Correspondence: Blanca Espinet, PhD, Laboratori de Citogenètica i Biologia Molecular, Servei de Patologia, Hospital del Mar, Passeig Marítim 25-29, E-08003 Barcelona, Spain. Phone: international +34.93.2483035. Fax: international +34-93-2483131. E-mail: bespinet@imas.imim.es

References

- Nowell PC, Hungerford DA. A minute chromosome in human chronic granulocytic leukemia. Science 1960;132:1497-501.
 Deininger MW, Goldman JM, Melo JV. The molecular biology
- of chronic myeloid leukemia. Blood 2000;96:3343-56.
- O'Brian SG, Guilhot F, Larson R, Gathmann I, Baccarani M, Cervantes F, et al. Imatinib compared with interferon and low-3 dose cytarabine for newly diagnosed chronic-phase chronic myeloid leukemia. The IRIS investigators.N Engl J Med 2003;348:994-1004.
- O'Dwyer ME, Gatter KM, Loriaux M, Druker BJ, Olson SB, Magenis E, et al. Demonstration of Philadelphia chromosome negative abnormal clones in patients with chronic myelogenous leukemia during major cytogenetic responses induced by imatinib mesylate. Leukemia 2003;17:481-7. Feldman E, Najfeld V, Schuster M, Roboz G, Chadburn A,
- 5 Silver RT. The emergence of Ph negative, trisomy 8 cells in patients with chronic myeloid leukemia treated with imatinib mesylate. Exp Hematol 2003;31:702-7. Medina J, Kantarjian H, Talpaz M, O'Brien S, Garcia-Manero G, Giles F, et al. Chromosomal abnormalities in Philadelphia
- chromosome-negative metaphases appearing during imatinib mesylate in patients with Philadelphia chromosome-positive chronic myelogenous leukemia in chronic phase. Cancer 2003; 98:1905-11
- Loriaux M, Deininger M. Clonal cytogenetic abnormalities in 7. Philadelphia chromosome negative cells in chronic myeloid leukemia patients treated with imatinib. Leuk Lymphoma 2004;45:2197-203.
- Fayad L, Kantarjian H, O'Brien S, Seong D, Albitar M, Keating M, et al. Emergence of new clonal abnormalities following interferon-a induced complete cytogenetic response in patients with chronic myeloid leukemia: report of three cases. Leukemia 1997;11:767-71.
- 9 ISCN. An International System for Human Cytogenetics Nomenclature. 1995. Karger, Basel
- 10. Terre C, Eclache V, Rousselot P, Imbert M, Charrin C, Gervais C, et al. Report of 34 patients with clonal chromosomal abnormalities in Philadelphia-negative cells during imatinib treat-ment of Philadelphia-positive chronic myeloid leukemia. The France Intergroupe pour la Leucemie Myeloide Chronique. Leukemia 2004;18:1340-6.
- 11. Guilbert-Douet N, Morel F, Le Bris MJ, Berthou C, Morice P, Bourquard P, et al. Clonal chromosomal abnormalities in the Philadelphia chromosome negative cells of chronic myeloid leukemia patients treated with imatinib. Leukemia 2004; 18: 1140-2.

Acute Myeloid Leukemia

The incidence of submicroscopic deletions in reciprocal translocations is similar in acute myeloid leukemia, BCR-ABL positive acute lymphoblastic leukemia, and chronic myeloid leukemia

We compared the incidence of submicroscopic deletions accompanying balanced translocations using interphase fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) in 245 patients with chronic myeloid leukemia (CML), 79 patients with acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL) and BCR-ABL (n=70) or MLL rearrangements (n=29), and 412 patients with acute myeloid leukemia (AML) with CBFB-MYH11 (n=122), PML-RAR α (n=108), AML1-ETO (n=112), or MLL rearrangements (n=98). The incidence of submicroscopic deletions was 2-9% depending on the entity.

haematologica 2005; 90:558-559 (http://www.haematologica.org/journal/2005/4/558.html)

Submicroscopic deletions adjacent to the breakpoints of balanced translocations were identified in 9%-16% of all cases of chronic myeloid leukemia (CML) by interphase fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH).^{1,2} The rate of hematologic and cytogenetic responses to imatinib was statistically significantly lower in patients with deletions.1

So far only a few studies, with limited numbers of cases, have examined the incidence and prognostic impact of submicroscopic deletions in balanced translocations in acute myeloid leukemia (AML) and acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL) (Table 1). We, therefore, determined the incidence of submicroscopic deletions in the most common balanced rearrangements in leukemia using interphase FISH. This study was based on 245 cases with CML, 79 patients with ALL, and 412 patients with AML, who were referred to our laboratory from January 2000 to April 2004. The cohort of patients with AML comprised 112 patients with AML1-ETO, 108 patients with PML-RARa, 122 patients with CBFB-MYH11, and 96 patients with different MLL rearrangements. The cohort with ALL comprised 70 patients with BCR-ABL positive ALL and 29 patients with different MLL rearrangements. Cytogenetic analysis was performed in all cases. FISH was performed on interphase nuclei and/or metaphases on bone marrow smears or blood smears. We used a BCR-ABL two color/two fusion probe (Cancer Genetics, CGPT 07), a LSI AML1-ETO dual color, dual fusion translocation probe, a LSI PML- $RAR\alpha$ dual color, dual fusion translocation probe, a LSI CBFB dual color, break apart rearrangement probe (Core Binding Factor β -subunit), and a LSI MLL dual color, break apart rearrangement probe (Abbott, 5J 63-01). At least 100 interphase nuclei were viewed for each case. The analyzing system, ISIS^R (MetaSystems, Altussheim, Germany), was used for documentation.

In all cases the leukemia-specific fusion transcripts were also amplified by reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR). For analysis of MLL fusions with partner genes in AML and ALL the respective RT-PCR was performed. In CML we found submicroscopic deletions in 9% of cases (22/245) with interphase FISH. In BCR-ABL positive ALL the incidence was 6% (4/70) and in ALL with MLL rearrangements it was 3% (1/29). In the different subgroups of AML the incidence of deletions was between 2% and 8% (AML1-ETO: 4% (4/112); CBFB-MYH11: 2% (3/122); PML-RARa: 6% (7/108); MLL rearrangements: 8% (8/96) (χ^2 , n.s.) (Table 1)

Submicroscopic deletions occur in 9-16% of patients with CML and are clearly associated with an inferior prognosis.^{1,2} We determined the incidence of submicroscopic deletions in the most frequent reciprocal translocations in acute leukemias, as well as in CML. Our results in CML (deletions in 9%) and in BCR-ABL positive ALL (deletions in 6%) were in the ranges reported in the literature.1-3 The incidence that was found in ALL with different MLL rearrangements was 3%, which is lower than published so far.⁴We observed deletions in 3% of AML with *PML-RAR* α and in 4% of AML with *AML1-ETO*. Kolomietz et al. did not find deletions in subtypes of AML; to our knowledge their study is the only other examination of submicroscopic deletions in these subtypes.² The incidence of submicroscopic deletions in AML with CBFB-MYH11 was 2% in our study.

These data provide a strong indication that the frequency of these deletions is much lower than previously published (10-33%).^{25,6} We found submicroscopic del-

Table 1. Comparison of our data and previously published data: incidence of submicroscopic deletions in leukemias with reciprocal translocations.

Disease	Reference
CML	22/245 (9%): this study
	23/250 (9.2%): Kolomietz et al., 2001
	39/241 (16.2%): Huntly et al., 2001
BCR-ABL positive ALL	4/70 (6%): this study
	1/13 (7.7%) Kolomietz et al., 2001
	4/45 (9%) Specchia et al., 2003
ALL with MLL rearrangements	1/29 (3%): this study
	3/18 children (17%): Barber et al., 2004
AML with AML1-ETO	4/112 (4%): this study
	0/14 (0%): Kolomietz <i>et al.</i> , 2001
AML with CBFB-MYH11	3/122 (2%): this study
	5/15 (33%): Martinet et al., 1997
	2/20 (10%): Kolomietz et al., 2001
	6/42 (14%): Marlton et al., 1995
AML with PML-RARA	3/108 (3%): this study
	0/30 (0%): Kolomietz <i>et al.</i> , 2001
AML with MLL rearrangements	8/96 (8%): this study
	0/22 children (0%): Mathew et al., 1999
ALL and AML with MLL	7/43 (16%): Kolomietz et al., 2001
rearrangements	

tions in 8% of our cohort with AML with different MLL rearrangements. This is in contrast to the results of Mathew et al., who did not find submicroscopic deletions in 22 children with AML and MLL rearrangements.

In conclusion, we found a similar incidence of 2-9% of submicroscopic deletions in a variety of leukemias. Although we analyzed a high number of patients we were unable to determine the prognostic impact of these deletions in acute leukemias (data not shown) because of the limited number of patients with submicroscopic deletions and the specific individual prognoses: AML with favorable balanced translocations have a good prognosis; MLL rearrangements in acute leukemias and BCR-ABL positive ALL show a poor outcome.

Submicroscopic deletions could represent a non-specific event in different types of leukemia without an association with a specific entity.² Polymerase chain reaction and Southern blot analyses of reciprocal breakpoints in ALL with MLL rearrangements and in CML showed a high rate of submicroscopic deletions at the molecular level.^{8,9} The loss of tumor-suppressor genes due to submicroscopic deletions in CML might play a role in the worse prognosis of these patients.1 Given the very low incidence of cases with deletions accompanying reciprocal translocations in AML and ALL, definitive conclusions on prognosis cannot be drawn.

> Ulrike Bacher, Susanne Schnittger, Wolfgang Kern, Wolfgang Hiddemann, Torsten Haferlach, Claudia Schoch

Laboratory for Leukemia Diagnostics, Department for Internal Medicine III, Klinikum Grosshadern, Ludwig-Maximilians-University, Marchioninistr. 15, D-81377 Munich, Germany

Key words: submicroscopic deletions, interphase fluorescence in situ hybridization, balanced translocations, AML, BCR-ABL positive ÁIJ.

Correspondence: Ulrike Bacher, M.D., Laboratory for Leukemia Diagnostics, Klinikum Grosshadern Ludwig-Maximilians-University, Munich, Marchioninistr. 15, D-81377 Munich, Germany. Phone: international +49.89.70954972. Fax: international +49.89.70954971. E-mail: ulrike.bacher@med.unimuenchen.de

References

- Huntly BJP, Reid AG, Bench AJ, Campbell LJ, Telford N, Shepherd P, et al. Deletions of the derivative chromosome 9 occur at the time of the Philadelphia translocation and provide a powerful and independent prognostic indicator in chronic myeloid leukemia. Blood 2001;98:1732-8.
 Kolomietz E, Al Maghrabi J, Brennan S, Karaskova J, Minkin S, Lipton J, et al. Primary chromosomal rearrangements of leukemia are frequently accompanied by extensive submicro-scopic deletions and may lead to altered prognosis. Blood 2001;97:3581-8.
 Specchia G, Albano F, Anelli L, Storlazzi CT, Zagaria A, Mancini
- Specchia G, Albano F, Anelli L, Storlazzi CT, Zagaria A, Mancini M, et al. Deletions on der(9) chromosome in adult Ph-positive acute lymphoblastic leukemia occur with a frequency similar to that observed in chronic myeloid leukemia. Leukemia 2003;17:528-31.
- Barber, Kerry, Ford A, Harris R, Harrison C, Moorman A. MLL translocations with concurrent 3' deletions: interpretation of FISH results. Genes Chromosomes Cancer 2005;41:266-71.
 Marlton P, Claxton DF, Liu P, Estey EH, Beran M, Lebeau M, et al. Molecular characterization of 16P deletions associated with inversion-16 defines the critical fusion for leukemogenesis. Blood 1995;85:772-9.
 Marlton M, Mullerentter D, Learner M, Berlin V, Hen H.
- Blood 1995;85:772-9.
 Martinet D, Muhlematter D, Leeman M, Parlier V, Hess U, Gmur J, et al. Detection of 16q deletions by FISH in patients with inv(16) or t(16;16) and acute myeloid leukemia (AML). Leukemia 1997;11:964-70.
 Mathew S, Behm FG, Dalton J, Raimondi SC. Comparison of cytogenetics, Southern blotting, and fluorescence in situ hybridization as methods for detecting MLL gene rearrangements in children with acute leukemia and with 11g23 abnor-
- ments in children with acute leukemia and with 11q23 abnormalities. Leukemia 1999;13:1713-20.
- Gillert E, Leis T, Repp R, Reichel M, Hosch A, Breitenlohner I, et al. A DNA damage repair mechanism is involved in the ori-gin of chromosomal translocations t(4;11) in primary leukemic cells. Oncogene 1999;18:4663-71.
- Reichel M, Gillert E, Nilson I, Siegler G, Greil J, Fey GH, et al. Fine structure of translocation breakpoints in leukemic blasts with chromosomal translocation t(4;11): the DNA damage-repair model of translocation. Oncogene 1998;17:3035-44.

Multiple Myeloma

Global real-time quantification/reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction for detecting proto-oncogenes associated with 14q32 chromosomal translocation in multiple myeloma

A global real-time quantitative/reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction technique for detecting the expression of six 14q32 chromosomal translocation-associated proto-oncogenes in marrow plasma cells was established and applied to myeloma specimens. This technique is an alternative method of detecting 14q32 rearrangements and allows investigation of the relationship between proto-oncogene expression and clinical features.

```
haematologica 2005; 90:559-562
```

```
(http://www.haematologica.org/journal/2005/5/.html)
```

Chromosomal translocations involving the immunoglobulin heavy chain gene (IGH) locus (14q⁺) play important roles in multiple myeloma (MM).^{1,2} The transcriptional acti-