

Response to Comment on: Hepatitis B virus reactivation following T-cell engager therapy in multiple myeloma despite negative hepatitis B core antibody serology: implications for screening in patients with haematological malignancies

by Matthew J. Rees, Hang Quach, Darren Jardine and Alexander Thompson

Received: August 26, 2025.

Accepted: August 28, 2025.

Citation: Matthew J. Rees, Hang Quach, Darren Jardine and Alexander Thompson. Response to Comment on: Hepatitis B virus reactivation following T-cell engager therapy in multiple myeloma despite negative hepatitis B core antibody serology: implications for screening in patients with haematological malignancies. *Haematologica*. 2025 Sept 11. doi: 10.3324/haematol.2025.289053 [Epub ahead of print]

Publisher's Disclaimer.

E-publishing ahead of print is increasingly important for the rapid dissemination of science.

Haematologica is, therefore, E-publishing PDF files of an early version of manuscripts that have completed a regular peer review and have been accepted for publication.

E-publishing of this PDF file has been approved by the authors.

After having E-published Ahead of Print, manuscripts will then undergo technical and English editing, typesetting, proof correction and be presented for the authors' final approval; the final version of the manuscript will then appear in a regular issue of the journal.

All legal disclaimers that apply to the journal also pertain to this production process.

Response to Comment on: Hepatitis B virus reactivation following T-cell engager therapy in multiple myeloma despite negative hepatitis B core antibody serology: implications for screening in patients with haematological malignancies

Authors: *Matthew J Rees¹, Hang Quach^{1,4}, Darren Jardine², Alexander Thompson,^{3,4}*

1. Department of Hematology, St Vincent's Hospital Melbourne, VIC, Australia
2. Department of Microbiology, St Vincent's Hospital Melbourne, VIC, Australia
3. Department of Gastroenterology, St Vincent's Hospital Melbourne, VIC, Australia
4. Faculty of Medicine, University of Melbourne, VIC, Australia

Corresponding Author:

Dr Matthew J Rees, MD

St Vincent's Hospital Melbourne, Victoria, Australia 3142

Matthew.Rees@svha.org.au

Disclosures: The authors have no relevant disclosures.

Authors' contributions:

- Writing original draft: MJR
- Revision and editing: MJR, HQ, DJ, AT

Funding: No funding was received.

Acknowledgements: The authors have no acknowledgements.

Dear Editor,

We thank Rago et al. for their interest in our recent case report describing hepatitis B virus (HBV) reactivation following T-cell engager (TCE) therapy in multiple myeloma.^{1,2}

Rago et al. note that HBV DNA was detectable in June 2024 prior to teclistamab, and suggest antiviral therapy should have been commenced at that time. We emphasise, as stated in our report, that this HBV DNA result was obtained retrospectively through *look-back testing*. At the time of clinical care, the patient's serology (HBsAg, anti-HBc, anti-HBs) was negative and liver function tests were normal. We agree that had HBV DNA been contemporaneously available, antiviral prophylaxis would have been warranted and likely prevented this event.

The response letter suggests the possibility of de novo HBV infection. We believe this is unlikely. Throughout the patient's myeloma journey, there was no known HBV exposure risk. The more plausible explanation, consistent with our findings, is occult HBV infection with subsequent *reactivation* in the profoundly immunosuppressed setting of TCE therapy. This interpretation aligns with the biological behaviour of HBV under immunosuppressive pressure and with known risks of viral reactivation in hematology patients.

Our case highlights the limitations of conventional serological screening alone in this population. Current guidelines do not recommend HBV DNA testing in seronegative individuals – a limitation highlighted by this case.³ Despite repeatedly negative anti-HBc, the patient harboured occult HBV detectable only by retrospective DNA testing. This highlights the need for vigilance and, in selected high-risk populations, consideration of HBV DNA testing even when serology is negative.

We welcome the discussion prompted by our report. However, we clarify that the June 2024 HBV DNA result was not known at the time of treatment, and that de novo HBV infection is unlikely. Rather, our case illustrates that HBV reactivation can occur despite negative serology, with significant implications for screening strategies in patients receiving novel immunotherapies.

References:

1. Rees MJ, Quach H, Jardine D, Thompson A. Hepatitis B virus reactivation following T-cell engager therapy in multiple myeloma despite negative hepatitis B core antibody serology: implications for screening in patients with hematological malignancies. *Haematologica*. 2025 Jul 31. doi: 10.3324/haematol.2025.288359. [Epub ahead of print]
2. Rago A, Ridola L, Caravita di Toritto T. HBV infection in the era of T-cell engagers in multiple myeloma: unresolved challenges and unmet needs. Comment on: Hepatitis B virus reactivation following T-cell engager therapy in multiple myeloma despite negative hepatitis B core antibody serology: implications for screening in patients with hematological malignancies. *Haematologica*. xxx
3. Cornberg M, Sandmann L, Jaroszewicz J, et al. EASL Clinical Practice Guidelines on the management of hepatitis B virus infection. *J Hepatol*. 2025;83(2):502-583.