18           21         12.1         1.62           7         12.1         3.33           14         12.5         4.4           14         3.5         3.2           11         11.3         2.0           #         13.6         4.6           15         12.9         0.8           6         9.4         3.3	Prior to thera           Spleen size cm*         Hb g/dL         Neu x10°/L         Lym x10°/L           16         9.5         2.82         1.04           18         9.7         2.96         3.72           15         10.9         1.12         0.45           20         9.5         3.08         18.6           6         9.3         5.18         2.17           21         12.1         1.62         3.98           7         12.1         3.33         21.7           14         12.5         4.4         3.2           11         11.3         2.0         3.0           #         13.6         4.6         7.2           15         12.9         0.8         1.1           6         9.4         3.3         8.23	Prior to therapy           Spleen size cm*         Hb g/dL         Neu 10°/L         Lym 10°/L         Plts 10°/L           16         9.5         2.82         1.04         76           18         9.7         2.96         3.72         123           15         10.9         1.12         0.45         81           20         9.5         3.08         18.6         150           6         9.3         5.18         2.17         82           21         12.1         1.62         3.98         77           7         12.1         3.33         21.7         209           14         12.5         4.4         3.2         169           14         13.5         3.2         2.3         166           11         11.3         2.0         3.0         112           #         13.6         4.6         7.2         299           15         12.9         0.8         1.1         83           6         9.4         3.3         8.23         210	Prior to therapy           Spleen size cm*         Hb g/dL         Neu 10 <sup>9</sup> /L         Lym 10 <sup>9</sup> /L         Plts 10 <sup>9</sup> /L         Spleen size cm*           16         9.5         2.82         1.04         76         0           18         9.7         2.96         3.72         123         0           15         10.9         1.12         0.45         81         0           20         9.5         3.08         18.6         150         0           6         9.3         5.18         2.17         82         1           21         12.1         1.62         3.98         77         20           7         12.1         3.33         21.7         209         0           14         12.5         4.4         3.2         166         0           14         13.5         3.2         2.3         166         0           11         11.3         2.0         3.0         112         1           #         13.6         4.6         7.2         299         -           15         12.9         0.8         1.1         83         0           6         9.4         3.3	Prior to therapy           Prior to therapy           Spleen size cm*         Hb g/dL         Neu 10 <sup>9</sup> /L         Lym 10 <sup>9</sup> /L         Plts 10 <sup>9</sup> /L         Spleen size cm*         Hb g/dL           16         9.5         2.82         1.04         76         0         15.0           18         9.7         2.96         3.72         123         0         12.7           15         10.9         1.12         0.45         81         0         14.4           20         9.5         3.08         18.6         150         0         13.1           6         9.3         5.18         2.17         82         1         13.4           21         12.1         1.62         3.98         77         20         12.1           7         12.1         3.33         21.7         209         0         15.8           14         12.5         4.4         3.2         166         0         15.2           11         1.13         2.0         3.0         112         1         12.3           ##         13.6         4.6         7.2         299         -         14.8           15	Prior to therapyPrior to therapySpleen size $cm^*$ Hb g/dLNeu $\times 10^g/L$ Lym $\times 10^g/L$ Plts $\times 10^g/L$ Spleen size $cm^*$ Hb g/dLNeu $\times 10^g/L$ 16 18 9.79.5 2.962.82 3.721.04 12376 00 15.0 12.74.41 4.7215 10.91.12 1.120.45 0.4581 00 14.44.1820 9.5 3.0818.6 1.50150 013.1 1.444.41 4.1820 9.5 12.15.18 3.082.17 3.0882 11 3.345.24 5.2421 14 14 13.5 14 13.52.17 3.2 2.320 166 1521.63 3.9 3.9 144 13.53.9 3.9 3.914 14 13.5 15.22.0 3.03.0 112112 1 12.33.575 5.411 11.3 13.64.6 4.6 7.22.99 2.99- 14.83.515 12.90.8 3.31.1 8.230 11.711.7 3.62	Prior to therapy       After therapy         Prior to therapy       After therapy         Spleen size cm*       g/dL       Neu $\times 10^9/L$ Lym $\times 10^9/L$ Spleen size cm*       Hb       Neu $\times 10^9/L$ Lym $\times 10^9/L$ 16       9.5       2.82       1.04       76       0       15.0       4.41       1.41         18       9.7       2.96       3.72       123       0       12.7       4.72       1.89         15       10.9       1.12       0.45       81       0       14.4       4.18       1.07         20       9.5       3.08       18.6       150       0       13.1       4.4       2.89         6       9.3       5.18       2.17       82       1       13.4       5.24       1.67         21       12.1       1.62       3.98       77       20       12.1       2.16       2.36         7       12.1       3.33       21.7       209       0       15.8       5.89       2.43         14       12.5       4.4       3.2       169       0       16.3       3.9       1.6         14       13.5 <td< td=""><td>Prior to therapy       After therapy         Prior to therapy       After therapy         Spleen size cm*       ½       Neu s/0<sup>2</sup>/L       ×10<sup>2</sup>/L       ×10<sup>2</sup>/L       Spleen size cm*       Hb g/dL       Neu s/10<sup>2</sup>/L       Lym s/10<sup>2</sup>/L       Plts s/10<sup>2</sup>/L         16       9.5       2.82       1.04       76       0       15.0       4.41       1.41       157         15       10.9       1.12       0.45       81       0       14.4       4.18       1.07       163         20       9.5       3.08       18.6       150       0       13.1       4.4       2.89       178         6       9.3       5.18       2.17       82       1       13.4       5.24       1.67       165         21       12.1       1.62       3.98       77       20       12.1       2.16       2.36       96         7       12.1       3.33       21.7       209       0       15.8       5.89       2.43       190         14       12.5       4.4       3.2       166       0       15.2       5.4       1.8       239         11       11.3       2.0</td><td>Prior to therapy       After therapy         Spleen size size size size size size size size</td></td<>	Prior to therapy       After therapy         Prior to therapy       After therapy         Spleen size cm*       ½       Neu s/0 <sup>2</sup> /L       ×10 <sup>2</sup> /L       ×10 <sup>2</sup> /L       Spleen size cm*       Hb g/dL       Neu s/10 <sup>2</sup> /L       Lym s/10 <sup>2</sup> /L       Plts s/10 <sup>2</sup> /L         16       9.5       2.82       1.04       76       0       15.0       4.41       1.41       157         15       10.9       1.12       0.45       81       0       14.4       4.18       1.07       163         20       9.5       3.08       18.6       150       0       13.1       4.4       2.89       178         6       9.3       5.18       2.17       82       1       13.4       5.24       1.67       165         21       12.1       1.62       3.98       77       20       12.1       2.16       2.36       96         7       12.1       3.33       21.7       209       0       15.8       5.89       2.43       190         14       12.5       4.4       3.2       166       0       15.2       5.4       1.8       239         11       11.3       2.0	Prior to therapy       After therapy         Spleen size size size size size size size size

\*cm below the left costal margin; \*\*1a and 7a first treatment course; 1b and 7b second treatment course after relapse; # splenectomy before therapy; Hb, hemoglobin; Neu, neutrophils; Lym, lymphocytes; Plts, platelets.

phoma.<sup>6</sup> Eight patients responded completely, 3 had a partial response and 3 did not respond. No relapses occurred in the complete responders after a median follow-up of 10 months. In our cases, 8 of the responses were complete and the median progression-free survival of those who did not relapse is 21 months (range 4 to 37 months). The two relapses were both successfully retreated and the second responses continue at 4 months. In another recent report,<sup>7</sup> there was a complete response

to rituximab, vincristine and cyclophosphamide in three patients previously resistant to CHOP. Our experience suggests that a trial of rituximab alone should be used before resorting to combination chemotherapy. Not all patients respond to rituximab, but the use of this agent does not preclude subsequent use of other modalities of treatment. Patient 5# was eventually successfully subjected to splenectomy. Our results suggest that rituximab has considerable activity in this indolent lymphoma. It could replace splenectomy as a good palliative procedure, especially in elderly patients or those with concomitant disease in whom the risks of this procedure are of concern.

> Michael Bennett,\* Kumud Sharma,° Shaiv Yegena,\* Israel Gavish,\* Harish P. Dave,° Geraldine P. Schechter°

\*Department of Hematology, Ha'Emek Medical Center, Israel; Hematology/Oncology, George Washington University and Veterans Affairs Medical Center, Washington, DC, USA; #Department of Hematology, Poriah Hospital, Israel

Correspondence: Michael Bennett, Ha'Emek Medical Center, Afula, Israel. Phone: international + 9.72.46494247. Fax: international + 9.72.46495128. E-mail: benet\_m@clalit.org.il

## References

- Jaffe ES, Harris NL, Stein H, Vardiman JW. World Health 1. Organisation classification of tumours. Pathology and Genetics of Tumours of Haemopietic and Lymphoid Tissues. Lyon. IARC Press; 2001.
- Catovsky D, Matutes E. Splenic lymphoma with circulating villous lymphocytes/splenic marginal-zone lymphoma. Semin Hematol 1999;36:148-54.
- Jennings CD, Foon KA. Recent advances in flow cytometry: application to the diagnosis of hematologic malignancy. Blood 1997;90:2863-92.
- 1997;90:2863-92.
   Troussard X, Valensi F, Duchayne E, Garand R, Felman P, Tulliez M, et al. Splenic lymphoma with villous lymphocytes: clinical presentation, biology and prognostic factors in a series of 100 patients. Br J Haematol 1996;93:731-6.
   Parry-Jones N, Matutes E, Gruszka-Westwood AM, Swansbury GJ, Wotherspoon AC, Catovsky, D. Prognostic features of splenic lymphoma with villous lymphocytes: a report on 129 patients. Br J Haematol 2003;120:759-64.
   Thomas DA, O'Brian S, Cortes J, Faderl S, Fayad L, Garcia-Manero G, et al. Treatment of splenic lymphoma with villous
- Manero G, et al. Treatment of splenic lymphoma with villous lymphocytes (SLVL) and marginal zone lymphomas (MZL) with single agent rituximab. Blood 2001; 98(suppl):134a Abstract#563
- Arcaini L, Orlandi E, Scotti M, Brusamolino E, Passamonti F, Burcheri S, et al. Combination of rituximab, cyclophos-7 phamide, and vincristine induces complete hematologic remission of splenic marginal zone lymphoma. Clin Lymphoma 2004;4:250-2.

## Multiple Myeloma

Correlation between fatigue and hemoglobin level in multiple myeloma patients: results of a cross-sectional study

This cross-sectional study showed a positive correlation between fatigue-related quality of life, evaluated with the FACT-An questionnaire, and hemoglobin level in 1071 patients with multiple myeloma. Multiple regression analysis adjusting for several covariates was used. Improved FACT-An scores in women and men were associated with hemoglobin increase up to sex-specific normal values.

haematologica 2005: 90:858-860 (http://www.haematologica.org/journal/2005/6/858.html)

Anemia is a very common finding in hematologic malignancies including multiple myeloma (MM), and is especially severe in patients with recurrent disease or during chemotherapy.<sup>1</sup> Improved quality of life (QOL) is correlated with increased hemoglobin concentration,<sup>2-6</sup> independ-ently of tumor response.<sup>23</sup> The objective of this cross-sectional study was to further examine the relationship



Figure 1. FACT-An scores and hemoglobin levels: multiple logistic regression using third-order polynomials.

between fatigue-related QOL, hemoglobin level and other characteristics in MM patients.

All MM outpatients admitted in 24 Italian centers between November 2001 and March 2002 were included. Patients' demographic and clinical data were collected, and the Functional Assessment of Cancer Therapy Anemia (FACT-An), a 20-item questionnaire measuring fatigue-related QOL,<sup>7</sup> was administered. Raw FACT-An scores were calculated<sup>8</sup> and logit-transformed to obtain approximately normal distributions. The regression of FACT-An scores on hemoglobin was studied using polynomials of increasing order until achieving the maximum adjusted R<sup>2</sup>. Other factors, as well as two-way interactions, were tested for addition (if p < 0.05) into a multiple regression model. Treatment center and its interactions with other model factors (fixed effects) were added as random effects. Data analyses were performed using SAS®.

Of 1071 consecutive patients enrolled, hemoglobin and FACT-An data were available for 1046 (Table 1). The median disease duration was 23 months. MM treatment had previously been administered to 76.6% of the patients and included bone marrow transplant in 31.2%. Treatment was ongoing in 72.0% (chemotherapy with corticosteroids 25.8%, chemotherapy only 11.8%, corticosteroids only 8.5%, interferon 15.7%, thalidomide 10.9%, bisphosphonates 8.4%), while 16.6% were receiving erythropoietin. The mean hemoglobin (Hb) concentration was 11.90 g/dL (SD 1.87, range 6.4-17.0, median 12.0) and 6.1% of the patients were transfusion-dependent. Mean raw FACT-An scores were 56.3 overall (median 60), 36.4 for the fatigue subscale (median 39), and 20.0 for the non-fatigue items (median 21). The FACT-An scale showed good internal consistency (Cronbach's  $\alpha$  0.83). The median raw FACT-An scores increased from 45 for Hb  $\geq$  9 g/dL to 64 for Hb > 14 g/dL. The linear regression coefficient of logit-transformed scores on hemoglobin was 1.36 (standard error 0.135, Pearson's r 0.297, p=0.0001). Score improvements per hemoglobin increase were progressively lower, however, and a third-order polynomial best fitted the data (R<sup>2</sup> 0.103, i.e. explaining 10.3% of FACT-An score variability versus 8.8% of linear regression). This regression pattern was still observed (Figure 1) after adjusting for other factors (Table 1). The main (p < 0.01) independent predictors of lower FACT-An scores besides anemia were female sex, older age, unfavorable response, advanced stage and concurrent illness (Table 1). Two-way interactions between factors, including hemoglobin concentration, were not significant. The model R<sup>2</sup>