

ROCKing resistance: cytoskeletal-mitochondrial synergy in acute myeloid leukemia

by Weiwei Zheng, Sirui Chen and Mingkai Liu

Received: April 13, 2026.

Accepted: May 6, 2026.

Citation: Weiwei Zheng, Sirui Chen and Mingkai Liu.

ROCKing resistance: cytoskeletal-mitochondrial synergy in acute myeloid leukemia.

Haematologica. 2026 May 14. doi: 10.3324/haematol.2026.300928 [Epub ahead of print]

Publisher's Disclaimer.

E-publishing ahead of print is increasingly important for the rapid dissemination of science.

Haematologica is, therefore, E-publishing PDF files of an early version of manuscripts that have completed a regular peer review and have been accepted for publication.

E-publishing of this PDF file has been approved by the authors.

After having E-published Ahead of Print, manuscripts will then undergo technical and English editing, typesetting, proof correction and be presented for the authors' final approval, the final version of the manuscript will then appear in a regular issue of the journal.

All legal disclaimers that apply to the journal also pertain to this production process.

ROCKing resistance: cytoskeletal-mitochondrial synergy in acute myeloid leukemia

Weiwei Zheng¹, Sirui Chen², Mingkai Liu³

¹ Department of Laboratory Medicine, The First Affiliated Hospital of USTC, Division of Life Sciences and Medicine, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230001, Anhui, China

² School of Laboratory Medicine, Bengbu Medical University, Bengbu 233030, Anhui, China

³ College of Medical Technology, Rizhao Vocational College of Wellness, Rizhao 276800, Shandong, China

Correspondence: Weiwei Zheng, Department of Laboratory Medicine, The First Affiliated Hospital of USTC, Division of Life Sciences and Medicine, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230001, Anhui, China

Email: zweiwei@ustc.edu.cn

Authors' contributions: Weiwei Zheng: conceptualization, writing – original draft, supervision; Sirui Chen: writing – review and editing; Mingkai Liu: literature search and formatting.

Disclosures: No conflicts of interest to disclose.

In this issue of *Haematologica*, Sharma and colleagues present a compelling study titled "Rho kinase (ROCK) inhibitors synergize with venetoclax in therapy of acute myeloid leukemia (AML)^[1]," which uncovers a sophisticated link between cytoskeletal dynamics and mitochondrial apoptosis. By demonstrating that Rho kinase (ROCK) inhibition can sensitize AML cells to venetoclax, the authors provide a novel blueprint for overcoming drug resistance in one of the most challenging hematologic malignancies.

Beyond the BCL-2 Horizon: Addressing Venetoclax Resistance

The therapeutic landscape of acute myeloid leukemia (AML) has been radically reshaped by the introduction of venetoclax (VEN), a selective BCL-2 inhibitor^[2]. When combined with hypomethylating agents or low-dose cytarabine, venetoclax has significantly improved outcomes for elderly or unfit patients. However, the Achilles' heel of this regimen remains the emergence of resistance^[3,4]. Conventional research has largely focused on BCL-2 family members—such as the upregulation of MCL-1 or BCL-XL—or the activation of parallel signaling pathways like FLT3 or RAS^[5,6].

Sharma et al. pivot away from these established paradigms, focusing instead on the Rho-associated coiled-coil containing protein kinase (ROCK). While ROCK is traditionally recognized for its role in regulating the actin cytoskeleton, cell motility, and adhesion, its involvement in leukemia cell survival and treatment resistance has remained largely enigmatic. The authors' discovery that ROCK activity is a critical determinant of venetoclax sensitivity opens a new frontier in "cytoskeletal-targeted" leukemia therapy.

Mechanistic Novelty: The Cofilin-Drp1-Mitochondria Axis

The centerpiece of this study is the elucidation of a unique synergistic mechanism

between ROCK inhibitors (e.g., GSK269962A) and venetoclax. The authors demonstrate that inhibiting ROCK leads to the activation of cofilin through dephosphorylation. Under normal conditions, phosphorylated cofilin is inactive; however, its activation triggers F-actin depolymerization and, more importantly, facilitates its interaction with the mitochondrial fission protein Drp1.

This cofilin-Drp1 complex translocates to the mitochondria, promoting mitochondrial outer membrane permeabilization (MOMP). In essence, ROCK inhibition "primes" the mitochondria for death, lowering the threshold for venetoclax-induced apoptosis. This "dual-hit" approach is particularly elegant: while venetoclax removes the "brakes" on apoptosis by neutralizing BCL-2, the ROCK inhibitor actively engages the "accelerator" by driving pro-apoptotic machinery to the mitochondrial surface.

Translational Implications: A Broad-Spectrum Solution?

The clinical appeal of this study lies in its breadth. Sharma et al. validated the GSK+VEN synergy across a diverse array of models, including cell lines, primary patient samples, and in vivo xenografts^[1]. Crucially, the combination showed efficacy in AML samples with adverse genetic features, such as TP53 mutations and complex karyotypes, which are notoriously resistant to current standard-of-care treatments.

Furthermore, the study identifies a potential feedback loop: venetoclax treatment can induce caspase-mediated cleavage and activation of ROCK, which may paradoxically foster survival signals in resistant clones. By co-administering a ROCK inhibitor, this escape pathway is blocked, leading to more profound and durable cell death. From a clinical perspective, since several ROCK inhibitors are already utilized in other medical fields (e.g., netarsudil for glaucoma), the path toward "repurposing" or developing leukemia-specific ROCK inhibitors is highly feasible^[7,8](Table 1).

Future Directions and Challenges

While the results are promising, several questions warrant further investigation before this strategy reaches the clinic. First, the systemic toxicity of ROCK inhibition must be carefully evaluated; given ROCK's role in vascular tone and smooth muscle contraction, cardiovascular safety will be a primary concern. Second, the optimal scheduling of these agents—whether concurrent or sequential—needs to be refined to maximize the "mitochondrial priming" effect while minimizing off-target effects on normal hematopoiesis.

In conclusion, Sharma et al. have identified a previously unrecognized vulnerability in AML. By bridging the gap between cytoskeletal remodeling and the intrinsic apoptotic pathway, they offer a powerful new strategy to enhance the efficacy of venetoclax. As we move toward an era of increasingly personalized AML therapy, the integration of ROCK inhibitors into the therapeutic armamentarium represents a significant step forward in our quest to "ROCK" the foundation of leukemia resistance.

References:

1. Golla U, Bahlodia R, Annageldiyev C, et al. Pharmacological blockade of rho kinase enhances responses in translational models of acute myeloid leukemia. *Haematologica*. xxx
2. Hu M, Li W, Zhang Y, Liang C, Tan J, Wang Y. Venetoclax in adult acute myeloid leukemia. *Biomed Pharmacother*. 2023;168:115820.
3. DiNardo CD, Pratz K, Pullarkat V, et al. Venetoclax combined with decitabine or azacitidine in treatment-naive, elderly patients with acute myeloid leukemia. *Blood*. 2019;133(1):7-17.
4. Jin H, Zhang Y, Yu S, et al. Venetoclax combined with azacitidine and homoharringtonine in relapsed/refractory AML: a multicenter, phase 2 trial. *J Hematol Oncol*. 2023;16(1):42.
5. Nwosu GO, Ross DM, Powell JA, Pitson SM. Venetoclax therapy and emerging resistance mechanisms in acute myeloid leukaemia. *Cell Death Dis*. 2024;15(6):413.
6. Short NJ, Daver N, Dinardo CD, et al. Azacitidine, venetoclax, and gilteritinib in newly diagnosed and relapsed or refractory FLT3-mutated AML. *J Clin Oncol*. 2024;42(13):1499-1508.
7. Wang J, Wang H, Dang Y. Rho-kinase inhibitors as emerging targets for glaucoma therapy. *Ophthalmol Ther*. 2023;12(6):2943-2957.
8. Chen X, Glytsou C, Zhou H, et al. Targeting mitochondrial structure sensitizes acute myeloid leukemia to venetoclax treatment. *Cancer Discov*. 2019;9(7):890-909.

Table 1. Comparison of venetoclax monotherapy vs. ROCK inhibitor + venetoclax combination

Feature	Venetoclax Monotherapy	ROCK Inhibitor + Venetoclax Synergy
Primary Target(s)	BCL-2 protein	ROCK1/2 and BCL-2
Cytoskeletal Impact	Negligible	F-actin depolymerization; Cofilin activation
Mitochondrial Effect	Releases pro-apoptotic BH3 proteins	Promotes Cofilin/Drp1 mitochondrial translocation
Apoptotic Trigger	Neutralization of BCL-2	Enhanced MOMP via "Dual-Hit" mechanism
Resistance Mechanism	MCL-1/BCL-XL upregulation; Kinase mutations	Overcomes resistance by bypassing BCL-2 dependency
Clinical Potential	Current Standard of Care	Potential salvage for R/R AML and high-risk subtypes