

Ovarian tissue autotransplantation in acute leukemia: balancing the risk of relapse and the hope of parenthood

by Florian Chevillon, Elsa Labrune, Emmanuelle Clappier, Helene Lapillonne, Paola Ballerini, Virginie Barraud-Lange, Anne Fortin, Raphael Itzykson, Gerard Socie, Agnes Buzyn, Guy Leverger, Jean Hugues Dalle, Nathalie Dhedin, Nicolas Boissel, Regis Peffault de Latour, Bruno Salle, Christophe Roux and Catherine Poirot

Received: March 31, 2025. Accepted: June 30, 2025.

Citation: Florian Chevillon, Elsa Labrune, Emmanuelle Clappier, Helene Lapillonne, Paola Ballerini, Virginie Barraud-Lange, Anne Fortin, Raphael Itzykson, Gerard Socie, Agnes Buzyn, Guy Leverger, Jean Hugues Dalle, Nathalie Dhedin, Nicolas Boissel, Regis Peffault de Latour, Bruno Salle, Christophe Roux and Catherine Poirot. Ovarian tissue autotransplantation in acute leukemia: balancing the risk of relapse and the hope of parenthood.

Haematologica. 2025 July 10. doi: 10.3324/haematol.2025.287942 [Epub ahead of print]

Publisher's Disclaimer.

E-publishing ahead of print is increasingly important for the rapid dissemination of science. Haematologica is, therefore, E-publishing PDF files of an early version of manuscripts that have completed a regular peer review and have been accepted for publication.

E-publishing of this PDF file has been approved by the authors.

After having E-published Ahead of Print, manuscripts will then undergo technical and English editing, typesetting, proof correction and be presented for the authors' final approval; the final version of the manuscript will then appear in a regular issue of the journal.

All legal disclaimers that apply to the journal also pertain to this production process.

Ovarian tissue autotransplantation in acute leukemia: balancing the risk of relapse and the hope of parenthood

Florian Chevillon^{1,2}, Elsa Labrune^{2,3}, Emmanuelle Clappier⁴, Hélène Lapillonne⁵, Paola Ballerini⁵, Virginie Barraud Lange ^{1,2,6} Anne Fortin⁷, Raphael Itzykson⁸, Gérard Socié⁹, Agnès Buzyn¹⁰, Guy Leverger¹¹, Jean-Hugues Dalle¹², Nathalie Dhédin¹, Nicolas Boissel¹, Régis Peffault de Latour⁹, Bruno Salle³, Christophe Roux^{2,13}, Catherine Poirot^{1,2}

Running Heads:

Ovarian tissue transplantation in acute leukemia

Corresponding author:

Florian Chevillon, Hematoloy for Adolescents and Young Adults, Hospital Saint Louis, Assistance Publique

Hôpitaux de Paris, 1, avenue C. Vellefaux, Paris 75475 cedex 10, France

Florian.chevillon@aphp.fr

¹ Hematology for Adolescents and Young Adults, Hôpital Saint Louis, AP-HP, Paris, France

² GRECOT (Groupe de Recherche et d'Étude sur la Cryoconservation de l'Ovaire et du Testicule)

³ Reproductive Biology, Hospices civils de Lyon, Lyon, France

⁴ Hematology Biology, Hôpital Saint Louis, AP-HP, Paris, France

⁵ Hematology Biology, Hôpital Trousseau, AP-HP, Paris, France

⁶ Reproductive Biology, Hôpital Port Royal, AP-HP, Paris, France

⁷ Gynecology and Obstetrics, Clinique Metivet, 94100 Saint Maur des Fossés, France

⁸ Hematology, Hôpital Saint Louis, AP-HP, Paris, France

⁹ Department of Stem Cell Transplantation, Hôpital Saint Louis, AP-HP, Paris, France

¹⁰ Hematology, Hôpital Saint Antoine, AP-HP, Paris, France

¹¹ Hematology, Hôpital Trousseau, AP-HP, Paris, France

¹² Hematology, Hôpital Robert Debré, AP-HP, Paris, France

¹³ Reproductive Biology, Centre hospitalier Régional Universitaire de Besançon, Besançon, France

Conflict of interest:

The authors declare no conflict of interest related to this study.

Clinical trial registration:

This study is not a clinical trial.

Authors contributions:

C.P. conceived and designed research; C.R. identified patients through GRECOT national database; E.L., R.I., G.S., G.L., J.H.D., R.P.d.L., B.S., C.R., C.P. provided patients; F.C., E.L. and C.P. collected data; E.C., H.L. and P.B. analyzed and interpreted minimal residual disease data; F.C. and C.P. wrote the paper; F.C., E.L., E.C., H.L., P.B., B.B.L, R.I., G.S., J.H.D, N.D., N.B., R.P.d.L., C.R. and C.P. reviewed the paper; and all authors gave final approval to the manuscript.

Data-sharing statement:

The data are available upon request from the corresponding author.

Word count:

1599 words, 2 tables.

Acknowledgments:

The authors thank the patients and their families for participating to the research and all the members of the GRECOT (Groupe de Recherche et d'Etude sur la Cryoconservation de l'Ovaire et du Testicule).

Myeloablative conditioning regimens (MAC) used in hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (HSCT) often result in premature ovarian insufficiency (POI) ¹, adversely affecting quality of life by compromising parenthood. To mitigate this risk, ovarian tissue cryopreservation (OTC) could be proposed as a prevention strategy. The therapeutic emergency in acute leukemia (AL) generally precludes oocyte preservation, making OTC the only option for fertility preservation. OTC followed by ovarian tissue autotransplantation (OTT) has proven effective in restoring ovarian function ^{2,3}. In the largest series of women who underwent OTT, 106 out of 285 (38%) conceived, and 75 (26%) gave birth ³.

For patients treated for AL, concerns persist regarding the risk of reintroducing leukemic cells through OTT, limiting its use. To evaluate this risk, minimal residual disease (MRD) assessment using multiparameter flow cytometry (MCF) or molecular techniques has been performed on cryopreserved ovarian fragments, representing the most sensitive technique for detecting leukemic cells ⁴⁻⁶. The likelihood of detectable MRD in ovarian fragments is reduced when OTC is performed after achieving complete remission (CR) of AL, particularly when bone marrow MRD is undetectable. However, discrepancies exist ⁶ and MRD assessment is constrained by sensitivity threshold and not feasible on all fragments prior to OTT. Consequently, an undetectable MRD result does not fully exclude residual leukemic cells in some ovarian fragments. The first case of OTT in a woman with AL was reported in 2018 following leukemic cells screening by histology, immunohistochemistry, FISH, next-generation sequencing (NGS) and xenotransplantation ⁷. Since then, only a limited number of OTT cases for AL have been reported ^{3,8-11}. While these reports are encouraging with no relapse, data remain scarce. The absence of validated tools to ensure the complete safety of OTT in leukemic patients underscores the need for larger studies with extended follow-up.

We report the largest series of OTT in women in CR of AL at the time of OTC. The women included were referred to three fertility centers collaborating to the French Research and Study Group on Ovarian and Testicular Preservation (GRECOT). They were identified through the GRECOT national database, which records OTT performed in France. Inclusion criteria were: (1) women transplanted for AL; (2) OTC performed before HSCT as part of a fertility preservation program; (3) development of POI; and (4) OTT for fertility restoration between January 2012 and May 2024, without pregnancy contraindication. Local ethical committees approved the study (CLEA-2024-n°397). After signing an informed consent, all women underwent laparoscopic removal of one ovary. After transport to the reproductive biology laboratory, ovarian cortex was separated from the medulla, fragmented, slowly frozen according to specific protocols and stored in liquid

nitrogen ¹². When the patient expressed a desire to conceive, OTT was proposed if she experienced POI and remained in CR of AL. Prior to OTT, whenever possible, leukemic infiltration was assessed through MRD analysis using flow cytometry and/or molecular biology techniques, following local protocol, in one or two ovarian fragments. OTT was performed laparoscopically either in an orthotopic or heterotopic position. Patient characteristics and clinical data were collected from medical records.

Thirteen women were included, 7 with acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL) and 6 with acute myeloid leukemia (AML). The patient's characteristics are summarized in **Table 1**. The median age at OTC was 19.8 years (range, 15.6-36.1) and at OTT was 32.9 years (range, 27.7-39.3). Three patients had extramedullary leukemic localization at diagnosis or relapse. The indication for OTC was HSCT after a MAC for all women, with 9 having received total body irradiation (TBI) as part of the conditioning regimen.

The main results of OTC and OTT are summarized in Table 2.

OTC was performed between June 2003 and May 2018 after achieving CR in all patients: 10 were in first CR and 3 were in second CR. At the time of their request for OTT, all patients were in persistent CR and experienced POI. Eleven patients received hormone replacement therapy, while two declined it for personal reasons.

Before OTT, an evaluation of leukemic infiltration in cryopreserved ovarian cortex by MRD assessment was done for 9/13 patients. MRD analysis methods included quantitative polymerase chain reaction targeting clonal rearrangements of immunoglobulin or T-cell receptor genes (IG/TR) in 5 cases, *NPM1* mutation in 2 cases, NGS *RUNX1* somatic mutation detection in 1 case and genomic breakpoint of *KMT2A::AFDN* (*MLL::AF6*) in 1 case. A second MRD assessment by MFC was conducted for 2 patients. All 9 patients tested had undetectable MRD in the cryopreserved ovarian fragments tested with a sensitivity threshold ranging from 10⁻⁵ to 5.10⁻³. Two patients had suboptimal MRD sensitivity (5.10⁻³) due either to the detection method used (NGS-based RUNX1 mutation detection, patient n.2) or to limited DNA yield from ovarian tissue (patient n.4). In our series as well as in previous case reports (10), MRD assessment was not systematically performed, even when a suitable marker was available, underlying the heterogeneity of clinical practices. The four patients who did not undergo MRD assessment in ovarian fragments were managed at the same center, with

OTT performed between 2012 and 2018. Since the publication of national guidelines (13) recommending MRD assessment prior to OTT, practices at this center have aligned with these recommendations.

OTT was performed with a median time of 10.5 years (range, 3.2-18.6) from HSCT in orthotopic position for 12 of the 13 patients. Five patients underwent more than one OTT: 3 had 2 OTTs and 2 had 3 OTTs to prolong ovarian function. Ten women (77%) recovered ovarian endocrine function defined by resumption of menstruation with a median time of 4.4 months (range, 1.7-8.0) after OTT, which is slightly lower than the 88.7% previously reported by Dolmans et al. in the largest OTT series to date (3). Advanced age at OTC or prior exposure to gonadotoxic chemotherapy may negatively influence OTT outcomes (2, 3). Among the three patients who did not resume menstruation, patients n.6 and n.12 had undergone OTC after a second CR of AL. Patient n.6 received 3 g/m² of cyclophosphamide at age 19 and had a follicle density of 10/mm². Patient n.12 received 6 g/m² of cyclophosphamide at age 36—a likely gonadotoxic dose at that age— and had a follicle density of 0/mm², supporting the early referral of leukemia patients to fertility specialists prior to gonadotoxic treatment. Patient n.8, despite no alkylating agent exposure, had a follicle density of 0/mm². However, follicle density was assessed from a single fragment, which may not reflect the heterogeneous distribution of primordial follicles in the adult ovary.

Although the majority of patients experienced ovarian function restoration, only three became pregnant with a total of 4 pregnancies after natural conception. Three patients experienced a first trimester miscarriage and one delivered a healthy child. Interestingly, the limited cases in the literature showed a high number of pregnancies and births with 8 out of 12 women giving births ⁷⁻¹¹. This discrepancy might suggest a selection bias in previously reported cases. Reporting OTT cases on a national level provides a more comprehensive understanding of outcomes in this population.

Several hypotheses may explain the low birth rate observed in our cohort. First, one patient underwent heterotopic OTT, a technique associated with poorer outcomes. Oktay et al. recently reported significantly lower fertilization rates and fewer embryos generated per retrieval in heterotopic OTT than in orthotopic OTT ¹⁴. Additionally, uterine function may have been compromised, especially in women exposed to TBI, potentially leading to higher miscarriage rates and negative effects on OTT outcomes. Sanders et al. reported that the incidence of spontaneous abortion was significantly higher in TBI recipients compared to the chemotherapy group in HSCT survivors ¹. Notably, in our series the woman who gave birth and two of the

three women who miscarried had received TBI. Moreover, in our cohort, psychosocial factors such as separation from a partner (patient n.1 and n.4) or a lack of a persistent desire for pregnancy (patient n.2) have influenced outcomes. Finally, at the last follow-up, 8 of the 10 women who recovered ovarian function maintained menstrual cycles at a median time of 5.4 years (0.8-11.8) since OTT, while 2 experienced amenorrhea in the context of relapse and therapy-related AML, raising hopes for improved outcomes with extended follow-up.

A major concern is whether OTT could trigger AL relapse. With a median follow-up 4.07 years (0.8 - 11.8) after OTT, 11 of the 13 remained in CR of AL. Patient n.3 transplanted for B-cell ALL developed therapy-related AML 25 months after OTT and 19 years post-HSCT in a context of Li Fraumeni syndrome. Patient n.7 experienced a medullary relapse of B-cell ALL 13.7 months post-OTT and 6 years post-HSCT, this case was previously reported by Fontczak et al. The same IG/TR rearrangement was found at diagnosis and relapse ¹⁵. For this patient MRD was tested before OTT in two ovarian fragments using two different techniques with sensitivity threshold of 10⁻⁵ and 10⁻⁴, respectively. Late relapses occurring more than 2 years after HSCT are rare in ALL ¹⁶. Considering the potential homing of leukemic cells from the transplanted ovarian tissue to the bone marrow, the role of OTT in this relapse cannot be definitively excluded ¹⁷. Moreover, this case highlights that undetectable MRD in cryopreserved ovarian cortex may not ensure the absolute safety of OTT.

For many years, OTC has been the technique of choice for fertility preservation before HSCT in women with AL. Although alternatives like artificial ovaries and in vitro folliculogenesis hold promise, none have yet resulted in live births. Consequently, demand for OTT is likely to increase. In the absence of other fertility restoration options for women with AL, our results provide critical information for counseling patients before OTC and when considering OTT.

References:

- 1. Sanders JE, Hawley J, Levy W, et al. Pregnancies following high-dose cyclophosphamide with or without high-dose busulfan or total-body irradiation and bone marrow transplantation. Blood. 1996;87(7):3045-3052.
- 2. Poirot C, Fortin A, Dhédin N, et al. Post-transplant outcome of ovarian tissue cryopreserved after chemotherapy in hematological malignancies. Haematologica. 2019;104(8):e360-e363.
- 3. Dolmans MM, von Wolff M, Poirot C, et al. Transplantation of cryopreserved ovarian tissue in a series of 285 women: a review of five leading European centers. Fertil Steril. 2021;115(5):1102-1115.
- 4. Dolmans MM, Marinescu C, Saussoy P, Langendonckt AV, Amorim C, Donnez J. Reimplantation of cryopreserved ovarian tissue from patients with acute lymphoblastic leukemia is potentially unsafe. Blood. 2010;116(16):2908-2914.
- 5. Jahnukainen K, Tinkanen H, Wikström A, et al. Bone marrow remission status predicts leukemia contamination in ovarian biopsies collected for fertility preservation. Leukemia. 2013;27(5):1183-1185.
- 6. Chevillon F, Clappier E, Arfeuille C, et al. Minimal residual disease quantification in ovarian tissue collected from patients in complete remission of acute leukemia. Blood. 2021;137(12):1697-1701.
- 7. Shapira M, Raanani H, Barshack I, et al. First delivery in a leukemia survivor after transplantation of cryopreserved ovarian tissue, evaluated for leukemia cells contamination. Fertil Steril. 2018;109(1):48-53.
- 8. Silber SJ, DeRosa M, Goldsmith S, Fan Y, Castleman L, Melnick J. Cryopreservation and transplantation of ovarian tissue: results from one center in the USA. J Assist Reprod Genet. 2018;35(12):2205-2213.
- 9. Rodriguez-Wallberg KA, Milenkovic M, Papaikonomou K, et al. Successful pregnancies after transplantation of ovarian tissue retrieved and cryopreserved at time of childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia: a case report. Haematologica. 2021;106(10):2783-2787.
- 10. Sönmezer M, Şükür YE, Saçıntı KG, et al. Safety of ovarian cryopreservation and transplantation in patients with acute leukemia: a case series. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2024;230(1):79.e1-79.e10.
- 11. Soares M, Segers I, De Brucker M, et al. Cryopreserved ovarian tissue autotransplantation in an acute myeloid leukaemia survivor following extensive minimal residual disease screening: first reported live birth in Europe. J Assist Reprod Genet. 2025;42(5):1485-1490.
- 12. Poirot C, Vacher-Lavenu MC, Helardot P, Guibert J, Brugières L, Jouannet P. Human ovarian tissue cryopreservation: indications and feasibility. Hum Reprod. 2002;17(6):1447-1452.
- 13. Institut National du Cancer (INCa). Préservation de la fertilité chez les hommes et les femmes atteints d'un cancer. Thésaurus. https://www.e-cancer.fr. Accessed January 2021.
- 14. Oktay KH, Marin L. Comparison of orthotopic and heterotopic autologous ovarian tissue transplantation outcomes. Fertil Steril. 2024;121(1):72-79.
- 15. Frontczak S, Zver T, Pretalli JB, et al. Acute leukemia relapse after ovarian cortex autograft: a case report. Ann Case Rep. 2023;8:1274.
- 16. Kaphan E, Bettega F, Forcade E, et al. Late relapse after hematopoietic stem cell transplantation for acute leukemia: a retrospective study by SFGM-TC. Transplant Cell Ther. 2023;29(6):362.e1-362.e12.
- 17. Lane SW, Scadden DT, Gilliland DG. The leukemic stem cell niche: current concepts and therapeutic opportunities. Blood. 2009;114(6):1150-1157.

No Patient	Type of AL	Extramedullar disease	Oncogenetics	Remission status at OTC	cyclophophamide	Total body irradiation in conditioning regimen	MRD marker	Threshold of sensibility
1	AML	No	KMT2A-r	CR1	0	No	KMT2A::AFDN	10^{-4}
2	AML	No	Normal karyotype, RUNX1 mut	CR1	0	Yes	RUNXI	5.10 ⁻³
3	B-cell ALL	No	Low Hypodiploidy	CR1	3	Yes	IG/TR and MFC	10 ⁻⁵ and 5.10 ⁻⁵
4	B-cell ALL	Yes (CNS)	NA	CR2	NR	Yes	IG/TR	5.10 ⁻³
5	AML	No	Normal karyotype, NMP1 mut, FLT- ITD, IDH1	CR1	0	No	NPM1	10-4
6	B-cell ALL	Yes (mammar)	46, XX with t(8;14)	CR2	3	Yes	IG/TR	10-4
7	B-cell ALL	No	NA	CR1	2.5	Yes	IG/TR and MFC	10 ⁻⁵ and 10 ⁻⁴
8	AML	No	Normal karyotype	CR1	0	Yes	Not assessed	NA
9	T-cell ALL	No	NA	CR1	NR	Yes	Not assessed	NA
10	AML	No	Normal karyotype	CR1	0	Yes	Not assessed	NA
11	B-cell ALL	Yes (CNS)	NA	CR1	2.5	Yes	Not assessed	NA
12	B-cell ALL	No	KMT2A-r	CR2	6	Yes	IG/TR	10 ⁻⁵
13	AML	No	45,XX,- 22,add(22)(p11)[8]/ 46,XX,add(22)(p11) x2[11]/46,XX[1]; NPM1 mut, FLT3- TKD, NRAS mut	CR1	0	No	NPMI	10-4

Table 1. Hematological characteristics of the patients and minimal residual disease results

AL: acute leukemia; AML: acute myeloid leukemia; ALL: acute lymphoblastic leukemia; CNS: central nervous system; *KMT2A-r*: Lysine Methyltransferase 2A gene rearrangement; *RUNX1*: Runt-related transcription factor 1; mut: mutation; NA: not applicable; *NPM1*: nucleophosmin1; *FLT3-ITD*: Fms-like tyrosine kinase 3 Internal Tandem Duplication; IDH1: Isocitrate dehydrogenase 1; *FLT3-TKD*: Fms-like tyrosine kinase domain; *NRAS*: neuroblastoma rat sarcoma virus; OTC: ovarian tissue cryopreservation:; CR1: first complete remission; CR2: second complete remission; NR: not reported in medical record; MRD: minimal residual disease; IG/TR: Immunoglobulin T-cell Receptor; MFC: Multiparameter Flow Cytometry; NA: not applicable

No Patient	Age at	follicle	Time between HSCT and first OTT (years)	Number of OTT; site	Resumption of menstrual cycle (time since OTT in months)	Pregnancy after OTT	Relapse after OTT (time since OTT; ovarian MRD result if relapse)	Follow-up since first OTT (years)	Menstrual cycle at last follow-up
1	19	7.2	8.7	2; orthotopic	Yes (6.7)	No	No	7	Yes
2	26.5	3.1	10.5	3; orthotopic	Yes (4.5)	No	No	6.8	Yes
3	16.2	12.5	16.9	1; orthotopic	Yes (3.4)	No	Therapy-related AML (25 months)	4.2	No
4	18.4	0	16.9	1; orthotopic	Yes (6.1)	No	No	2.7	Yes
5	16.4	0	14	1; orthotopic	Yes (4.5)	Yes (1 miscarriage)	No	2.1	Yes
6	19.8	10	8.5	1; orthotopic	No	No	No	1.5	No
7	31.8	0.8	5.2	1; orthotopic	Yes (1.8)	Yes (1 miscarriage)	Relapse (13.8 months; undetectable < 10 ⁻⁵)	4.5	No
8	24.3	0	18.6	2; orthotopic	No	No	No	0.8	No
9	17.3	0.9	11.8	1; orthotopic	Yes (3)	Yes (1 live birth and 1 miscarriage)	No	11.8	Yes
10	22.4	0.6	6.4	3; heterotopic	Yes (8)	No	No	10.5	Yes
11	23	4	6.7	2; orthotopic	Yes (4.4)	No	No	4.1	Yes
12	36.1	0	3.2	1; orthotopic	No	No	No	2.5	No
13	15.6	0.7	17.2	1; orthotopic	Yes (2.9)	No	No	0.8	Yes

Table 2. Ovarian tissue transplantation outcomes

OTC: ovarian tissue cryopreservation; OTT: ovarian tissue transplantation; AL: acute leukemia; HSCT: hematopoietic stem cell transplantation; MRD: minimal residual disease.