

Thrombopoietin agents and marrow fibrosis: fact or myth

by James B. Bussel and Mary Hunter Hyche

Received: May 12, 2026.

Accepted: June 16, 2026.

Citation: James B. Bussel and Mary Hunter Hyche. Thrombopoietin agents and marrow fibrosis: fact or myth. *Haematologica*. 2026 June 25. doi: 10.3324/haematol.2026.301118 [Epub ahead of print]

Publisher's Disclaimer.

E-publishing ahead of print is increasingly important for the rapid dissemination of science.

Haematologica is, therefore, E-publishing PDF files of an early version of manuscripts that have completed a regular peer review and have been accepted for publication.

E-publishing of this PDF file has been approved by the authors.

After having E-published Ahead of Print, manuscripts will then undergo technical and English editing, typesetting, proof correction and be presented for the authors' final approval, the final version of the manuscript will then appear in a regular issue of the journal.

All legal disclaimers that apply to the journal also pertain to this production process.

Thrombopoietin agents and marrow fibrosis: fact or myth

James B Bussel¹ and Mary Hunter Hyche²

¹Dept of Pediatrics, Weill Cornell College of Medicine, New York, NY

²University of South Carolina School of Medicine Columbia, Columbia, SC

Corresponding author: James B Bussel - jbussel@med.cornell.edu

Disclosures: J.B.B. consults for Rallybio, Janssen, Union Chimique Belge (UCB), Argenx, Mara Bio, and Recordati

Contributions: J.B.B. conceptualized the editorial, performed the literature review, and drafted the manuscript. M.H.H. contributed to critical revisions, prepared the figure, and assisted with manuscript editing. Both authors reviewed and approved the final version of the manuscript and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

In their letter entitled, “*Overuse of thrombopoietin receptor agonist driven by suboptimal response is associated with myelofibrosis in pediatric immune thrombocytopenia*,” Dr. Ma and colleagues¹ raise an important question that seemed to have been largely resolved by previous studies in children^{2,3}: “a significant clinical dilemma shadows the use of TPO-RAs: the risk of inducing or exacerbating bone marrow myelofibrosis (MF).” We would like to provide some context for this question.

Early clinical studies, primarily but not solely in adults, had addressed this topic⁴⁻⁶. As the authors described, “prolonged TPO-RA exposure has been associated with the development of reticulin fibrosis, a process driven by TPO-induced megakaryocyte hyperplasia and the subsequent release of pro-fibrotic cytokines, primarily transforming growth factor-beta (TGFβ).”

In the first TPO-RA study in ITP using romiplostim published in October of 2006⁴, the initial dose allowed per protocol was, in stepwise fashion, as high as 30 µg/kg administered weekly. One patient with apparent ITP who had been very refractory and had suffered an intracranial hemorrhage (ICH) in the past was receiving romiplostim at a dose of approximately 10-12 µg/kg/wk. His response waned and his weekly dose was increased. At 17–20 µg/kg/week, peripheral smear abnormalities developed and bone marrow evaluation demonstrated findings consistent with myelodysplasia with “myelofibrosis”. The drug was held and eventually reinstated at a lower dose. In part as a result of this case, and because few patients required doses above 10 µg /kg/week, Amgen lowered the peak dose allowed per protocol to 15 µg /kg/dose and then to 10 µg /kg/wk⁴.

Nonetheless, this case, combined with preclinical toxicology studies, raised concern in the community of hematologists and ITP patients regarding bone marrow fibrosis. As a result, GSK in its early trials with eltrombopag, arranged for routine bone marrow examinations to be performed⁸. We initiated a similar program at our center of performing bone marrows at certain intervals to explore the risk of reticulin fibrosis⁷. Reassuringly, neither the GSK study nor our study revealed other than very infrequent grade 2 MF and no clinical sequelae were identified^{7,8}. In some cases, repeat bone marrow examinations not only failed to show progression of the degree of fibrosis, but also documented a regression of fibrosis despite continued treatment. Furthermore, there were virtually no cases identified with the highest grade of fibrosis, MF3.

Recognizing that children represent a distinct population and may also be a risk, Children's Hospital of Orange County and our center combined to do a small study with romiplostim in which no concerning cases were identified². Subsequently, the FDA asked Amgen, in their multicenter predominantly European romiplostim study, to explore serial bone marrows in a relatively large number of children³. Patients in the study were randomized, after a bone marrow prior to initiating romiplostim, to have a repeat bone marrow 12 or 24 months later. Again, there were no concerning findings and thus the issue appeared to have been resolved both in adults and in children (Figure 1) although several isolated cases have been reported.

The study by Ma and colleagues now identifies a new version of the bone marrow “myelofibrosis” question. In very difficult to treat patients (children) who had been on high doses of multiple TPO agents and required a maximal or even supramaximal dose of a TPO agent to maintain an adequate platelet count, are they at risk of myelofibrosis? It would appear that the answer is yes. In their analysis, the “offending agent” was virtually always avatrombopag. To the best of our knowledge, specific studies of reticulin fibrosis in adults or children with ITP on avatrombopag have not been performed. It remains unclear whether the finding of reticulin fibrosis in Dr. Ma’s study is a coincidence because of avatrombopag being the last of multiple TPO agents used in their children or if the reticulin fibrosis is specifically related to avatrombopag, although any of romiplostim, eltrombopag, and avatrombopag could create this effect. Each of the three has important differences from the other two in their mechanism of action⁹. Therefore, this response could possibly be specific to avatrombopag or only appear that way.

What else do we need to consider here? First, “myelofibrosis” is not true myelofibrosis of the type seen in myeloproliferative disorders. It has usually been reversible when the TPO agent is discontinued. Second, is this intrinsic to the affected patients: do they have an underlying abnormality which renders them relatively insensitive to TPO agents and simultaneously contributes to the marrow fibrosis or could this happen to any child with ITP?

The key message of this manuscript is that if a child, or probably even an adult, with ITP increases the dose of a TPO agent to the maximum approved level and it does not result in a better effect, then perhaps the safest approach would be to add another agent rather than

continuing to increase the dose of the TPO agent. However, individualization and careful monitoring, possibly including bone marrow examination, are required regardless of the choice of management.

References

1. Ma J, Chen Z, Cheng X, et al. Overuse of thrombopoietin receptor agonists driven by suboptimal response is associated with myelofibrosis in pediatric immune thrombocytopenia. *Haematologica*. xxx
2. Ramaswamy K, Hsieh L, Leven E, Thompson MV, Nugent D, Bussel JB. Thrombopoietic agents for the treatment of persistent and chronic immune thrombocytopenia in children. *J Pediatr*. 2014;165(3):600-605.e4.
3. Grainger J, Bussel J, Tarantino M, et al. A single-arm, long-term efficacy and safety study of subcutaneous romiplostim in children with immune thrombocytopenia. *Blood Adv*. 2023;7(3):396-405.
4. Bussel JB, Kuter DJ, George JN, et al. AMG 531, a thrombopoiesis-stimulating protein, for chronic ITP. *N Engl J Med*. 2006;355(16):1672-1681.
5. Bussel JB, Cheng G, Saleh MN, et al. Eltrombopag for the treatment of chronic idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura. *N Engl J Med*. 2007;357(22):2237-2247.
6. Bussel JB, Kuter DJ, Aledort LM, et al. A randomized trial of avatrombopag, an investigational thrombopoietin-receptor agonist, in persistent and chronic immune thrombocytopenia. *Blood*. 2014;123(25):3887-3894.
7. Ghanima W, Geyer JT, Lee CS, et al. Bone marrow fibrosis in 66 patients with immune thrombocytopenia treated with thrombopoietin-receptor agonists: a single-center, longterm follow-up. *Haematologica*. 2014;99(5):937-944.
8. Brynes RK, Wong RS, Thein MM, et al. A 2-Year, Longitudinal, Prospective Study of the Effects of Eltrombopag on Bone Marrow in Patients with Chronic Immune Thrombocytopenia. *Acta Haematol*. 2017;137(2):66-72.
9. Bussel JB, Kulasekararaj A, Cooper N, et al. Mechanisms and therapeutic prospects of thrombopoietin receptor agonists. *Semin Hematol*. 2019;56(4):262-278.

Figure 1. Myelofibrosis (MF) grade distribution before and after thrombopoietin receptor agonist therapy across studies A–E. Percentages of patients in each MF category (MF_0–MF_3) are shown. Pre-treatment data were available for Studies A, D, and E only; Studies B and C report post-treatment data only.

Studies: A (Grainger et al., *Blood Advances*, 2023); B (Seidel et al., *Br J Haematol*, 2014); C (Ramaswamy et al., *J Pediatr*, 2014); D (Ghanima et al., *Haematologica*, 2014); E (Brynes et al., *Acta Haematol*, 2017).

MF Distribution by Study: Pre vs Post

