

Changes in neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio in patients with polycythemia vera treated with ruxolitinib reflect JAK2 variant allele frequency

by Paola Guglielmelli, Chiara Paoli, Giulia Borgi, Lorenzo Fagiolo, Sara Bianchini, Giuseppe Gaetano Loscocco, Francesca Gesullo, Fernanda Di Vaio, Cecilia Matteoli, Fabiana Pancani, Sofia Danesi, Simona Pestelli, Tiziano Barbui and Alessandro Maria Vannucchi

Received: November 18, 2025.

Accepted: April 1, 2026.

Citation: Paola Guglielmelli, Chiara Paoli, Giulia Borgi, Lorenzo Fagiolo, Sara Bianchini, Giuseppe Gaetano Loscocco, Francesca Gesullo, Fernanda Di Vaio, Cecilia Matteoli, Fabiana Pancani, Sofia Danesi, Simona Pestelli, Tiziano Barbui and Alessandro Maria Vannucchi. Changes in neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio in patients with polycythemia vera treated with ruxolitinib reflect JAK2 variant allele frequency. *Haematologica*. 2026 Apr 9. doi: 10.3324/haematol.2025.300245 [Epub ahead of print]

Publisher's Disclaimer.

E-publishing ahead of print is increasingly important for the rapid dissemination of science.

Haematologica is, therefore, E-publishing PDF files of an early version of manuscripts that have completed a regular peer review and have been accepted for publication.

E-publishing of this PDF file has been approved by the authors.

After having E-published Ahead of Print, manuscripts will then undergo technical and English editing, typesetting, proof correction and be presented for the authors' final approval; the final version of the manuscript will then appear in a regular issue of the journal.

All legal disclaimers that apply to the journal also pertain to this production process.

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

Changes in neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio in patients with polycythemia vera treated with ruxolitinib reflect *JAK2* variant allele frequency

Paola Guglielmelli¹, Chiara Paoli¹, Giulia Borgi¹, Lorenzo Fagiolo¹, Sara Bianchini¹, Giuseppe Gaetano Loscocco¹, Francesca Gesullo¹, Fernanda di Vaio¹, Cecilia Matteoli¹, Fabiana Pancani¹, Sofia Danesi¹, Simona Pestelli¹, Tiziano Barbui², Alessandro M. Vannucchi¹

¹Center Research and Innovation of Myeloproliferative Neoplasms-CRIMM, Department of Clinical and Experimental Medicine, University of Florence, and Hematology Unit, Azienda Ospedaliera Universitaria Careggi, Florence, Italy;

² FROM Research Foundation, Azienda Socio-Sanitaria Territoriale Papa Giovanni XXIII, Bergamo, Italy

Corresponding author:

Alessandro M Vannucchi, MD, Department of Experimental and Clinical Medicine, Centro di Ricerca e Innovazione Malattie Mieloproliferative (CRIMM), AOU Careggi, University of Florence, Florence, Italy and Hematology Unit, Azienda Ospedaliero-Universitaria Careggi, Florence, Italy; Largo Brambilla 3, 50134 Firenze, Italy; Phone/fax: +39 0557948902; e-mail: a.vannucchi@unifi.it

Key words: neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio; *JAK2* V617F; polycythemia vera; ruxolitinib.

Disclosures. PG, received honoraria for lectures and Advisory Board from Incyte, AOP, Bluemedicine, Menarini Stemline, Novartis, GSK. AMV, received honoraria for lectures and Advisory Board from Incyte, AOP, Bluemedicine, Menarini Stemline, Novartis, GSK TB received honoraria for lectures and Advisory Board from AOP, GSK. All other authors have nothing to disclose.

Contributions. PG, CP, TB and AMV designed research, analyzed data, drafted and finalized the manuscript. GB, LF, GGL provide patients' data. SB, FdV, CM, SD, contributed to data collection and analysis. FG, FP, SP, contributed to sample collection and molecular analysis.

Acknowledgments. The work was supported by Associazione Italiana per la Ricerca sul Cancro (AIRC) 5×1000 call “Metastatic disease: the key unmet need in oncology” to MYNERVA (MYeloid NEoplasms Research Venture AIRC), project #21267.

Data-sharing statement. Aggregate data are available upon reasonable request to the corresponding author.

The neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR) has received interest as potential biomarker of diseases where sustained inflammation plays a major role, including cardiovascular diseases and tumors¹. In cancer, tumor growth and dissemination is facilitated by chronic inflammation promoted by activated neutrophils, while systemic and tumor-infiltrating T-lymphocytes are supposed to counteract cancer development by activating immunosurveillance. Similar processes may occur in the vascular system, resulting in atherosclerosis and vascular occlusion. Capturing balance between factors promoting and inhibiting cancer and atherosclerosis, NLR may serve as simple and reliable prognostic biomarker of such different diseases¹.

In polycythemia vera (PV), a myeloproliferative neoplasm (MPN) where sustained inflammatory condition and abnormal neutrophil count and functions are fueled by uncontrolled activation of JAK/STAT pathway caused by *JAK2*^{V617F} mutation, higher NLR was associated with risk of venous thrombosis², reduced survival³, and response to ropeg-interferon⁴. In a Danish population-based longitudinal analysis, increasing NLR was associated with shorter survival in patients with PV and other MPN, compared to unaffected population⁵. A comparative analysis of PV patients treated with hydroxyurea or phlebotomy (ECLAP study, n=202 each), ropeg-interferon or phlebotomy (LOW-PV trial, n= 63 and 64, respectively), and ropeg-interferon or hydroxyurea (PROUD-PV/CONTINUATION-PV study, n= 127 each), showed unique kinetics patterns of NLR upon different treatments. In fact, NLR resulted unchanged from baseline in patients managed with phlebotomy only and hydroxyurea, in the latter instance owing to parallel decline of neutrophils and lymphocytes, while in ropeg-interferon-treated patients, the reduction of NLR was due to preferential lowering of neutrophils⁶. Recent data indicate that neutrophils in PV express reduced levels of Kruppel-like factor 2 in a *JAK2*^{V617F} VAF-inverse manner, that were largely reverted by pegylated interferon alfa⁷. Furthermore, tissue factor synthesis and activity was described in PV neutrophils, possibly contributing to thrombotic risk⁸. An additional explanation for abnormally increased NLR levels and the differential effects of drugs, may be related to the expression of *JAK2*^{V617F} mutation also in lymphoid lineage, that in preclinical model caused an impaired lymphoid differentiation⁹. Reduction of NLR in patients receiving ropeg-interferon significantly correlated with decrease of *JAK2*^{V617F} VAF, and molecular responses to ropeg-interferon were predictive of improved event-free survival^{6, 10}. Also relevant to the interpretation of those findings is the evidence, obtained in animals expressing ruxolitinib resistant mutations, that the action of ruxolitinib was independent of *JAK2*^{V617F} signaling, rather it appeared to be mediated by reduction

of pro-inflammatory cytokines produced by stromal cells and non-malignant hematopoietic cells¹¹. Another drug currently used for second-line treatment of PV patients is ruxolitinib, a JAK1 and JAK2 inhibitor^{12, 13}. Recent data from the MAJIC study and a real-world cohort have shown that progressive decline of *JAK2*^{V617F} VAF under ruxolitinib treatment correlated with improved overall and event-free survival, particularly myelofibrosis-free survival^{14, 15}.

The aims of current study were (i) to analyze time-dependent changes of NLR, total leukocyte count (WBC), absolute counts of neutrophils (ANC) and lymphocytes (ALC), in a prospective cohort of patients with PV who received ruxolitinib as second line therapy, and (ii) to exploit correlation of baseline and upon treatment changes of *JAK2*^{V617F} VAF and NLR levels, and their relationships with disease characteristics. The study included forty-one pts with PV who received ruxolitinib, 10 mg BID for a median of 8.7 years (0.8-9.8) because of intolerance (44.2%) or resistance (55.8%) to hydroxyurea; the overall FU was 9.2 years (1.3-14.5). Patients were managed at our Institution according to conventional treatment criteria for PV and following the label of ruxolitinib (10 mg BID), as part of an observational study that allowed serial samples to be prospectively collected for exploratory analyses. The study was approved by Ethic Committee as part of the MYNERVA project (#21267; MYeloid NEoplasms Research Venture AIRC); participants provided a signed inform consent.

The cohort was enriched in patients with advanced disease, as shown by the long disease duration prior to ruxolitinib treatment (median, 6.2 years) and the high *JAK2*^{V617F} VAF (median, 68.3%), with 76% of the patients having greater than 50% VAF, which may have contributed to the relatively high rate of evolution to postPV-MF (Table 1). Ten patients (24.4%) had history of thrombosis prior to treatment. All but 2 patients were still on treatment at the latest time point (4 years). A complete clinical-hematologic response (CHR) and a molecular response (MR), according to the IWG-MRT criteria, was observed in 32 (78%) and 17 (41.5%) patients, respectively. The median NLR value in the whole population at baseline was 5.2 (95% CI, 1.6-25.0); we accordingly divided pts into a high (H-, above the median) and low (L-, below the median) NLR category. Analysis of patients' characteristics at baseline, showed that, when compared to L-NLR patients, those in the H-NLR category had significantly higher median WBC (12.6 vs 8.1 x10⁹/L; *P*=0.002), due to increased ANC (12.1 vs 5.9 x10⁹/L; *P*<0.001) while ALC (1.4 vs 2.2 x10⁹/L; *P*=0.001) was lower. Conversely, hemoglobin level (15.0 vs 14.0 g/dL; *P*=0.01) and platelet count (541 vs 323.5 x10⁹/L; *P*=0.006) was

higher in L-NLR compared to H-NLR category. There was no baseline difference in disease duration, splenomegaly, evolution to PPV-MF and cardiovascular events, between the two patient categories (**Table 1**).

In the entire cohort, NLR value at baseline resulted correlated with $JAK2^{V617F}$ VAF ($r=0.5$, $P=0.001$; Pearson test). Accordingly, $JAK2^{V617F}$ VAF (mean \pm SD) was significantly higher in H-NLR (81.8 \pm 13.1%) compared to L-NLR category (54.8 \pm 23.8%; $P<0.001$), while the proportion of patients with VAF $\geq 50\%$ was 100% versus 52.4% ($P<0.001$), respectively. Furthermore, the correlation between NLR ratio and $JAK2$ VAF was maintained during ruxolitinib treatment, with correlation coefficients of 0.60 ($P=0.004$) at 2 years, 0.55 ($P=0.048$) at 3 years, and 0.66 ($P=0.003$) at 4 years. However, after starting ruxolitinib, the changes in NLR observed in the 2 categories differed. In H-NLR patients, the median baseline NLR value of 9.6 (95% CI, 5.3-25.0) decreased to 5.4 (2.7-11.0; $P=0.002$), 5.2 (1.9-8.7; $P=0.001$) and 4.2 (1.3-11.5; $P=0.001$) at 1, 2 and 3 years, remaining steadily stable afterwards (**Figure 1A**). Changes in NLR levels were due to progressive, preferential decrease of ANC (from a median of $12.1 \times 10^9/L$ at baseline to $6.7 \times 10^9/L$ (-45%; $P=0.001$), $6.4 \times 10^9/L$ (-47%; $P=0.002$), and $5.0 \times 10^9/L$ (-59%; $P=0.001$) at 1, 2, and 3 years), while ALC remained largely the same, from a baseline of $1.4 \times 10^9/L$ (0.1-2.8) to 1.35 (1.0-3.0; $p=0.5$), 1.24 (1.0-3.0; $p=0.6$) and 1.14 (1.0-5.0; $P=0.4$) (**Figure 1B**). Conversely, in the L-NLR group, no statistically significant modification of NLR was noticed, with values of $3.1 \times 10^9/L$ (1.6-5.0) at baseline to 2.6 (1.1-8.2; $P=0.5$), 3.0 (1.0-5.0; $P=0.16$) and 3.2 (1.0-7.7; $P=0.29$) at 1, 2 and 3 years, respectively (**Figure 1A, 1B**).

Also changes in $JAK2^{V617F}$ VAF followed different patterns in the 2 NLR categories. In the H-NLR category, a baseline VAF value of 81.8 \pm 13.1% decreased to 72.0 \pm 17.6% ($P=0.07$), 62.1 \pm 26.0% ($P=0.007$), 62.5 \pm 24.3% ($P=0.006$) and 52.5 \pm 27.5% ($P=0.008$) at year 1, 2, 3 and 4, respectively. Conversely in L-NLR group, changes of $JAK2^{V617F}$ VAF were not statistically significant at any time point, from a baseline value of 54.8 \pm 23.8% to 37.7 \pm 24.7% ($P=0.11$), 43.4 \pm 30.8% ($P=0.16$), 41.9 \pm 34.8% ($P=0.21$) and 45.0 \pm 37.4 ($P=0.36$) at year 1, 2, 3 and 4, respectively (**Figure 1C**).

Baseline NLR levels had no significant relationships with CHR, thrombosis, progression to MF, evolution to AML, or death along ruxolitinib treatment, in either NLR categories. However, the decline of NLR at 4 years was associated with lower progression rate to PPV-MF; patients who did not progress ($n=25$) had statistically significantly reduced NLR levels (from median of 4.6 (range, 1.6-21.0) to 2.8 (1.3-7.0), -30% from baseline) compared to those who progressed ($n=16$; from 5.8 (1.9-13.0) to 4.9 (1.3-8.4); -16%) ($P=0.03$). Similar findings for $JAK2^{V617F}$ VAF, that reduced from a mean

of $62.6 \pm 21.4\%$ to $38.4 \pm 18.4\%$ (-39%) in those who did not progress compared to a -11% reduction (from $78.8 \pm 16.1\%$ to $63.1 \pm 22.6\%$) in those who did ($P < 0.01$).

Overall, these data, with the limitation of small numbers but the value of long follow-up, suggest that higher NLR values in patients with PV are associated with unique clinical characteristics at baseline, including higher $JAK2^{V617F}$ VAF, as well as peculiar response profile in response to ruxolitinib treatment. In fact, H-NLR patients showed statistically significant reduction of NLR value, due to preferential decrease of ANC, that were associated with significant decline of $JAK2^{V617F}$ VAF decline, unlike patients with lower baseline NLR values. Of interest, we observed significantly greater reduction of NLR, paralleled by similar decline of $JAK2^{V617F}$ VAF, in patients who did not progress to post-PV myelofibrosis, compared to those who evolved. However, we acknowledge that observed correlation of NLR with $JAK2^{V617F}$ VAF reduction and the rate of myelofibrotic progression, do not establish any causality relationship between them. Given current findings are reminiscent of observations in patients with PV treated with ropeg-interferon in the LOW-PV and PROUD-PV/CONTINUATION-PV study, we propose that monitoring NLR might represent a simple and affordable surrogate test, rather than molecular monitoring, to predict changes of $JAK2$ VAF in response to treatment with drugs that may have the potential to reduce mutation burden, as are interferon and ruxolitinib. We surmise that our findings deserve confirmation in other, larger, cohorts of patients with PV treated with ruxolitinib.

REFERENCES

1. Furman D, Campisi J, Verdin E, et al. Chronic inflammation in the etiology of disease across the life span. *Nat Med.* 2019;25(12):1822-1832.
2. Carobbio A, Vannucchi AM, De Stefano V, et al. Neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio is a novel predictor of venous thrombosis in polycythemia vera. *Blood Cancer J.* 2022;12(2):28.
3. Barbui T, Carobbio A, Ghirardi A, et al. Neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio as a prognostic indicator of mortality in Polycythemia Vera: insights from a prospective cohort analysis. *Blood Cancer J.* 2024;14(1):195.
4. Barbui T, Carobbio A, Guglielmelli P, et al. Neutrophil/lymphocyte ratio identifies low-risk polycythaemia vera patients for early Ropeginterferon alfa-2b therapy. *Br J Haematol.* 2024;205(6):2287-2294.
5. Larsen MK, Skov V, Kjaer L, et al. Neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio and all-cause mortality with and without myeloproliferative neoplasms-a Danish longitudinal study. *Blood Cancer J.* 2024;14(1):28.
6. Barbui T, Ghirardi A, Empson V, et al. Neutrophil-to-Lymphocyte ratio as surrogate for JAK2V617F suppression and event-free survival in polycythemia vera. *Blood Cancer J.* 2025;15(1):132.
7. Song J, Kim SJ, Gollamudi J, Thiagarajan P, Prchal JT. Downregulated KLF2 in polycythemia vera and essential thrombocythemia induces prothrombotic gene expression. *Blood Adv.* 2023;7(5):712-717.
8. Reeves BN, Kim SJ, Song J, et al. Tissue factor activity is increased in neutrophils from JAK2 V617F-mutated essential thrombocythemia and polycythemia vera patients. *Am J of Hematol.* 2022;97(2):E37-E40.
9. Choi DC, Messali N, Uda NR, et al. JAK2V617F impairs lymphoid differentiation in myeloproliferative neoplasms. *Leukemia.* 2024;38(11):2487-2491.
10. Kiladjian JJ, Klade C, Georgiev P, et al. Event-free survival in early polycythemia vera patients correlates with molecular response to ropeginterferon alfa-2b or hydroxyurea/best available therapy (PROUD-PV/CONTINUATION-PV). *Hemasphere.* 2025;9(5):e70137.
11. Gorantla SP, Rassner M, Crossley KA, et al. Efficacy of JAK1/2 inhibition in murine myeloproliferative neoplasms is not mediated by targeting oncogenic signaling. *Nat Commun.* 2025;16(1):4833.

12. Vannucchi AM, Kiladjian JJ, Grieshammer M, et al. Ruxolitinib versus standard therapy for the treatment of polycythemia vera. *N Engl J Med*. 2015;372(5):426-435.
13. Passamonti F, Grieshammer M, Palandri F, et al. Ruxolitinib for the treatment of inadequately controlled polycythaemia vera without splenomegaly (RESPONSE-2): a randomised, open-label, phase 3b study. *Lancet Oncol*. 2017;18(1):88-99.
14. Harrison CN, Nangalia J, Boucher R, et al. Ruxolitinib versus best available therapy for polycythemia vera intolerant or resistant to hydroxycarbamide in a randomized trial. *J Clin Oncol*. 2023;41(19):3534-3544.
15. Guglielmelli P, Mora B, Gesullo F, et al. Clinical impact of mutated JAK2 allele burden reduction in Polycythemia Vera and Essential Thrombocythemia. *Am J Hematol*. 2024;99(8):1550-1559.

Table 1. Main clinical characteristics at baseline and outcome of patients divided into High-NLR and Low-NLR category.

Variables	All patients n=41	High NLR n=20	Low-NLR n=21	P-value
Age in years, median (range)	56.1 (34.6-74.3)	57.9 (34.6-74.3)	55.5 (37.7-71.1)	0.58
Female gender, n (%)	21 (51.2)	10 (50)	11 (52.4)	0.88
Hemoglobin g/dl, median (range)	14.7 (12.0-18.7)	14.0 (12.0-17.6)	15.0 (12.6-18.7)	0.01
Hematocrit, mean %, (range)	47 (38.0-57.7)	46.2 (38.0-51.0)	47.9 (39.2-57.7)	0.002
Leukocyte count, 10 ⁹ /l, median (range)	10.7 (4.8-25.5)	12.6 (6.5-21.6)	8.1 (4.8-25.5)	0.002
ANC count, 10 ⁹ /l, median (range)	8.8 (9.4-26.3)	12.1 (5.1-26.3)	5.9 (4.0-15.8)	<0.001
ALC count, 10 ⁹ /l, median (range)	1.6 (0.6-6.5)	1.4 (0.6-2.8)	2.2 (1.0-6.5)	0.001
Platelet count, 10 ⁹ /l, median (range)	389 (111-898)	323.5 (111-757)	541 (156-898)	0.006
JAK2V617F VAF, mean % (±SD)	68.3±23.4	81.8±13.1	54.8±23.8	<0.001
Patients with JAK2V617F VAF ≥50%	31 (75.6)	20 (100)	11 (52.4)	<0.001
Major thrombosis at or prior to diagnosis, n (%)	10 (24.4)	6 (30.0)	4 (19.0)	0.41
Thrombosis score, n (%)				
- Low	12 (29.3)	5 (25.0)	7 (33.3)	0.56
- High	29 (70.7)	15 (75.0)	14 (66.7)	
Palpable splenomegaly, n (%)	30 (73.2)	17 (85.0)	13 (61.9)	0.09
Disease duration (years) before starting ruxolitinib, median (range)	6.2 (0.7-22.6)	7.9 (0.7-17.1)	3.83 (0.7-22.6)	0.36
Duration of ruxolitinib therapy, years (range)	8.7 (0.8-14.5)	8.6 (0.8-14.5)	8.0 (1.3-14.3)	0.75
Patients achieving CHR, n (%)	32 (78)	16 (80.0)	16 (76.2)	0.77
Patients achieving MR, n (%)	17 (41.5)	7 (35.0)	10 (47.6)	0.41
Thrombosis, n (%)	2 (4.8)	1 (5.0)	1 (4.7)	
Evolution to post-PV MF, n (%)	16 (39.0)	9 (45.0)	7 (33.3)	0.44
Evolution to blast phase, n (%)	2 (4.9)	1 (5.0)	1 (4.8)	0.97
Deaths, n (%)	3 (7.9)	1 (5.0)	2 (9.5)	0.58

Figure legend.

Figure 1. Changes in NLR, absolute neutrophil and lymphocyte counts, and *JAK2* variant allele frequency, during treatment with ruxolitinib. Panel A shows the kinetics of NLR value changes upon 4 years of treatment with ruxolitinib in the category of Low-NLR (L-NLR) and High-NLR (H-NLR), as defined at baseline (see text for definitions). The number of patients available at each time point is indicated. Panel B shows the corresponding changes in absolute neutrophil (ANC) and absolute lymphocyte (ALC) counts in the 2 patient categories. In Panel C, boxes show the levels of *JAK2*^{V617F} variant allele frequency (VAF) in the 2 patient categories at each annual time point. Statically significant differences between L-NLR and H-NLR are shown on the top for each set of data. The time “0” refers to baseline values, before starting ruxolitinib.

Figure 1

