

Unemployment in patients with histiocytic neoplasms is frequent and associated with clinical and treatment characteristics

by Anne S. Reiner, Dana Bossert, Allison M. Sigler, Jen Silvers, Kathleen Brewer, Diane Schriner, Priya H. Marathe, Jun J. Mao, Katherine S. Panageas and Eli L. Diamond

Received: September 29, 2025. Accepted: November 24, 2025.

Citation: Anne S. Reiner, Dana Bossert, Allison M. Sigler, Jen Silvers, Kathleen Brewer, Diane Schriner, Priya H. Marathe, Jun J. Mao, Katherine S. Panageas, and Eli L. Diamond. Unemployment in patients with histiocytic neoplasms is frequent and associated with clinical and treatment characteristics. Haematologica. 2025 Dec 4. doi: 10.3324/haematol.2025.289304 [Epub ahead of print]

Publisher's Disclaimer.

E-publishing ahead of print is increasingly important for the rapid dissemination of science. Haematologica is, therefore, E-publishing PDF files of an early version of manuscripts that have completed a regular peer review and have been accepted for publication.

E-publishing of this PDF file has been approved by the authors.

After having E-published Ahead of Print, manuscripts will then undergo technical and English editing, typesetting, proof correction and be presented for the authors' final approval; the final version of the manuscript will then appear in a regular issue of the journal.

All legal disclaimers that apply to the journal also pertain to this production process.

Unemployment in patients with histiocytic neoplasms is frequent and associated with clinical and treatment characteristics

Anne S. Reiner¹, Dana Bossert², Allison M. Sigler², Jen Silvers³, Kathleen Brewer⁴, Diane Schriner⁴, Priya H. Marathe⁵, Jun J. Mao⁶, Katherine S. Panageas¹, Eli L. Diamond^{2,7}

Author affiliations:

¹Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics; Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY., USA

²Department of Neurology; Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY, USA.

³Histiocytosis Association, Pitman, NJ, USA.

⁴Erdheim-Chester Disease Global Alliance, DeRidder, LA, USA.

⁵Department of Pediatrics, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY, USA.

⁶Integrative Medicine Service, Department of Medicine, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY, USA.

⁷Early Drug Development Service, Department of Medicine, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY, USA.

Running head: Unemployment in Histiocytic Neoplasms

Corresponding author:

Eli L. Diamond, MD 160 East 53rd St. Second Floor Neurology New York, NY 10022 Phone: 212-610-0243

Phone: 212-610-024 Fax: 929-321-1050

Email: diamone1@mskcc.org

Data sharing statement: For original data, please contact diamone1@mskcc.org.

Authorship Contributions: ASR: conceptualization, formal statistical analysis, interpretation, drafting; PHM: conceptualization, interpretation, drafting; KSP: conceptualization, interpretation, drafting, supervision; ELD: conceptualization, data collection, interpretation, drafting, supervision; and all authors contributed to conceptualization as well as reviewing and editing the final version of the manuscript and agreeing with the submission.

Disclosure of Conflicts of Interest: Dr. Diamond discloses unpaid editorial support from Pfizer Inc and serves on an advisory board for Day One Therapeutics and Springworks Therapeutics, both outside the submitted work. All other authors disclose no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgments: The authors acknowledge patients who are contributing to the ongoing registry.

Funding: This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health/National Cancer Institute (P30 CA008748) and Population Sciences Research Program award (ELD, KSP), as well as the National Cancer Institute (R37CA259260; ELD, KSP). This was supported by the Frame Family Fund (ELD), the Joy Family West Foundation (ELD), the Applebaum Foundation (ELD), and the Erdheim-Chester Disease Global Alliance (ELD).

List of abbreviations:

HN: Histiocytic neoplasms

OR: Odds ratio

CI: Confidence interval

LCH: Langerhans cell histiocytosis ECD: Erdheim-Chester disease

RDD: Rosai-Dorfman disease

JXG/AXG: Juvenile/adult xanthogranuloma

PRO: Patient-reported outcome

FACT-G: Functional assessment of cancer therapy, general

BFI: Brief Fatigue Inventory

BPI: Brief Pain Inventory

HADS: Hospital anxiety and depression scale

FACT-Cog: Functional assessment of cancer therapy, cognition

QoL: Health-related quality of life

Keywords:

histiocytic neoplasm, histiocytosis, registry, unemployment, employment

Histiocytic neoplasms (HN) are comprised of Langerhans cell histiocytosis (LCH), Erdheim-Chester disease (ECD), Rosai-Dorfman disease (RDD), and juvenile/adult xanthogranuloma (JXG/AXG). The emergence and regulatory approval of targeted therapies¹⁻⁴, and for many patients chronic disease suppression—rather than cure—with these agents, prompt the need to understand how patients with HN maintain function and quality of life (QoL) amid ongoing disease symptoms, treatment effects, and psychosocial challenges. Cancer broadly impacts employment with approximately 1 in 3 cancer survivors unemployed; additionally, patients with cancer are ~40% more likely to be unemployed than healthy controls.⁵ We have reported that HN patients experience higher rates of anxiety and depression compared with patients with other cancers. 6 We have also demonstrated diverse symptomatology, notably fatigue and pain, and diminished QoL in patients with ECD.⁷⁻⁹ Disease rarity and heterogeneity in HN contribute to diagnostic delays, which are associated with unfavorable psychosocial outcomes.⁶ Financial strain, employment status, depression, and anxiety are well-recognized consequences for cancer survivors. 10 However, the interplay of these factors in relation to employment is unknown in HN. Herein, we estimated unemployment rates and identified factors associated with unemployment among patients with HN. We hypothesized that unemployment would be common in HN and associated with symptomatology and other salient challenges inherent to living with a rare cancer.

This is an institutional review board-approved, registry-based study conducted at Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center (MSKCC; NCT03329274), enrolling participants within and outside MSKCC. Participants providing informed consent enrolled from 2018-2025 and completed patient-reported outcomes (PROs) at the time of enrollment, and two other timepoints. PROs included employment status categorized: full-time, part-time, unemployed, or retired. The current study included participants if they reported full-time, part-time, or unemployed status at enrollment.

Demographic and clinical variables including HN type, time from symptom onset to diagnosis (undiagnosed duration), time from diagnosis to enrollment (diagnosed duration) sites of disease, comorbidities, and treatments were ascertained as previously described. HN treatment status at enrollment was categorized as conventional (chemotherapy or immunosuppression), targeted (BRAF or MEK inhibitor), or no current treatment. In addition to employment, eight other PROs previously described were ascertained: financial burden and worry; Functional Assessment of Cancer Therapy, General (FACT-G); Functional Assessment of Cancer Therapy, Cognition (FACT-Cog); an HN symptom inventory, initially content-validated in ECD (ECD-SS); Brief Fatigue Inventory (BFI); Brief Pain Inventory (BPI); Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS); and Supportive Care Needs Survey (SCNS).

Our primary objective was to estimate unemployment rates and identify risk factors associated with unemployment at enrollment, comparing participants who reported unemployment with those reporting employment (full-time/part-time). SCNS items were dichotomized as met (no/low need) or unmet (moderate/high need) need. If any item within a factor was unmet, the factor was classified as unmet; the number of unmet items was also summed. Univariable associations with unemployment were modeled with logistic regression to estimate odds ratios (ORs) and corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CIs). Potential clinical confounders were selected a priori for adjustment in multivariable models and included: age, undiagnosed illness duration, fatigue, pain, brain involvement, number of sites of disease, and household income. In a post-hoc analysis, we formally tested whether the impact of FACT-Cog subscales on unemployment varied by brain involvement. All tests were 2-sided with statistical significance <0.05. Analyses were performed in SAS v9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC).

Two hundred enrolled patients with HN who were eligible for employment had a median age at enrollment of 51.1 years (range:21.6-72.2) (Table 1). HN subtypes included: 99 (49.5%) with ECD, 42 (21.0%) with LCH, 26 (13.0%) with RDD, and 14 (5.5%) with AXG. The median diagnosed illness duration was 4.1 years (range:0.2-48.1).

Fifty-one patients (25.5%) reported being unemployed at enrollment (Table 1). The unemployment rate was 24.3% at timepoint 1 (IQR:6.0-7.8 months from enrollment) and 30.9% at timepoint 2 (IQR:12.8-16.0 months from enrollment, max:31.7 months from enrollment). Of 140 patients reporting employment status at enrollment and timepoint 1, 120 patients (85.7%) remained in the same category (Table 2, Supplemental Figure 1A), including 32 patients (22.9%) who were unemployed at both timepoints. Similar trends were observed at timepoint 2 (Table 2, Supplemental Figure 1B).

In univariable models, risk factors for unemployment included being female, ECD, longer undiagnosed HN duration, brain parenchymal involvement, and targeted treatment. Nineteen percent of patients not on treatment at enrollment reported unemployment compared to 27% undergoing conventional and 35% on targeted treatment. Unemployment was also associated with lower household income, worse general and cognitive QoL, greater symptom severity including pain and fatigue, greater unmet supportive care needs, depression, and financial burden (Table 3).

In multivariable models, risk factors for unemployment included longer undiagnosed HN duration (OR:1.13, 95%CI:1.03-1.25, p=0.01), brain parenchymal involvement (OR:3.60, 95%CI:1.36-9.54, p=0.01), targeted treatment compared with no treatment (OR:3.78, 95%CI:1.38-10.36, p=0.0096), household annual income \$0-\$75,000 (OR:2.30, 95%CI:1.00-5.30, p=0.0497), worse functional well-being (OR:1.15, 95%CI:1.05-1.25, p=0.002), moderate/severe depression (OR:10.96, 95%CI:2.84-42.24, p=0.0005) and greater impact of perceived cognitive impairments on QoL (OR:1.19, 95%CI:1.05-1.34, p=0.007). The impact of FACT-Cog subscales on unemployment was not heterogeneous by brain involvement.

This is the first systematic study of employment in HN. In 200 patients, nearly 1 in 4 patients with HN reported unemployment at enrollment, a rate increasing to nearly 1 in 3 over time. Comparably, a meta-analysis of >20,000 cancer survivors reported an unemployment rate of 33.8% across a range of 9 months to 15 years post-diagnosis compared to 15% of healthy

controls.⁵ In 904 employed patients with myeloproliferative neoplasms, a first change in employment status occurred approximately 2 years after diagnosis with 30.2% leaving a job.¹¹ Our findings suggest that unemployment rates among patients with HN, with a median of 5 years since diagnosis, are broadly comparable to other cancers, including hematological. However, most patients with HN have not undergone extensive surgery, radiation, intensive multi-agent chemotherapy, or transplantation, interventions common for other cancers. In our cohort, where chemotherapy administration was rare, and HN regimens are generally single-agent and less intensive than those for solid tumors or leukemias and lymphomas, unemployment rates were higher than may be expected considering the absence of these treatment-related factors. Rather, our results suggest that targeted therapy, often chronic in HN, may itself pose challenges for employment.

After confounder adjustment, seven variables emerged as independently associated with unemployment: longer diagnostic delay, brain involvement, targeted therapy, lower functional well-being, lower household income, moderate/severe depression and greater impact of perceived cognitive impairments on QoL. These findings mirror observations from the broader cancer literature where cognitive difficulties and sadness were predictors of unemployment. For HN, the constellation of reduced functional well-being and moderate/severe depression represents a common symptomatology cluster; our current findings extend their impact to employment outcomes. The association between neurologic involvement of HN and unemployment is consistent with clinical experience that patients with brain parenchymal HN often experience functional impairments and limited treatment responses. However, reverse association of more severe disease leading to both unemployment and treatment cannot be excluded. The association between unemployment and longer undiagnosed illness, frustratingly common in HN, brings awareness to additional harmful consequences of delayed diagnosis.

This study raises an important question of how to mitigate the impact of HN upon employment viability. Educating employers about HN manifestations may allow for

accommodations to maintain employment; even perceived employer accommodations for symptoms, side effects, and follow-up visits for cancer and cancer treatment are strong predictors of return to work, as are flexible working conditions, counseling, and job search assistance. Additionally, recognizing that fatigue and pain, often elusive symptoms, are cancer-related in HN may contribute to employer accommodations. While a Cochrane review demonstrated that psycho-educational interventions alone are unlikely to improve return-to-work rates, multidisciplinary and physical interventions may have greater success, though most studies were in breast cancer. For patients with HN, targeted interventions such as management of depression, optimal therapies for neurologic involvement, and reduction in diagnostic delay may improve the likelihood of sustained employment.

Our study has some limitations. As a registry-based, cross-sectional cohort, some heterogeneity is inherent by design. While there was a mixed referral base, there was a substantial representation from MSKCC. Registry participants may be more engaged, potentially inflating unemployment rates, though estimates were comparable to those reported in the broader cancer survivorship literature. Employment status was self-reported, though this is conventional in published literature. High rates of missing employment status post-enrollment limited our ability to draw longitudinal conclusions. Lack of changes in known employment distribution from enrollment to timepoint 2 precluded analyses investigating associations with change in employment status over time. Nonetheless, the finding that most patients unemployed at enrollment remained unemployed at timepoint 2 suggests that the enrollment associations likely persist over time.

Employment is a vital component of functioning and well-being in people with active cancer and in cancer survivorship, including those with HN. We demonstrated that unemployment is both common and persistent in HN and is associated with an array of factors, some of which are modifiable. These findings present intervention opportunities through symptom management, earlier diagnosis, improved treatment of neurologic disease, and

workplace accommodations that could help preserve employment and by extension QoL for patients with HN.

References

- 1. Pegoraro F, Cohen-Aubart F, Papo M, et al. MEK inhibitors are effective in Erdheim-Chester disease regardless of MAPK pathway mutations. Leukemia. 2025;39(6):1540-1542.
- 2. Cohen Aubart F, Emile JF, Carrat F, et al. Targeted therapies in 54 patients with Erdheim-Chester disease, including follow-up after interruption (the LOVE study). Blood. 2017;130(11):1377-1380.
- 3. Diamond EL, Subbiah V, Lockhart AC, et al. Vemurafenib for BRAF V600-Mutant Erdheim-Chester Disease and Langerhans Cell Histiocytosis: Analysis of Data From the Histology-Independent Phase 2, Open-label VE-BASKET Study. JAMA Oncol. 2018;4(3):384-388.
- 4. Diamond EL, Durham BH, Ulaner GA, et al. Efficacy of MEK inhibition in patients with histiocytic neoplasms. Nature. 2019;567(7749):521-524.
- 5. de Boer AGEM, Taskila T, Ojajarvi A, van Dijk FJH, Verbeek JHAM. Cancer survivors and unemployment: a meta-analysis and meta-regression. JAMA. 2009;301(7):753-762.
- 6. Reiner AS, Alici Y, Correa DD, et al. Anxiety and depression in patients with histiocytic neoplasms and their associated clinical features. Blood Adv. 2025;9(6):1376-1386.
- 7. Diamond EL, Reiner AS, Buthorn JJ, et al. A scale for patient-reported symptom assessment for patients with Erdheim-Chester disease. Blood Adv. 2019;3(7):934-938.
- 8. Reiner AS, Bossert D, Buthorn JJ, et al. Patient-reported fatigue and pain in Erdheim-Chester disease: a registry-based, mixed methods study. Haematologica. 2023;108(6):1685-1690.
- 9. Marathe PH, Reiner AS, Bossert D, et al. Symptoms, unmet needs, and quality of life in Erdheim-Chester disease: a longitudinal registry-based analysis. Blood Adv. 2025;9(17):4415-4424.
- 10. Shapiro CL. Cancer Survivorship. N Engl J Med. 2018;379(25):2438-2450.
- 11. Yu J, Parasuraman S, Paranagama D, et al. Impact of Myeloproliferative neoplasms on patients' employment status and work productivity in the United States: results from the living with MPNs survey. BMC Cancer. 2018;18(1):420.
- 12. Tevaarwerk AJ, Lee JW, Sesto ME, et al. Employment outcomes among survivors of common cancers: the Symptom Outcomes and Practice Patterns (SOAPP) study. J Cancer Surviv. 2013;7(2):191-202.
- 13. Aubart FC, Idbaih A, Emile JF, et al. Histiocytosis and the nervous system: from diagnosis to targeted therapies. Neuro Oncol. 2021;23(9):1433-1446.
- 14. Mehnert A, de Boer A, Feuerstein M. Employment challenges for cancer survivors. Cancer. 2013;119 Suppl 11:2151-2159.
- 15. de Boer AG, Tamminga SJ, Boschman JS, Hoving JL. Non-medical interventions to enhance return to work for people with cancer. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2024;3(3):CD007569.

Table 1. Cohort characteristics.

Variable	0 ,			Median	Range
Age at histiocytosis diagnosis	Continuous, years	199	99.5	46.4	0.4-69.8
Age at registry enrollment	Continuous, years	200	100	51.1	21.6-72.2
	ECD	99	49.5		
	LCH	42	21.0		
	RDD	26	13.0		
	AXG	11	5.5		
Histiocytosis diagnosis	HS	3	1.5		
	Mixed ECD/LCH	13	6.5		
	Mixed ECD/RDD	4	2.0		
	Other	2	1.0		
	ECD, mixed ECD/LCH, mixed ECD/RDD	116	58.0		
Histiocytosis diagnosis	LCH	42	21.0		
	RDD	26	13.0		
	AXG, HS, Other	16	8.0		
Danista and Harant	MSKCC	164	82.0		
Registry enrollment	Outside MSKCC	36	18.0		
	Male	104	52.0		
Sex	Female	96	48.0		
Length of undiagnosed illness	Continuous, years	199	99.5	0.6	0-21.3
Length of diagnosed illness	Continuous, years	200	99.6	4.1	0.2-48.1
Length of diagnosca limbes	Bone	146	73.0	1.1	0.2 10.1
	Neurologic	104	52.0		
	Brain Parenchyma	80	40.0		
	Cardiovascular	52	26.0		
		34			
Sites of disease	Pulmonary		17.0		
	Retroperitoneum	57	28.5		
	Abdomen	28	14.0		
	Skin/Subcutaneous	64	32.0		
	Lymph Node	29	14.5		
	Other	35	17.5		
Hypertension	No	126	63.0		
Typotteriolori	Yes	74	37.0		
Diabetes	No	164	82.0		
Diabetes	Yes	36	18.0		
	None	106	53.0		
Trooting and at an inclusion of	Conventional	15	7.5		
Treatment at enrollment	Targeted	78	39.0		
	Unknown	1	0.5		
	\$0-\$25,000	17	8.5		
	\$25,000-\$50,000	15	7.5		
	\$50,000-\$75,000	20	10.0		
Household annual income	\$75,000-\$100,000	20	10.0		
	\$100,000+	89	44.5		
	Unknown or prefer not to	39	19.5		
	answer				

	Some high school	3	1.5	
	High school	31	15.5	
Highest education level	Undergraduate	73	36.5	
	Graduate	67	33.5	
Employment at Enrollment Employment at Timepoint 1 (IQR: 6.0-7.8 months from enrollment) ^a Employment at Timepoint 2 (IQR: 12.8-16.0	Postgraduate	26	13.0	
	Part-time	31	15.5	
Employment at Enrollment	Full-time	118	59.0	
	Unemployed	51	25.5	
months from	Part-time	21	15.0	
	Full-time	75	53.6	
	Unemployed	34	24.3	
	Retired	10	7.1	
Cinomitenty	Unknown	60		
Employment at Timepoint 2 (IQR: 12.8-16.0 months from enrollment) ^a	Part-time	9	11.1	
	Full-time	37	45.7	
	Unemployed	25	30.9	
	Retired	10	12.4	
	Unknown	119		

^aUnknowns not included in denominator for proportions.

Table 2. Known Employment Distributions Across Time Trajectories.

Enrollment Employment	Employment at Timepoint 1 ^a	N	%
Part-time	Part-time	13	9.3
Part-time	Unemployed	2	1.4
Part-time	Retired	1	0.7
Full-time	Part-time	3	2.1
Full-time	Full-time	75	53.6
Full-time	Retired	5	3.6
Unemployed	Part-time	5	3.6
Unemployed	Unemployed	32	22.9
Unemployed	Retired	4	2.9
Enrollment Employment	Employment at Timepoint 2 ^b	N	%
Part-time	Part-time	5	6.2
Part-time	Full-time	1	1.2
Part-time	Unemployed	2	2.5
Full-time	Part-time	3	3.7
Full-time	Full-time	35	43.2
Full-time	Unemployed	2	2.5
Full-time	Retired	4	4.9
Unemployed	Part-time	1	1.2
Unemployed	Full-time	1	1.2
Unemployed	Unemployed	21	25.9
Unemployed	Retired	6	7.4

^a IQR: 6.0-7.8 months from enrollment.

^b IQR: 12.8-16.0 months from enrollment

Table 3. Associations with Unemployment Status at Enrollment (versus all full-time or part-time employment). N=200

Variable	Category	N	%	6 Univariable			Multivariable		
				OR	95% CI	P-value	ORª	95% Cl ^a	P- value ^a
Age at histiocytosis diagnosis	Continuous, years	199	99.5	1.00	0.97-1.02	0.78	1.01	0.98-1.05	0.40
Age at registry enrollment	Continuous, years	200	100	0.99	0.96-1.02	0.49	1.01	0.97-1.04	0.80
	Non-ECD	84	42.0	Ref			Ref		
Histiocytosis diagnosis (binary)	ECD or Mixed ECD	116	58.0	2.07	1.05-4.10	0.04	1.82	0.66-4.98	0.25
Cov	Male	104	52.0	Ref			Ref		
Sex	Female	96	48.0	2.00	1.05-3.83	0.04	1.98	0.96-4.74	0.13
Length of undiagnosed illness	Continuous, years	199	99.5	1.09	1.01-1.18	0.02	1.13	1.03-1.25	0.01
Length of diagnosed illness	Continuous, years	200	100	1.01	0.98-1.06	0.48	0.95	0.88-1.03	0.24
	Bone	146	73.0	1.41	0.66-3.00	0.38	2.36	0.71-7.80	0.16
	Neurologic	104	52.0	1.41	0.74-2.69	0.30	1.50	0.59-3.83	0.39
	Brain Parenchyma	80	40.0	2.22	1.17-4.25	0.02	3.60	1.36-9.54	0.01
	Cardiovascular	52	26.0	1.40	0.69-2.82	0.35	1.74	0.64-4.74	0.28
Sites of disease	Pulmonary	34	17.0	1.47	0.66-3.28	0.35	1.27	0.44-3.65	0.66
	Retroperitoneum	57	28.5	1.03	0.51-2.08	0.93	0.85	0.33-2.19	0.73
	Abdomen	28	14.0	1.17	0.48-2.85	0.73	1.09	0.33-3.56	0.89
	Skin/Subcutaneous	64	32.0	1.05	0.54-2.07	0.88	0.94	0.35-2.55	0.90
	Lymph Node	29	14.5	0.71	0.27-1.85	0.48	1.33	0.39-4.53	0.65
Multicyctomic	No	48	24.0	Ref			Ref		
Multisystemic	Yes	151	75.5	1.04	0.49-2.21	0.91	0.49	0.16-1.52	0.22
	None	106	53.0	Ref			Ref		
Treatment	Conventional	15	7.5	1.56	0.45-5.42	0.48	2.66	0.63-11.24	0.19
	Targeted	78	39.0	2.28	1.16-4.47	0.02	3.78	1.38-10.36	0.0096
Harris de al de accordina a cons	≥\$75,000	109	54.5	Ref			Ref		
Household annual income	\$0-\$75,000	52	26.0	2.73	1.29-5.78	0.009	2.30	1.00-5.30	0.0497
Llinboot advection level	<undergraduate< td=""><td>34</td><td>17.0</td><td>Ref</td><td></td><td></td><td>Ref</td><td></td><td></td></undergraduate<>	34	17.0	Ref			Ref		
Highest education level	≥Undergraduate	166	83.0	0.66	0.30-1.48	0.32	1.55	0.48-5.07	0.47
Lhunautanaian	No	74	37.0	Ref			Ref		
Hypertension	Yes	126	63.0	0.81	0.41-1.58	0.53	1.02	0.42-2.45	0.97
Diabetes	No	164	82.0	Ref			Ref		
Diabetes	Yes	36	18.0	0.97	0.42-2.23	0.94	1.30	0.46-3.71	0.62
FACT-G physical well being ^b	Continuous	199	99.5	0.91	0.87-0.96	0.0002	0.94	0.85-1.05	0.27
FACT-G emotional well being ^b	Continuous	199	99.5	0.99	0.93-1.06	0.71	1.07	0.97-1.18	0.21
FACT-G social well being ^b	Continuous	199	99.5	0.95	0.91-1.00	0.06	0.99	0.92-1.06	0.70
FACT-G functional well being ^b	Continuous	199	99.5	0.87	0.82-0.92	<0.0001	0.87	0.80-0.95	0.002
FACT-G total score ^b	Continuous	199	99.5	0.97	0.95-0.98	0.0002	0.98	0.95-1.01	0.19
BPI severity ^c	Continuous	197	98.5	1.14	1.01-1.28	0.04	1.00	0.84-1.19	1.00
BPI interference ^c	Continuous	197	98.5	1.22	1.09-1.37	0.0004	1.17	0.995-1.37	0.06
BPI total ^c	Continuous	197	98.5	1.22	1.08-1.37	0.002	1.13	0.94-1.35	0.19

BFI severity ^d	Continuous	196	98.0 1	1.18	1.06-1.31	0.002	1.00	0.86-1.17	0.96
BFI interference ^d	Continuous	197	98.5 1	1.26	1.13-1.40	<0.0001	1.15	0.996-1.33	0.06
BFI total ^d	Continuous	197	98.5 1	1.26	1.12-1.40	<0.0001	1.12	0.96-1.30	0.17
ECDSS Top 3 Symptoms Severity ^e	Continuous	189	94.5 1	1.39	1.16-1.66	0.0004	1.15	0.88-1.50	0.32
ECDSS Top 5 Symptoms Severity ^e	Continuous	189	94.5 1	1.42	1.17-1.73	0.0004	1.12	0.83-1.51	0.47
Unmet health care service needs	No	114	57.0 R	Ref			Ref		
Onmet health care service needs	Yes	76	38.0 1	1.49	0.78-2.84	0.23	0.59	0.23-1.47	0.25
Unmet payabalagical/ametianal paeda	No	86	43.0 R	Ref			Ref		
Unmet psychological/emotional needs	Yes	110	55.0 1	1.74	0.89-3.40	0.11	2.06	0.76-5.56	0.16
Upmat physical and daily health needs	No	98	49.0 R	Ref			Ref		
Unmet physical and daily health needs	Yes	98	49.0 2	2.26	1.17-4.37	0.02	1.57	0.53-4.64	0.41
Upmet eare and support peeds	No	146	73.0 R	Ref			Ref		
Unmet care and support needs	Yes	47	23.5 2	2.42	1.20-4.88	0.01	1.29	0.51-3.27	0.59
Unmet sexual needs	No	152	76.0 R	Ref			Ref		
Onmet sexual needs	Yes	40	20.0 2	2.16	1.03-4.57	0.04	1.92	0.74-5.04	0.18
Number of unmet needs	Continuous	198	99.0 1	1.07	1.03-1.11	0.0006	1.04	0.99-1.10	0.14
	<3	81	40.5 R	Ref			Ref		
	3+	117	58.5 2	2.52	1.24-5.11	0.01	1.31	0.48-3.55	0.60
Linnat Na ada	<5	102	51.0 R	Ref			Ref		
Unmet Needs	5+	96	48.0 2	2.74	1.41-5.35	0.003	2.07	0.77-5.59	0.15
	<10	140	70.0 R	Ref			Ref		
	10+	58	29.0 2	2.34	1.20-4.57	0.01	1.69	0.66-4.28	0.27
Anviote	Normal/Mild	165	82.5 R	Ref			Ref		
Anxiety	Moderate/Severe	35	17.5 1	1.43	0.65-3.18	0.38	0.67	0.21-2.10	0.49
Danasaisa	Normal/Mild	174	87.0 R	Ref			Ref		
Depression	Moderate/Severe	26	13.0 9	9.61	3.85-23.99	<0.0001	10.96	2.84-42.24	0.0005
Financial burden ^f	Continuous	197	98.5 1	1.30	1.05-1.62	0.02	1.07	0.78-1.48	0.67
FACT-Cog: perceived cognitive	Continuous	200	100 0).98	0.96-1.00	0.12	1.01	0.97-1.05	0.65
impairments ^g									
FACT-Cog: perceived cognitive	Continuous	199	99.5 0).87	0.82-0.93	<0.0001	0.84	0.75-0.96	0.007
impairments QoL ^g									
FACT-Cog: comments from others	Continuous	200	100 0	0.90	0.81-1.01	0.07	0.94	0.80-1.10	0.43
FACT-Cog: perceived cognitive abilities	Continuous	199	99.5 0).97	0.93-1.01	0.09	0.96	0.91-1.02	0.17

^aAdjusted for age, length of undiagnosed histiocytosis, brain/neurologic involvement, number of sites (multifocal/unifocal), household annual income, BFI total, and BPI total.

^bA higher FACT-G subscale or total score indicates better well-being.

^cA higher BPI subscale or total score indicates worse pain.

^dA higher BFI subscale or total score indicates worse fatigue. ^eA higher ECDSS score indicates worse symptom severity.

^fA higher financial burden score indicates worse burden.

⁹A higher FACT-Cog subscale or total score indicates better cognitive functioning.

Supplemental Figure 1: Distribution of employment status changes over time.

A) from enrollment to Timepoint 1. B) from enrollment to Timepoint 2.



