Emily Curran, Marlise R. Luskin, Houda Alachkar, Ibrahim Aldoss, Apatrick W. Burke, Ryan D. Cassaday,<sup>6</sup> Seth E. Karol,<sup>7</sup> Anthony J. Perissinotti,<sup>5</sup> Cecilie Utke Rank,<sup>8</sup> Kjeld Schmiegelow,<sup>8,9</sup> Jonathan Webster<sup>10</sup> and Dan Douer<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH, USA; <sup>2</sup>Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, MA, USA; <sup>3</sup>University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA, USA; <sup>4</sup>City of Hope National Medical Center, Duarte, CA, USA; 5University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA; 6University of Washington School of Medicine and Fred Hutchinson Cancer Center, Seattle, WA, USA; 7St. Jude Children's Research Hospital, Memphis, TN, USA; 8Rigshospitalet University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark; 9Department of Medicine, Faculty of Health, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark and <sup>10</sup>Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine and Johns Hopkins Hospital, Baltimore, MD, USA

\*EC and MRL contributed equally as first authors.

Correspondence: E. Curran curraney@ucmail.uc.edu

December 14, 2024. Received: March 14, 2025. Accepted: March 20, 2025. Early view:

https://doi.org/10.3324/haematol.2024.286744

©2025 Ferrata Storti Foundation Published under a CC BY-NC license 😅 🕦 🗞



Recognition, prevention, and management of adverse events associated with asparaginase/pegaspargase treatment of acute lymphoblastic leukemia in adults: consensus of an expert panel: Supplement 1

# **Methods**

# <u>Determination of ASNase-associated toxicities and AEs as the topic of consensus statement; panel</u> recruitment; and vetting

A group of medical experts who manage adult ALL patients and incorporate ASNase into their treatment regimens suggested reviewing the AEs associated with ASNase therapy in adult ALL patients as a basis for a consensus statement that aims to improve the safety and tolerance of and compliance with the use of this therapy in this population. The consensus panel leaders (chair and co-chairs) were selected, and panel membership was strategically selected to ensure global representation. The potential panelists were invited by the chair and informed of the requirements and desired qualifications for panel membership. Once the panel was assembled, members disclosed potential conflicts of interest, which were vetted by the chair and co-chairs. The panel chair and two co-chairs led the development of the clinical statements using a modified Delphi methodology with input from a methodologist.

#### Literature review and determination of the consensus statement scope

Two systematic biomedical literature searches produced relevant publications published between April 2009 and April 2024. Search criteria (Supplement Table 1) were established using the patient, intervention, comparison, outcome, timeframe, and setting [PICO(TS)] format (Supplement Table 2). The literature searches guided the panel in drafting clinical consensus statements that could help fill evidence gaps for evaluation and included systematic reviews (including meta-analyses), clinical practice guidelines, randomized controlled trials (RCTs), observational studies, and other relevant studies or publications in English. The gaps identified from the literature search results formed the framework for the Delphi surveys.

The panel made several decisions about the scope of the clinical consensus statements before formally implementing the Delphi method. First, the panel identified hepatotoxicity, hypersensitivity

Curran E et al. Recognition, prevention, and management of adverse events associated with asparaginase/pegaspargase treatment for acute lymphoblastic leukemia in adults: consensus of an expert panel reactions/infusion-related reactions (HSRs/IRRs), thromboembolic/coagulation complications, pancreatitis/metabolic complications, and dosing as topics of interest. Second, the panel used the literature search results to prioritize the topics that could benefit from potential consensus from an expert panel. Finally, these topics were used to formulate the initial statements evaluated using the Delphi method.

### Delphi method and administration

The panel used a modified Delphi method to distill expert opinion into concise clinical consensus statements. This rigorous and standardized method uses multiple anonymous surveys to minimize bias and facilitate expert consensus. The panel members used a web-based software program (<a href="www.surveymonkey.com">www.surveymonkey.com</a>) to execute the confidential surveys. All answers were presented anonymously and remained confidential; however, names were collected to ensure proper follow-up if needed.

The panel chair and co-chairs developed both Delphi surveys. Before dissemination to the panel, the surveys were reviewed by a methodologist for content and clarity. Questions in the survey were answered using a 9-point Likert scale with the following anchors (1=strongly disagree, 3=disagree, 5=neutral, 7=agree, 9=strongly agree). The surveys were completed by the panelists, and responses were aggregated, distributed, discussed by teleconference, and revised by the panelists as warranted. The purpose of the teleconference(s) was to clarify any ambiguity, discuss proposed revisions, and, if needed, discard statements. The criteria for consensus were established *a priori* with reference to previous consensus statements (outliers were defined as any rating at least 2 Likert points away from the mean) (Supplement Table 3).<sup>1,2</sup>

Two iterations of the Delphi method survey were performed. The panel extensively discussed (by virtual meetings) the results of each item after the first survey. Items that reached consensus were accepted, and items that did not meet consensus were discussed further to determine if wording or specific

Curran E et al. Recognition, prevention, and management of adverse events associated with asparaginase/pegaspargase treatment for acute lymphoblastic leukemia in adults: consensus of an expert panel language contributed to the lack of consensus. The second iteration of the survey was used to reassess items that reached near-consensus or wording that had been significantly altered. The expert panel then discussed (by teleconference) the results of the second survey. All items reaching consensus were accepted; the items not reaching consensus were not attributed to wording and other modifiable factors but rather to a true lack of consensus.

The expert panel grouped the final versions of the clinical consensus statements into 5 specific categories: 1) hepatotoxicity; 2) HSRs/IRRs; 3) thromboembolic/coagulation complications; 4) pancreatitis/metabolic complications; and 5) dosing. The final manuscript was drafted with participation of and final review from each panel member.

# **Supplemental References**

- 1. Mitchell RB, Hussey HM, Setzen G, et al. Clinical consensus statement: tracheostomy care. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg.* 2013;148(1):6-20. doi:10.1177/0194599812460376
- 2. Setzen G, Ferguson BJ, Han JK, et al. Clinical consensus statement: appropriate use of computed tomography for paranasal sinus disease. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg.* 2012;147(5):808-816. doi:10.1177/0194599812463848

Supplement Table 1. Key Search Terms			
Anaphylaxis	Hyperbilirubinemia		
Asparaginase	Hyperlipidemia		
Asparagine amidohydrolase	Hypersensitivity		
Asparagine depletion	Hypertriglyceridemia		
Bleed	Infusion reaction		
Calaspargase	Levocarnitine		
Coagulopathy	Metabolic disorders		
Desensitization	Osteonecrosis		
Dosing	Pancreatitis		
Dyslipidemia	Prophylaxis		
Hemorrhage	Thromboembolic		
Hepatotoxicity			

Supplement Table 2. PICO(TS) Format
Population
Intervention
Comparator
Outcome
Timeframe
Setting

Supplement Table 3. Categorization of Consensus Statements					
Category	Mean scor	·e <sup>a</sup>	Outlierb		
Consensus	≥7.0	and	≤1		
Near-consensus	≥6.5	and	≤2		
No consensus	<6.5	or	≥3		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Mean score = Sum of all Likert scores/Total number of panelists (eg, 1 panelist scored the statement as 5 = 5; 5 panelist scored the statement as 8 = 40; 4 panelist scored the statement as 9 = 36; Mean = (5 + 40 + 36)/10 = 8.1)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Outliers = number of panelists scoring the statement more than 2 Likert points from the mean (eg, Mean = 8.1, 1 panelist scored the statement as 5; Outliers = 1)

# Supplement Table 4. Articles used in the Delphi Process

#### **Review articles**

Clinical Guidelines, Consensus Statements, Expert Panels

Aldoss I, Douer D. How I treat the toxicities of pegasparaginase in adults with acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Blood*. 2020;135(13):987-995. doi:10.1182/blood.2019002477

Burke PW, Hoelzer D, Park JH, Schmiegelow K, Douer D. Managing toxicities with asparaginase-based therapies in adult ALL: summary of an ESMO Open–Cancer Horizons roundtable discussion. *ESMO Open*. 2020;5(5):e000858. doi:10.1136/esmoopen-2020-000858

Jacobson TA, Ito MK, Maki KC, et al. National lipid association recommendations for patient-centered management of dyslipidemia: part 1—full report. *J Clin Lipidol*. 2015;9(2):129-169. doi:10.1016/j.jacl.2015.02.003

Khan DA, Banerji A, Blumenthal KG, et al. Drug allergy: A 2022 practice parameter update. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2022;150(6):1333-1393. doi:10.1016/j.jaci.2022.08.028

Lussana F, Minetto P, Ferrara F, Chiaretti S, Specchia G, Bassan R. National Italian Delphi panel consensus: which measures are indicated to minimize pegylated-asparaginase associated toxicity during treatment of adult acute lymphoblastic leukemia? *BMC Cancer*. 2020;20(1):956. doi:10.1186/s12885-020-07461-5

National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology: Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia (ALL), Version 1.2022

Stock W, Douer D, DeAngelo DJ, et al. Prevention and management of asparaginase/pegasparaginase-associated toxicities in adults and older adolescents: recommendations of an expert panel. *Leukemia & Lymphoma*. 2011;52(12):2237-2253. doi:10.3109/10428194.2011.596963

van der Sluis IM, Vrooman LM, Pieters R, et al. Consensus expert recommendations for identification and management of asparaginase hypersensitivity and silent inactivation. *Haematologica*. 2016;101(3):279-285. doi:10.3324/haematol.2015.137380

Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses

De Stefano V, Za T, Ciminello A, Betti S, Rossi E. Haemostatic alterations induced by treatment with asparaginases and clinical consequences. *Thromb Haemost.* 2015;113(2):247-261. doi:10.1160/TH14-04-0372

Rank CU, Lynggaard LS, Als-Nielsen B, et al. Prophylaxis of thromboembolism during therapy with asparaginase in adults with acute lymphoblastic leukaemia. *Cochrane Database of Syst Rev.* 2020;10(10): CD013399. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD013399.pub2

# **Clinical studies**

Clinical Trials and Prospective studies

DeAngelo DJ, Stevenson KE, Dahlberg SE, et al. Long-term outcome of a pediatric-inspired regimen used for adults aged 18–50 years with newly diagnosed acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Leukemia*. 2015;29(3):526-534. doi:10.1038/leu.2014.229

Geyer MB, Ritchie EK, Rao AV, et al. Pediatric-inspired chemotherapy incorporating pegaspargase is safe and results in high rates of minimal residual disease negativity in adults up to age 60 with Philadelphia chromosome-negative acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Haematologica*. 2020;106(8):2086-2094. doi:10.3324/haematol.2020.251686

Testi AM, Canichella M, Vitale A, et al. Adolescent and young adult acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Final results of the phase II pediatric-like GIMEMA LAL-1308 trial. *Am J Hematol*. 2021;96(3):292-301. doi:10.1002/ajh.26066

# Randomized Clinical Trials

Angiolillo AL, Schore RJ, Devidas M, et al. Pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic properties of calaspargase pegol *Escherichia coli* L-asparaginase in the treatment of patients with acute lymphoblastic leukemia: results from Children's Oncology Group Study AALL07P4. *J Clin Oncol.* 2014;32(34):3874-3882. doi:10.1200/JCO.2014.55.5763

Fathi AT, DeAngelo DJ, Stevenson KE, et al. Phase 2 study of intensified chemotherapy and allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation for older patients with acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Cancer*. 2016;122(15):2379-2388. doi:10.1002/cncr.30037

Grace RF, DeAngelo DJ, Stevenson KE, et al. The use of prophylactic anticoagulation during induction and consolidation chemotherapy in adults with acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *J Thromb Thrombolysis*. 2018;45(2):306-314. doi:10.1007/s11239-017-1597-7

Lamanna N, Heffner LT, Kalaycio M, et al. Treatment of adults with acute lymphoblastic leukemia: do the specifics of the regimen matter?: Results from a prospective randomized trial. *Cancer*. 2013;119(6):1186-94. doi: 10.1002/cncr.27901

Lanvers-Kaminsky C, Niemann A, Eveslage M, et al. Asparaginase activities during intensified treatment with pegylated *E. coli* asparaginase in adults with newly-diagnosed acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Leuk Lymphoma*. 2020;61(1):138-145. doi:10.1080/10428194.2019.1658099

Lin T, Hernandez-Illas M, Rey A, et al. A randomized phase I study to evaluate the safety, tolerability, and pharmacokinetics of recombinant *Erwinia* asparaginase (JZP-458) in healthy adult volunteers. *Clin Transl Sci.* 2021;14(3):870-879. doi:10.1111/cts.12947

Orvain C, Balsat M, Tavernier E, et al. Thromboembolism prophylaxis in adult patients with acute lymphoblastic leukemia treated in the GRAALL-2005 study. *Blood*. 2020;136(3):328-338. doi:10.1182/blood.2020004919

Patel B, Kirkwood AA, Dey A, et al. Pegylated-asparaginase during induction therapy for adult acute lymphoblastic leukaemia: toxicity data from the UKALL14 trial. *Leukemia*. 2017;31(1):58-64. doi:10.1038/leu.2016.219

Plourde PV, Jeha S, Hijiya N, et al. Safety profile of asparaginase *Erwinia chrysanthemi* in a large compassionate-use trial. *Pediatr Blood Cancer*. 2014;61(7):1232-1238. doi:10.1002/pbc.24938

Ribera JM, Morgades M, Montesinos P, et al. Efficacy and safety of native versus pegylated *Escherichia coli* asparaginase for treatment of adults with high-risk, Philadelphia chromosome-negative acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Leuk Lymphoma*. 2018;59(7):1634-1643. doi:10.1080/10428194.2017.1397661

Rijneveld AW, van der Holt B, Daenen SM, et al. Intensified chemotherapy inspired by a pediatric regimen combined with allogeneic transplantation in adult patients with acute lymphoblastic leukemia up to the age of 40. *Leukemia*. 2011;25(11):1697-1703. doi:10.1038/leu.2011.141

Schore R, Devidas M, Bleyer A, et al. Plasma asparaginase activity and asparagine depletion in acute lymphoblastic leukemia patients treated with pegaspargase on Children's Oncology Group AALL07P4. *Leuk Lymphoma*. 2019;60(7):1740-1748. doi:10.1080/10428194.2018.1542146

Skipper MT, Rank CU, Jarvis KB, et al. Cerebral sinovenous thrombosis and asparaginase re-exposure in patients aged 1-45 with acute lymphoblastic leukemia: a NOPHO ALL2008 study. *EJHaem*. 2022;3(3):754-763. doi:10.1002/jha2.484

Stock W, Luger SM, Advani AS, et al. A pediatric regimen for older adolescents and young adults with acute lymphoblastic leukemia: results of CALGB 10403. *Blood*. 2019;133(14):1548-1559. doi:10.1182/blood-2018-10-881961

Vrooman LM, Blonquist TM, Stevenson KE, et al. Efficacy and toxicity of pegaspargase and calaspargase pegol in childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia: results of C+DFCI 11-001. *J Clin Oncol*. 2021;39:3496-3505. doi:10.1200/JCO.20.03692

**Observational Studies** (retrospective, RWE, case series, registry studies)

Alachkar H, Fulton N, Sanford B, et al. Expression and polymorphism (rs4880) of mitochondrial superoxide dismutase (SOD2) and asparaginase induced hepatotoxicity in adult patients with acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Pharmacogenomics J.* 2017;17(3):274-279. doi:10.1038/tpj.2016.7

Aldoss I, Douer D, Behrendt CE, et al. Toxicity profile of repeated doses of PEG -asparaginase incorporated into a pediatric-type regimen for adult acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Eur J Haematol.* 2016;96(4):375-380. doi:10.1111/ejh.12600

Anderson DR, Stock W, Karrison TG, Leader A. D-dimer and risk for thrombosis in adults with newly diagnosed acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Blood Adv.* 2022;6(17):5146-5151. doi:10.1182/bloodadvances.2022007699

Burke PW, Aldoss I, Lunning MA, et al. Pegaspargase-related high-grade hepatotoxicity in a pediatric-inspired adult acute lymphoblastic leukemia regimen does not predict recurrent hepatotoxicity with subsequent doses. *Leuk Res.* 2018;66:49-56. doi:10.1016/j.leukres.2017.12.013

Chang A, Kim M, Seyer M, Patel S. Allergic reactions associated with pegaspargase in adults. *Leuk Lymphoma*. 2016;57(7):1665-1668. doi:10.3109/10428194.2015.1105369

Chen J, Ngo D, Aldoss I, Shayani S, Tsai NC, Pullarkat V. Antithrombin supplementation did not impact the incidence of pegylated asparaginase-induced venous thromboembolism in adults with acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Leuk Lymphoma*. 2019;60(5):1187-1192. doi:10.1080/10428194.2018.1519811

Christ TN, Stock W, Knoebel RW. Incidence of asparaginase-related hepatotoxicity, pancreatitis, and thrombotic events in adults with acute lymphoblastic leukemia treated with a pediatric-inspired regimen. *J Oncol Pharm Pract.* 2018;24(4):299-308. doi:10.1177/1078155217701291

Daley RJ, Rajeeve S, Kabel CC, et al. Tolerability and toxicity of pegaspargase in adults 40 years and older with acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Leuk Lymphoma*. 2021;62(1):176-184. doi:10.1080/10428194.2020.1824068

Defina M, Lazzarotto D, Guolo F, et al. Levocarnitine supplementation for asparaginase-induced hepatotoxicity in adult acute lymphoblastic leukemia patients: a multicenter observational study of the campus all group. *Leuk Res.* 2022;122:106963. doi:10.1016/j.leukres.2022.106963

Derman BA, Streck M, Wynne J, et al. Efficacy and toxicity of reduced vs. standard dose pegylated asparaginase in adults with Philadelphia chromosome-negative acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Leuk Lymphoma*. 2020;61(3):614-622. doi:10.1080/10428194.2019.1680839

Douer D, Aldoss I, Lunning MA, et al. Pharmacokinetics-based integration of multiple doses of intravenous pegaspargase in a pediatric regimen for adults with newly diagnosed acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *J Clin Oncol.* 2014;32(9):905-911. doi:10.1200/JCO.2013.50.2708

Eden D, Hipkins R, Bradbury CA. Cerebral thrombotic complications related to L-asparaginase treatment for acute lymphoblastic leukemia: retrospective review of 10 cases. *Clin Appl Thromb Hemost.* 2016;22(6):589-593. doi:10.1177/1076029615572464

George G, Rezazadeh A, Zook F, et al. Reducing venous thrombosis with antithrombin supplementation in patients undergoing treatment for ALL with peg-asparaginase—a real world study. *Leuk Res.* 2020;94:106368. doi:10.1016/j.leukres.2020.106368

Grace RF, Dahlberg SE, Neuberg D, et al. The frequency and management of asparaginase-related thrombosis in paediatric and adult patients with acute lymphoblastic leukaemia treated on Dana-Farber Cancer Institute consortium protocols. *Br J Haematol*. 2011;152(4):452-459. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2141.2010.08524.x

Kamal N, Koh C, Samala N, et al. Asparaginase-induced hepatotoxicity: rapid development of cholestasis and hepatic steatosis. *Hepatol Int*. 2019;13(5):641-648. doi:10.1007/s12072-019-09971-2

Li D, Gou J, Dong J, et al. Asparaginase-related diabetic ketoacidosis: analysis of the FDA Adverse Event Reporting System (FAERS) data and literature review. *J Clin Pharm Ther*. 2022;47(12):2176-2181. doi:10.1111/jcpt.13782

Martell MP, Atenafu EG, Minden MD, et al. Treatment of elderly patients with acute lymphoblastic leukaemia using a paediatric-based protocol. *Br J Haematol*. 2013;163(4):458-464. doi:10.1111/bjh.12561

Mogensen SS, Harila-Saari A, Mäkitie O, et al. Comparing osteonecrosis clinical phenotype, timing, and risk factors in children and young adults treated for acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Pediatr Blood & Cancer*. 2018;65(10):e27300. doi:10.1002/pbc.27300

Panigrahi M, Swain T, Jena R, Panigrahi A. L-asparaginase-induced abnormality in plasma glucose level in patients of acute lymphoblastic leukemia admitted to a tertiary care hospital of Odisha. *Indian J Pharmacol.* 2016;48(5):595. doi:10.4103/0253-7613.190762

Rank CU, Wolthers BO, Grell K, et al. Asparaginase-associated pancreatitis in acute lymphoblastic leukemia: results from the NOPHO ALL2008 treatment of patients 1-45 years of age. *J Clin Oncol*. 2020;38(2):145-154. doi:10.1200/JCO.19.02208

Rank CU, Toft N, Tuckuviene R, et al. Thromboembolism in acute lymphoblastic leukemia: results of NOPHO ALL2008 protocol treatment in patients aged 1 to 45 years. *Blood*. 2018;131(22):2475-2484. doi:10.1182/blood-2018-01-827949

Rausch CR, Marini BL, Benitez LL, et al. PEGging down risk factors for peg-asparaginase hepatotoxicity in patients with acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Leuk Lymphoma*. 2018;59(3):617-624. doi:10.1080/10428194.2017.1349902

Roininen S, Laine O, Kauppila M, et al. A minor role of asparaginase in predisposing to cerebral venous thromboses in adult acute lymphoblastic leukemia patients. *Cancer Med.* 2017;6(6):1275-1285. doi:10.1002/cam4.1094

Rytting ME, Jabbour EJ, Jorgensen JL, et al. Final results of a single institution experience with a pediatric-based regimen, the augmented Berlin–Frankfurt–Münster, in adolescents and young adults with acute lymphoblastic leukemia, and comparison to the hyper-CVAD regimen. *Am J Hematol.* 2016;91(8):819-823. doi:10.1002/ajh.24419

Schulte R, Hinson A, Huynh V, et al. Levocarnitine for pegaspargase-induced hepatotoxicity in older children and young adults with acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Cancer Med.* 2021;10(21):7551-7560. doi:10.1002/cam4.4281

Sibai H, Chen R, Liu X, et al. Anticoagulation prophylaxis reduces venous thromboembolism rate in adult acute lymphoblastic leukaemia treated with asparaginase-based therapy. *Br J Haematol.* 2020;191(5):748-754. doi:10.1111/bjh.16695

Skipper MT, Rank CU, Jarvis KB, et al. Cerebral sinovenous thrombosis and asparaginase re-exposure in patients aged 1–45 years with acute lymphoblastic leukaemia: a NOPHO ALL2008 study. *EJHaem*. 2022;3(3):754-763. doi:10.1002/jha2.484

Storring JM, Minden MD, Kao S, et al. Treatment of adults with BCR-ABL negative acute lymphoblastic leukaemia with a modified paediatric regimen. *Br J Haematol*. 2009;146(1):76-85. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2141.2009.07712.x

Toft N, Birgens H, Abrahamsson J, et al. Results of NOPHO ALL2008 treatment for patients aged 1–45 years with acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Leukemia*. 2018;32(3):606-615. doi:10.1038/leu.2017.265

Trang E, Ngo D, Chen J, Aldoss I, Salhotra A, Pullarkat V. Levocarnitine for pegasparaginase-induced hepatotoxicity in acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Leuk Lymphoma*. 2020;61(13):3161-3164. doi:10.1080/10428194.2020.1805108

Valtis YK, Stevenson KE, Place AE, et al. Orthopedic toxicities among adolescents and young adults treated in DFCI ALL Consortium Trials. *Blood Adv.* 2022;6(1):72-81. doi:10.1182/bloodadvances.2021005278

Vetro C, Duminuco A, Gozzo L, et al. Pegylated asparaginase-induced liver injury: a case-based review and data from pharmacovigilance. *J Clinical Pharmacol*. 2022;62(9):1142-1150. doi:10.1002/jcph.2052

Yeang SH, Chan A, Tan CW, Lim ST, Ng J. Incidence and management of toxicity associated with L-asparaginase in the treatment of ALL and NK/T-cell lymphoma: an observational study. *Asian Pac J of Cancer Prev*. 2016;17.

Zuurbier SM, Lauw MN, Coutinho JM, et al. Clinical course of cerebral venous thrombosis in adult acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis.* 2015;24(7):1679-1684. doi:10.1016/j.jstrokecerebrovasdis.2015.03.041