

## Response to Comment on: Selection of unrelated donors for allogeneic transplantation using post-transplant cyclophosphamide in acute lymphoblastic leukemia: an analysis by the Acute Leukemia Working Party of the European Society for Blood and Marrow Transplantation

by Jaime Sanz, Mohamad Mohty and Fabio Ciceri

Received: September 2, 2025.

Accepted: September 5, 2025.

Citation: Jaime Sanz, Mohamad Mohty and Fabio Ciceri. Response to Comment on: Selection of unrelated donors for allogeneic transplantation using post-transplant cyclophosphamide in acute lymphoblastic leukemia: an analysis by the Acute Leukemia Working Party of the European Society for Blood and Marrow Transplantation.

Haematologica. 2025 Sept 18. doi: 10.3324/haematol.2025.289110 [Epub ahead of print]

### *Publisher's Disclaimer.*

E-publishing ahead of print is increasingly important for the rapid dissemination of science.

Haematologica is, therefore, E-publishing PDF files of an early version of manuscripts that have completed a regular peer review and have been accepted for publication.

E-publishing of this PDF file has been approved by the authors.

After having E-published Ahead of Print, manuscripts will then undergo technical and English editing, typesetting, proof correction and be presented for the authors' final approval; the final version of the manuscript will then appear in a regular issue of the journal.

All legal disclaimers that apply to the journal also pertain to this production process.

**Response to Comment on: Selection of unrelated donors for allogeneic transplantation using post-transplant cyclophosphamide in acute lymphoblastic leukemia: an analysis by the Acute Leukemia Working Party of the European Society for Blood and Marrow Transplantation**

Jaime Sanz<sup>1</sup>, Mohamad Mohty<sup>2</sup>, Fabio Ciceri<sup>3</sup>

1. Hematology Department, Hospital Universitari i Politècnic La Fe, Valencia  
Departament de Medicina Universitat de Valencia, CIBERONC, Instituto Carlos III,  
Madrid, Spain

2. Hôpital Saint-Antoine, Sorbonne University, INSERM UMRs 938, Paris, France

3. Hematology and Bone Marrow transplant Unit, San Raffaele Scientific Institute  
IRCCS, Milano, Italy

**Corresponding author:**

Jaime Sanz

Hospital Universitari i Politècnic La Fe

Av. Fernando Abril Martorell, 106

46026 Valencia, Spain

Mail: [Jaime.Sanz@uv.es](mailto:Jaime.Sanz@uv.es)

**Competing interests**

The authors declare no competing interests.

We thank the correspondents for their thoughtful comments on our report comparing outcomes after 7/8 mismatched unrelated donors (MMUD) and 8/8 matched unrelated donors (MUD) in adults with ALL receiving PTCy-based GVHD prophylaxis.<sup>1</sup>

While it is crucial to assess the impact of specific HLA mismatches in the context of modern PTCy-based GVHD prophylaxis, the literature remains heterogeneous and sometimes contradictory, even for HLA-B leader dimorphism, peptide-binding motif mismatches, and HLA-A/HLA-B mismatches.<sup>2,3,4</sup> Variability in prophylaxis regimens and heterogeneity in patient, disease, and transplant characteristics likely contribute to these inconsistencies and constrain direct comparisons with our ALL-specific PTCy cohort.

Our study addressed a pragmatic question: when a fully matched donor is unavailable, is a 7/8 MMUD a reasonable alternative in the PTCy setting for ALL?<sup>5</sup> Given registry constraints, we prespecified pooling of 7/8 MMUD rather than stratification by locus. Within this framework, and after multivariable adjustment, outcomes were comparable between 7/8 MMUD and 8/8 MUD, indicating that MMUD should not be excluded *a priori* when PTCy is used. Rather, other donor-related variables, particularly CMV serostatus, donor age, and donor–recipient sex mismatch, may exert prognostic effects that equal or exceed those of a single HLA mismatch and therefore should be carefully considered in donor selection.

We encourage further research aimed at defining optimal HLA compatibility in the PTCy era, preferably using large, prospectively collected cohorts, standardized transplant platforms, and comprehensive HLA data. We recognize that this will be challenging given procedural heterogeneity, varied disease indications, and multiple other confounders. We share the call for greater granularity to enable adequately powered analyses that may define “permissible” mismatches. Until such data mature, we believe that our findings support cautious, case-by-case inclusion of 7/8 MMUD when an 8/8 MUD is unavailable, while optimizing the aforementioned donor factors.

## References

1. Desai N, Mattsson J. HLA matching in the PTCy era: the locus still matters. Comment on: Selection of unrelated donors for allogeneic transplantation using post-transplant cyclophosphamide in acute lymphoblastic leukemia: an analysis by the Acute Leukemia Working Party of the European Society for Blood and Marrow Transplantation. *Haematologica*. xxx
2. Shaffer BC, Goptu M, DeFor TE, et al. Post-Transplant Cyclophosphamide–Based Graft-Versus-Host Disease Prophylaxis Attenuates Disparity in Outcomes Between Use of Matched or Mismatched Unrelated Donors. *J Clin Oncol*. 2024;42(28):3277-3286.
3. Sanz J, Labopin M, Choi G, et al. Younger unrelated donors may be preferable over HLA match in the PTCy era: a study from the ALWP of the EBMT. *Blood*. 2024;143(24):2534-2543.
4. Arrieta-Bolaños E, Bonneville EF, Crivello P, et al. Human Leukocyte Antigen Mismatching and Survival in Contemporary Hematopoietic Cell Transplantation for Hematologic Malignancies. *J Clin Oncol*. 2024;42(28):3287-3299.

5. Sanz J, Ferhat AT, Kulagin A, et al. Selection of unrelated donors for allogeneic transplantation using post-transplant cyclophosphamide in acute lymphoblastic leukemia: an analysis by the Acute Leukemia Working Party of the European Society for Blood and Marrow Transplantation. *Haematologica*. 2025 Jul 31. doi: 10.3324/haematol.2025.287750. [Epub ahead of print]