

# Overall cancer risk in people with deleterious germline DDX41 variants

by Sophia C. Korotev, Jason X. Cheng, Yogameenakshi Haribabu, Joshua Strauss, Salina Dominguez, Ashwin Koppayi, Melody Perpich, Madeline Pies, Luke Moma, Aelin Kim, Hatice Basdag, Courtnee Rodgers, Satyajit Kosuri, Ryunosuke Saiki, Hideki Makishima, Sanjukta Tawde, Shelly Galasinski, Priscilla Kandikatla, Hari Prasanna Subramanian, Kehan Ren, Honghao Bi, Mona Mohammadhosseini, Seishi Ogawa, Peng Ji, Anupriya Agarwal, Soma Das and Lucy A. Godley

Received: October 30, 2024. Accepted: February 6, 2025.

Citation: Sophia C. Korotev, Jason X. Cheng, Yogameenakshi Haribabu, Joshua Strauss, Salina Dominguez, Ashwin Koppayi, Melody Perpich, Madeline Pies, Luke Moma, Aelin Kim, Hatice Basdag, Courtnee Rodgers, Satyajit Kosuri, Ryunosuke Saiki, Hideki Makishima, Sanjukta Tawde, Shelly Galasinski, Priscilla Kandikatla, Hari Prasanna Subramanian, Kehan Ren, Honghao Bi, Mona Mohammadhosseini, Seishi Ogawa, Peng Ji, Anupriya Agarwal, Soma Das and Lucy A. Godley. Overall cancer risk in people with deleterious germline DDX41 variants. Haematologica. 2025 Feb 13. doi: 10.3324/haematol.2024.286887 [Epub ahead of print]

#### Publisher's Disclaimer.

*E-publishing ahead of print is increasingly important for the rapid dissemination of science.* Haematologica is, therefore, *E-publishing PDF files of an early version of manuscripts that have completed a regular peer review and have been accepted for publication. E-publishing of this PDF file has been approved by the authors.* 

After having E-published Ahead of Print, manuscripts will then undergo technical and English editing, typesetting, proof correction and be presented for the authors' final approval; the final version of the manuscript will then appear in a regular issue of the journal.

All legal disclaimers that apply to the journal also pertain to this production process.

### Overall cancer risk in people with deleterious germline DDX41 variants

Sophia C. Korotev<sup>1</sup>, Jason X. Cheng<sup>2</sup>, Yogameenakshi Haribabu<sup>1</sup>, Joshua Strauss<sup>1</sup>, Salina Dominguez<sup>1</sup>, Ashwin Koppayi<sup>1</sup>, Melody Perpich<sup>3</sup>, Madeline Pies<sup>3</sup>, Luke Moma<sup>3</sup>, Aelin Kim<sup>3</sup>, Hatice Basdag<sup>3</sup>, Courtnee Rodgers<sup>1</sup>, Satyajit Kosuri<sup>3</sup>, Ryunosuke Saiki<sup>4</sup>, Hideki Makishima<sup>4</sup>, Sanjukta Tawde<sup>5</sup>, Shelly Galasinski<sup>5</sup>, Priscilla Kandikatla<sup>5</sup>, Hari Prasanna Subramanian<sup>5</sup>, Kehan Ren<sup>6</sup>, Honghao Bi<sup>6</sup>, Mona Mohammadhosseini<sup>7</sup>, Seishi Ogawa<sup>4, 8-9</sup>, Peng Ji<sup>6</sup>, Anupriya Agarwal<sup>7</sup>, Soma Das<sup>5</sup>, and Lucy A. Godley<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Division of Hematology/Oncology, Department of Medicine, Robert H. Lurie Comprehensive Cancer Center, Northwestern University, Chicago, IL 60611, USA.

<sup>2</sup> Section of Hematopathology, Department of Pathology, The University of Chicago, Chicago, IL 60637, USA.
 <sup>3</sup> Section of Hematology/Oncology, Department of Medicine, The University of Chicago, Chicago, IL 60637, USA.

<sup>4</sup> Department of Pathology and Tumor Biology, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan.

<sup>5</sup> Department of Human Genetics, The University of Chicago, Chicago, IL 60637, USA.

<sup>6</sup> Department of Pathology, Feinberg School of Medicine, Robert H. Lurie Comprehensive Cancer Center, Northwestern University, Chicago, Illinois, USA.

<sup>7</sup> Division of Hematology and Medical Oncology, Knight Cancer Institute, Oregon Health and Science University, Portland, Oregon, USA.

<sup>8</sup> Institute for the Advanced Study of Human Biology (WPI-ASHBi), Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan.
<sup>9</sup> Karolinska Institute, Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden.

\*Corresponding author: Lucy A. Godley, M.D., Ph.D. 303 E. Superior St., Office 3-113 Northwestern University Chicago, IL 60611 Phone: 312-503-0728 Fax: 312-503-0386 Email: lucy.godley@northwestern.edu

Running title: Cancer risk and germline DDX41<sup>LOF</sup> variants

**Data Sharing Statement:** Supplementary data are provided in the Supplementary Materials available with the online version of this article. For original data, please contact lucy.godley@northwestern.edu.

**Acknowledgements:** The authors thank the patients and families who continue to support research on *DDX41* pathogenesis. This research has been conducted using the UK Biobank Resource under Application Number 83200. PJ and LAG are supported by NIH/NIDDK R01DK138205; AA and LAG are supported by NIH/NIDDK R01DK138205; AA and LAG are supported by NIH/NHLBI R01HL155426; and LAG is supported by the Edward P. Evans Foundation. SCK was supported by The University of Chicago Alumni Fund Fellowship and The University of Chicago Beckman Scholars Program.

**Authorship Contributions:** LAG conceived and supervised the project; SCK, MP, MP, AK, and LM assembled the pedigrees; SCK, CR, HB, SK, ST, SG, PK, and HPS compiled the clinical data; JC and PJ provided expert hematopathologic assessment; SD, ST, SG, PK, and HS performed clinical augmented whole exome sequencing; AK, CR, and HB curated DNA variants; YH and SCK validated variants by Sanger sequencing; RS, SO, and HM analyzed TCGA data; SCK, YH, and JS performed molecular studies on patient-derived cells; AA and MM contributed Luminex data; PJ, KR, and HB performed and SD analyzed single-cell RNA-sequencing; SCK designed the figures and compiled the tables; SCK and LAG wrote the manuscript; SCK, JC, YH, JS, SD, AK, MP, MP, LM, AK, HB, CR, SK, RS, HM, ST, SG, PK, HPS, KR, HB, MM, SO, PJ, AA, SD, and LAG edited the manuscript.

**Disclosure of Conflicts of Interest:** LAG receives royalties from UptoDate, Inc. for a co-authored article on hereditary HMs. The other authors declare no conflicts of interest.

### Abstract

Germline loss of function (LoF) DDX41 variants predispose to late-onset hematopoietic malignancies (HMs), predominantly of myeloid lineage. Among 43 families with germline DDX41 LoF variants, bone marrow (BM) biopsies in those without (n=8) or with malignancies (n=21) revealed mild dysplasia in peripheral blood (57%) and BM (88%), long before the average age of DDX41-related HM onset. Therefore, we recommend baseline bone marrow biopsies in people with germline DDX41<sup>LoF</sup> alleles to avoid over-diagnosis of myelodysplastic syndromes. A variety of solid tumors were also observed in our cohort, with 24% penetrance by age 75. Although acquired DDX41 mutations are common in HMs, we failed to identify such alleles in solid tumors arising in those with germline DDX41<sup>LoF</sup> variants (n=15), suggesting an alternative mechanism driving solid tumor development. Furthermore, 33% of pedigrees in which >15% of first-degree relatives including the proband were diagnosed with a solid tumor had second germline deleterious variants in other cancerpredisposition genes, likely serving as primary cancer drivers. Finally, both lymphoblastoid cell lines and primary peripheral blood from individuals with germline DDX41<sup>LoF</sup> variants exhibited differential levels of inflammation-associated proteins. These data provide evidence of inflammatory dysfunction mediated by germline DDX41<sup>LoF</sup> alleles that may contribute to solid tumor growth in the context of additional germline cancer-associated variants. For those with HMs and personal/family histories of solid tumors, we recommend broad germline testing. DDX41 may be an indirect modifier of solid tumor pathogenesis compared to its tumor suppressor function within hematopoietic tissues, a hypothesis that can be addressed in future work.

#### Introduction

Germline deleterious variants in many genes are known to predispose to hematopoietic malignancies (HMs), and classification schemes for leukemias now include these entities. (1-3) *DDX41*, encoding DEAD-box RNA helicase 41, is the most common germline-mutated gene in adult myeloid neoplasms (MNs), driving approximately 3% of MNs.<sup>4</sup> Germline *DDX41* loss-of-function (LoF) alleles predispose to late-onset MNs. (4-16) Fifty-four percent of *DDX41*-mutated neoplasms acquire a somatic mutation on the wild-type (WT) allele, usually the "hotspot" variant *DDX41* c.1574G>A (Arg525His), suggesting that DDX41 acts as a tumor suppressor for MNs. (4-9, 11, 12, 14, 15, 17) Multiple *in vivo* studies show an association between germline *DDX41<sup>LOF</sup>* variants and hematopoietic stem cell expansion, (18-21) with R-loop accumulation (18) and a genomic instability-associated inflammatory response.(20, 21) However, the exact mechanism by which germline *DDX41<sup>LOF</sup>* alleles contribute to malignancies is unclear.

Those with germline *DDX41<sup>LoF</sup>* variants develop MNs at a median age of 68, generally with favorable overall survival. (4, 8, 13-15) However, these individuals are at risk for severe acute graft-versus-host disease (GVHD) when they undergo allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation even with WT donors, unless they receive post-transplant cyclophosphamide, (22) suggesting an activated inflammatory milieu.(4) Furthermore, solid tumors have been reported in families with germline *DDX41<sup>LoF</sup>* alleles variants, but it is unknown if that allele contributes directly to their development.(10, 13) DDX41 is an RNA helicase required for activation of the cGAS-stimulator of IFN genes (STING)-type I interferon pathway in response to DNA virus invasion, which suppresses R-loop accumulation. (18, 20, 23) Furthermore, DDX41 can activate cGAS-STING in response to R-loop accumulation. (18) Therefore, DDX41 plays an important role in immune regulation even in the absence of viral DNA invasion. Additionally, the *DDX41* "hotspot" variant Arg525His increases STING activation, while knockout (KO) of *DDX41* decreases STING activation, suggesting that different *DDX41* alleles differentially affect DDX41 variants on immunity and inflammation has yet to be investigated in patients or human-derived cell lines.

### Methods

Additional details are provided in the Supplementary Methods.

3

### Patients

All individuals signed written informed consent to participate in research approved by Institutional Review Boards at the University of Chicago and Northwestern University, conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, and protected by National Institutes of Health Certificates of Confidentiality.

### Germline Sequencing

Individuals with personal and/or family histories consistent with a deleterious germline *DDX41* variant or those with such alleles identified via tumor profiling (24) underwent clinical germline genetic testing (Supplementary Table 1). DNA was sequenced using an augmented whole exome sequencing platform (25) in the University of Chicago Genetic Services Laboratory (https://genes.uchicago.edu/clinical-genetics). DNA variants in 139 cancer-predisposing genes (Supplementary Table 2) were analyzed. A custom bioinformatic pipeline capable of detecting single nucleotide variants and copy number variants was used (https://github.com/LucyGodley/Pipeline/blob/main/Variant\_Calling/WES/hg/Automated/WES\_Pipeline.sh). (16) Variants were curated according to the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics/Association of Molecular Pathology. (26) Deleterious variants in cancer-causing genes were confirmed by Sanger sequencing.

### Somatic Solid Tumor Sequencing

DNA derived from formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded solid tumor tissue derived from eight patients with germline *DDX41<sup>LOF</sup>* variants was sequenced via the OncoPlus next-generation panel, which includes *DDX41*. (27) Additional tumor-derived sequencing data from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA; https://portal.gdc.cancer.gov/) were acquired for eleven additional patients with truncating *DDX41* alleles that are likely to be germline based on the frequency with which such alleles are inherited. (17)

### LCL Preparation

Lymphoblastoid cell lines (LCLs) were derived from peripheral blood B-cells from individuals with deleterious germline *DDX41<sup>LOF</sup>* variants (*DDX41<sup>var/+</sup>*), which were transformed using Epstein-Barr Virus cultured in standard LCL growth media. *DDX41<sup>WT</sup>* LCLs were purchased from the Coriell Institute for Medical

4

Research (https://www.coriell.org/), which were derived using a virtually identical transformation protocol from three individuals: a 44yo man; a 25yo man; and a 42yo woman.

### Protein Isolation and Western Blotting

Whole-cell protein lysates were prepared from *DDX41<sup>WT</sup>* and *DDX41<sup>var/+</sup>* LCLs two days after passaging. Nuclear and cytoplasmic fractions were prepared from *DDX41<sup>WT</sup>* and *DDX41<sup>var/+</sup>* LCLs two days after passaging using the Pierce "NE-PER Nuclear and Cytoplasmic Extraction Reagents" kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). A standard SDS-PAGE Western blotting protocol was performed to quantify total DDX41 in whole cell lysates and NF-κB in nuclear and cytoplasmic fractions.

### RNA Sequencing

RNA-sequencing was performed at the University of Chicago Functional Genomics Laboratory, and data was analyzed using the Cufflinks pipeline (https://cole-trapnell-lab.github.io/cufflinks/manual/; Supplementary Figure 1). Genes of interest were validated using real-time qualitative reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR).

#### Measurement of cytokine levels

Quantification of 105 unique cytokines from conditioned LCL growth medium was performed using the "Proteome Profiler Human XL Cytokine Array Kit" (R&D Systems). Quantification of 65 unique cytokines (43 of which were also assessed in the cytokine arrays; Supplementary Figure 2) from conditioned LCL growth medium was performed using the "Human Magnetic Luminex Multiplex Cytokine/Chemokine Array Kit-65 Plex" (Creative Biolabs). Quantification of transforming growth factor-β (TGF-β) was performed using the "Human/Mouse/Rat/Porcine/Canine TGF-beta 1 Quantikine ELISA" (R&D Systems). Levels of ANG, CXCL13, CXCL8, and IL-9 were confirmed using a custom "ProcartaPlex" Luminex panel (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and normalized to a GDF-15 internal control. Conditioned LCL growth media from *DDX41<sup>WT</sup>* and *DDX41<sup>Var/4</sup>* LCLs was 8X concentrated for all assays.

### UK Biobank Proteomics Analysis

We compared proteomics data from blood plasma in a cohort of 49 individuals with deleterious likely germline *DDX41* variants (cases) to 98 age and sex-matched controls available in the UK Biobank (28) (https://biobank.ndph.ox.ac.uk/ukb/field.cgi?id=30900, Project ID 83200). At the time the peripheral blood was collected, none of these individuals had been diagnosed with cancer. Protein interaction analysis was performed using STRING (https://string-db.org/) with the minimum required interaction score set to "high confidence" (0.700). Pathway enrichment analysis was performed using the STRING database, the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG, https://www.genome.jp/kegg/pathway.html), and the DISEASES database (https://diseases.jensenlab.org/Search).

### Results

### Mild dysplasia in patients with germline DDX41<sup>LoF</sup> variants at baseline

Germline *DDX41* variants were identified in 102 individuals from 52 families (Supplementary Table 1; Supplementary Figures 3-4). Germline pathogenic (P) and likely pathogenic (LP) *DDX41* variants were identified in 93 individuals (91%) from 43 families (83%; Table 1). Germline *DDX41* variants of uncertain significance (VUSs) were identified in 11 individuals (11%) from 11 families (21%). Two families (9 and 26; Supplementary Table 1) had both a germline P/LP (deleterious) *DDX41* variant and a VUS. Among the 28 distinct deleterious variants identified, two (7%) were novel. Of the nine distinct *DDX41* VUSs identified, 5 (56%) were novel (Figure 1A).

Bone marrow biopsies were reviewed from 29 individuals (8 without malignancies and 21 with malignancies) with germline deleterious *DDX41* variants. Both peripheral blood and bone marrow demonstrated dysplasia commonly at baseline regardless of age compared to *DDX41<sup>WT</sup>* individuals (Table 2; Figure 1). The most frequent morphologies observed at baseline were small, hypolobated megakaryocytes (75%) and macrocytic erythrocytes (50%; Supplementary Table 3), even in individuals as young as 17 years old (yo; Figure 1B-E). A 46yo woman with a germline *DDX41* deletion of exons 12-17 displayed similar, but more severe, dysplastic characteristics at baseline (Figure 1F-I). In contrast, bone marrow from this patient's 73yo father with myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS) showed dysplasia in all three lineages and increased blasts

6

(Figure 1J-M). Similar observations of multilineage dysplasia and 18% blasts were made in an unrelated 66yo man with MDS and a familial *DDX41* allele encoding p.P258L (Figure 1N-Q).

### DDX41 is not a tumor suppressor in solid tumor development

Our cohort of 43 pedigrees with deleterious germline *DDX41* variants allowed us to characterize the tumor spectrum and age of diagnosis in those with *DDX41<sup>LoF</sup>* alleles. Penetrance of HMs in individuals carrying germline P/LP *DDX41* variants was 54% (n=50/93) by 90yo, similar to what has been observed in other cohorts. (6, 8, 17) HMs included MDS (n=22), acute myeloid leukemia (AML, n=28), chronic myeloid leukemia (CML, n=1), Hodgkin Lymphoma (HL, n=1), and non-Hodgkin lymphoma (NHL, n=1; Supplementary Table 1). The average age of onset for HMs in this cohort was 64yo, consistent with the well-known late onset of *DDX41*-related neoplasms. (4, 16) Additionally, there was higher HM penetrance in individuals  $\geq$  50yo compared to those <50yo (p=0.0005), consistent with the well-known late-onset of HMs associated with *DDX41*.

As in other patient cohorts, (10, 13) we observed solid tumors in people with germline  $DDX41^{LOF}$  variants: breast (n=3), melanoma (n=3), prostate (n=3), colon (n=3), basal cell carcinoma (n=3), ovarian (n=2), gastric (n=1), endometrial (n=1), tonsillar (n=1), mesothelioma (n=1), renal (n=1), head and neck (n=1), lung (n=1), and vulvar (n=1; Figure 2A). Penetrance of solid tumors in individuals with germline P/LP DDX41 variants was 24% (n=22/93) by 75yo, with an average age of onset of 62yo. Fifty-five percent (n=12/22) of these individuals also developed HMs, and in those cases, the solid tumor preceded the HM (mean latency = 8 years; range = 2-13 years) in all but one individual (n=11/12, 92%; Figure 2B). Methods used to treat these solid tumors included radiation and chemotherapy, suggesting that these HMs may have been treatment-related (Figure 2B). Bone marrow biopsies were performed on seven of the 12 patients with HMs and solid tumors and pathologic findings [such as *TP53* mutations and complex karyotypes including t(11;16)(q23;p13)] from five (71%), supported considering these HMs as treatment-related (Supplementary Table 3).

Acquisition of a somatic *DDX41* mutation, typically p.R525H, occurs in 54% of MNs associated with deleterious germline *DDX41* alleles, (17) suggesting that *DDX41* often acts as a tumor suppressor gene in MNs. To determine if *DDX41* has a similar role in solid tumor development, DNA derived from solid tumors was sequenced from individuals with germline P/LP *DDX41* variants (n=5) or VUSs (n=3). No somatic *DDX41* LoF

variants were identified (Table 3), suggesting that somatic mutations like p.R525H are less common in solid tumors or that DDX41 has an alternative role in the development of these tumors. Because some *DDX41* variants have only been observed as germline alleles and others, like truncating variants are virtually always germline,(17) we searched the TCGA database for solid tumors with those *DDX41* alleles (n=10) and failed to identify any additional somatic *DDX41* mutations (Table 3), again suggesting that these solid tumors may have been driven by an alternative mechanism.

### Second cancer-risk alleles in those with solid tumors

To test if second germline P/LP variants could drive the formation of solid tumors within the 43 families comprising our cohort, we analyzed DNA variants from 139 cancer-predisposition genes using augmented whole exome sequencing from germline tissue. We divided these pedigrees into those that had solid tumors and HMs, defined as those with a >15% prevalence of solid tumors in first-degree relatives of the proband, including the proband (n=21/43), versus those with only HMs (n=22/43, Table 1). Among the 21 pedigrees with solid tumors and HMs, seven (33%) had second deleterious germline variants in other cancer risk genes: APC, ATM, ATRX, BRCA1, BRCA2, CDKN2A, and PALB2 (Table 1, Supplementary Tables 4-5). The solid tumors in these pedigrees were consistent with the expected tumor spectra of each disorder (Table 1, Supplementary Table 1). In five of these pedigrees (n=5/7, 71%), the additional cancer-predisposing allele was identified in an individual with both the familial *DDX41<sup>LoF</sup>* allele and a solid tumor(s). In contrast, we identified only one family with a second deleterious germline variant among the 22 pedigrees with only HMs (5%, Table 1, Supplementary Tables 4-5). These findings demonstrate that germline DDX41-mutated families with solid tumors are more likely to have germline pathogenic variants in other cancer-predisposition genes than families with only HMs (p=0.02, Supplementary Figure 3), providing support for the recommendation that families with germline DDX41<sup>LOF</sup> alleles with a >15% prevalence of solid tumors among primary relatives including the proband should have comprehensive testing for cancer risk alleles.

### DDX41<sup>var/+</sup> patient-derived LCLs exhibit inflammatory dysregulation

The prevalence of solid tumors in our family cohort as well as prior *in vivo* studies and clinical observations of severe GVHD disease those with germline *DDX41<sup>LOF</sup>* alleles suggest an important role for

DDX41 in regulating inflammation. (4, 18-20, 23) First, we quantified total DDX41 protein levels by Western blotting using DDX41<sup>WT</sup> LCLs derived from three sex-matched individuals as negative controls and five germline DDX41<sup>var/+</sup> LCLs derived from: a 65yo man with a DDX41 allele encoding a start-loss mutation, p.M1? (Family #6 individual III-6); a 66yo man with a DDX41 allele encoding p.P258L (Family #32 individual III-2); a 73yo man with a truncated DDX41 allele, p.A492Gfs\*17 (Family #41 individual III-3); a 65yo woman with a similar truncated DDX41 allele, p.A500Cfs\*9 (Family #21 individual III-1); and a 74yo man with a DDX41 allele encoding a deletion of exons 12-17 (del ex. 12-17, Family #43 individual III-4; Supplementary Table 1). We found lower DDX41 levels in the context of germline variants associated with nonsense-mediated mRNA decay (p.A492Gfs\*17, p.A500Cfs\*9, and del ex. 12-17), but relatively unchanged DDX41 levels in the absence of such variants (p=0.04, Supplementary Figure 5). To determine how different patient-associated germline DDX41 variants affect gene expression, we performed RNA-sequencing revealing differential gene expression between DDX41<sup>WT</sup> and DDX41<sup>var/+</sup> LCLs, and among individual patient-derived DDX41<sup>var/+</sup> cell lines, suggesting that each germline DDX41 mutation may differentially disrupt DDX41-mediated functions. Furthermore, principal component analysis revealed clustering of DDX41<sup>P258L/+</sup> with DDX41<sup>A500Cfs\*9/+</sup>, and DDX41<sup>A492Gfs\*17/+</sup> with DDX41<sup>del ex.12-17/+</sup>, suggesting similar effects of these variants on DDX41 protein function (Figure 3A). Eight genes known to be associated with inflammation (e.g., CDC14B, CD244, CD9, IL1R1, IL23R, IL32, LTBR, and PTPN14) were upregulated across all five DDX41<sup>var/+</sup> LCLs (Figure 3B). Additionally, upregulation of 22 hallmark pathways was observed in  $DDX41^{var/4}$  LCLs, including TNF- $\alpha$  signaling via NF- $\kappa$ B  $(p=1.3x10^{-9})$ , hypoxia response  $(p=1.9x10^{-8})$ , epithelial-mesenchymal transition  $(p=7.6x10^{-7})$ , early estrogen response (p=5.2x10<sup>-6</sup>), IL-2/STAT5 signaling (p=5.1x10<sup>-6</sup>), angiogenesis (p=3.4x10<sup>-3</sup>), ultraviolet (UV)-response down ( $p=1.2x10^{-4}$ ), and inflammatory response signaling ( $p=5.0x10^{-5}$ , Figure 3C). Two pathways were downregulated: E2F ( $p=2.1 \times 10^{-4}$ ) and MYC signaling ( $p=1.5 \times 10^{-3}$ , Figure 3C). These findings were validated by gRT-PCR (Supplementary Table 6, Supplementary Figure 6). Taken together, RNA-sequencing and gRT-PCR data suggested that patient-derived DDX41<sup>var/+</sup> LCLs exhibit differential expression of immune-related genes and processes.

Next, we used cytokine arrays and Luminex assays to assess the levels of 127 unique cytokines in *DDX41<sup>var/+</sup>* and *DDX41<sup>WT</sup>* LCL-conditioned growth media to investigate inflammatory signaling at the protein level (Supplementary Figure 2). ANG, CXCL13, CXCL8, and IL9 levels were higher in *DDX41<sup>var/+</sup>* LCL-

conditioned media than in  $DDX41^{WT}$  LCL-conditioned media (Figure 3D-E), validated by a Luminex panel (Figure 3F-I). Interestingly, RNA expression of these inflammatory cytokines showed no significant overall increases or decreases in  $DDX41^{var/+}$  LCLs from WT, suggesting that translation and/or protein level regulation may be important in DDX41-mediated inflammatory changes (Supplementary Table 6). Although RNA-sequencing revealed upregulation of several TGF- $\beta$ -associated pathways, direct measurement of TGF- $\beta$  levels by ELISA did not show elevation in  $DDX41^{var/+}$  LCL-conditioned media (Supplementary Figure 7). Overall, assessment of inflammatory cytokines in patient-derived LCL-conditioned media suggests there is inflammatory dysregulation in the context of deleterious germline DDX41 variants.

### A mechanism for inflammatory dysregulation in germline DDX41<sup>var/+</sup> LCLs

Well-studied signaling pathways involving DDX41 and the key inflammatory cytokines, ANG, CXCL13, CXCL8, and IL9, intersect at the transcription factor complex NF- $\kappa$ B (Figure 4). The p65 and p60 subunits of NF- $\kappa$ B translocate to the nucleus upon activation of the NF- $\kappa$ B complex.(29) Therefore, to determine if NF- $\kappa$ B activity increases in the context of germline  $DDX41^{LOF}$  alleles, we measured NF- $\kappa$ B p65 subunit levels by Western blotting in nuclear and cytoplasmic cellular fractions of patient-derived  $DDX41^{var/+}$  (n=5) versus  $DDX41^{wT}$  (n=3) LCLs. Overall, increased NF- $\kappa$ B levels were observed in the nuclear fractions of  $DDX41^{var/+}$  LCLs compared to WT (p=0.008) while cytoplasmic levels were unchanged (Figure 4), confirming activation of NF- $\kappa$ B in  $DDX41^{var/+}$  LCLs and suggesting that NF- $\kappa$ B activity may be involved in DDX41-mediated inflammatory dysregulation (Figure 4D).

### Inflammatory dysregulation in individuals with likely germline DDX41<sup>LoF</sup> variants

To determine if there is inflammatory dysregulation in individuals with germline  $DDX41^{LoF}$  alleles, we analyzed UK Biobank proteomic data available for 2,922 proteins measured from participants' primary peripheral blood.(28) We compared protein levels in individuals with likely germline  $DDX41^{LoF}$  variants without cancer (n=49) to twice the number of age and sex-matched controls (n=98, Supplementary Tables 7-8). Levels of 30 proteins increased in the context of germline  $DDX41^{LoF}$  alleles, including stress antigens MICA and MICB (p=0.04, Figure 5A). Levels of 114 proteins decreased in the context of germline  $DDX41^{LoF}$  alleles, including immune-signaling proteins CD79B (p=0.0004), HLA-E (p=0.0004), CD4 (p=0.003), CD28 (p=0.005), and CD80

(p=0.02, Figure 5A). Protein interaction analysis on proteins found to decrease in germline  $DDX41^{LoF}$  cases compared to WT controls revealed 30 proteins with high confidence (CI=0.700) interactions in which CD4, CD28, and CD80 appear to be central (Figure 5B). STRING pathway enrichment analysis of proteins found to decrease in the context of germline  $DDX41^{LoF}$  alleles showed that nine of the top ten diminished pathways (90%) involve inflammation (Figure 5C). KEGG pathway enrichment analysis revealed dysregulated NF- $\kappa$ B signaling (FDR=1.0\*10<sup>-2</sup>) and disease-gene associations showed "immune system disease" (FDR=4.0\*10<sup>-8</sup>), "autoimmune disease" (FDR=5.0\*10<sup>-7</sup>), and "primary immunodeficiency disease" (FDR=0.7\*10<sup>-6</sup>) as most likely to be present among our  $DDX41^{LoF}$  cases (Supplementary Figure 8). Overall, these data suggest that individuals with deleterious likely germline  $DDX41^{LoF}$  variants have dysregulation of inflammatory proteins years before cancer develops, which could contribute to tumor pathogenesis.

### Discussion

Our cohort of 52 families with germline variants in *DDX41* is the largest published to date. Importantly, these families reflect what is known of germline *DDX41<sup>LoF</sup>* allele carriers in other cohorts such as a 54% HM penetrance by 90 yo and an average age of HM onset of 64 yo. (4, 6, 8, 17) The penetrance of malignancies in an unselected population is lower and has been investigated previously.(30) However, extensive study of the 43 families with deleterious germline *DDX41* variants and molecular studies on patient-derived tissues allowed us to reveal that the phenotypes and cancer risks within such families may be more complex than previously appreciated.

Baseline biopsies in individuals with germline deleterious *DDX41* variants revealed distinct dysplasia in the peripheral blood and bone marrow, particularly in megakaryocytic and erythroid lineages. Most notably, these changes were observed in a 17yo individual indicating that mild dysplasia may be characteristic of individuals with *DDX41<sup>LoF</sup>* variants many decades before the expected age of onset of *DDX41*-related HMs. Importantly, the two baseline cases discussed are representative of many clinical cases we have observed over the past decade. We caution against overinterpretation of bone marrow dysplasia and misdiagnosis of MDS in individuals with germline *DDX41<sup>LoF</sup>* alleles, since baseline dysplasia in people with *DDX41<sup>LoF</sup>* alleles must be distinguished carefully from malignancy-associated changes. We suggest performing a baseline bone

11

marrow biopsy when an individual is diagnosed with a germline *DDX41<sup>LoF</sup>* variant to provide a comparator for subsequent bone marrow examinations to allow assessment of dysplastic changes over time.

Although *DDX41* has long been associated with HMs, the presence of solid tumors in our cohort of 43 families with deleterious germline *DDX41* variants and has been reported previously (10, 13) warranted deeper investigation. The spectrum of solid tumors observed in our cohort shares similarities with previous studies: Bannon *et al.* reported an 18% prevalence of solid tumors in individuals with germline  $DDX41^{LoF}$  alleles (10), similar to our cohort with a 24% penetrance by 75yo. The same study reported prostate cancer and melanoma (10), which were observed frequently in our cohort as well (prostate, n=3; melanoma, n=3). Additionally, our observation that over half of germline  $DDX41^{LoF}$  carriers who developed solid tumors developed HMs an average of 8 years later suggests a potential compounded effect of the germline cancer-risk allele(s) with the therapies used to treat the solid tumors. We recommend increased surveillance of individuals with germline  $DDX41^{LoF}$  alleles treated for solid tumors. We recognize the challenge this presents, because currently genetic cancer risk testing for solid tumors often lacks coverage of DDX41. We recommend inclusion of DDX41 in cancer risk testing for families with both HMs and solid tumors.

We failed to identify any somatic *DDX41* mutations in patient-derived solid tumor tissue (n=8) or in TCGA data (n=10). Moreover, we observed that among families with HMs and solid tumors (n=21/43), ~30% had second germline deleterious variants in other cancer-associated genes. Low numbers of tumors identified in those with likely germline  $DDX41^{LoF}$  alleles with or without additional cancer-risk alleles in public tumor databases precluded our ability to assess differences in tumor prevalence. We hope that broader testing and expansion of public databases will allow this analysis in the future. Together, these data suggest that DDX41 may contribute indirectly to solid tumor development, arguing for broad comprehensive germline cancer risk testing in families with solid tumors in  $\geq$ 15% of primary relatives including the proband and deleterious germline DDX41 variants.

Since DDX41 regulates innate immunity, we hypothesized that it might contribute to solid tumor development via inflammation. We generated LCLs from five individuals in our cohort with distinct germline deleterious *DDX41* variants to investigate this hypothesis. Recognizing that each *DDX41*<sup>var/+</sup> LCL line has a different genetic background and that each *DDX41* mutation was shown to effect protein expression differently, we searched for inflammatory phenotypes that were shared and distinguished *DDX41*<sup>var/+</sup> from *DDX41*<sup>WT</sup>

controls. We identified upregulation of inflammation-associated genes and several pathways, including TNF- $\alpha$  signaling via NF-κB (p=1.3x10<sup>-9</sup>) and inflammatory response signaling (p=5.0x10<sup>-5</sup>) across all five *DDX41<sup>var/+</sup>* LCL lines, indicating an inflammatory phenotype at the transcription-level. Analysis of cytokines present in LCL-conditioned growth media demonstrated elevated levels of four cytokines, ANG, CXCL13, CXCL8, and IL9, suggesting dysregulated inflammatory signaling in the presence of a deleterious germline *DDX41* variant. Increased levels of NF-κB in *DDX41<sup>var/+</sup>* compared to *DDX41<sup>WT</sup>* LCL nuclear extracts and by our RNA-sequencing data provide further evidence for an inflammatory imbalance driven by these cytokines, which are known to signal through NF-κB. NF-κB signaling is known to promote tumor proliferation, induce epithelial-mesenchymal transition, and stimulate the immune system in favor of tumor growth, consistent with our hypothesis that the *DDX41<sup>LoF</sup>*-mediated inflammatory signature modifies solid tumor pathogenesis.(29) Moreover, NF-κB is activated in response to the cGAS-STING-TBK1 axis in *Ddx41*-deficient zebrafish.(18, 31, 32)

Our proteomics analysis of individuals with likely germline  $DDX41^{LoF}$  variants compared to age and sexmatched controls revealed inflammatory dysregulation as well. Interaction analysis of proteins found to decrease in germline  $DDX41^{LoF}$  cases compared to WT controls revealed many high confidence interactions, particularly involving immune cell receptors CD4, CD28, and CD80. STRING pathway enrichment analyses showed that 90% of the most dysregulated pathways involved inflammation. Enriched KEGG pathways included NF- $\kappa$ B signaling, supporting our hypothesis that germline  $DDX41^{LoF}$  variants are associated with dysregulated NF- $\kappa$ B signaling. Interestingly, JAK/STAT signaling was also enriched according to the STRING database. Since NF- $\kappa$ B is known to contribute to JAK/STAT signaling in response to inflammatory cytokines,(33) it is possible these pathways are central to DDX41-mediated inflammatory dysregulation. The proteomics data currently available within the UK Biobank (28) are obtained from a single time point. We advocate for similar studies to be performed prospectively in a cohort of individuals with germline  $DDX41^{LoF}$ 

The lack of somatic *DDX41* mutations in solid tumors of those with germline P/LP *DDX41* variants, the presence of other cancer-associated germline pathogenic variants, and inflammatory dysregulation in patient-derived cells and proteomic data suggest that *DDX41* may be an indirect modifier of solid tumor pathogenesis

13

compared to its tumor suppressor function as seen in HMs. (5-9, 11, 12, 14, 15, 17) Based on our data, we advocate for broad cancer risk testing for families with HMs and solid tumors that includes DDX41. We also advocate for screening of other cancer-risk alleles in families known to have a  $DDX41^{LOF}$  allele with a history that includes solid tumors in  $\geq$ 15% of primary relatives including the proband. We hope our observations are hypothesis-generating and encourage further research on the mechanism by which germline deleterious DDX41 variants contribute to malignancies.

### References

1. Arber DA, Orazi A, Hasserjian RP, et al. International Consensus Classification of Myeloid Neoplasms and Acute Leukemias: integrating morphologic, clinical, and genomic data. Blood. 2022;140(11):1200-1228.

2. Döhner H, Wei AH, Appelbaum FR, et al. Diagnosis and management of AML in adults: 2022 recommendations from an international expert panel on behalf of the ELN. Blood. 2022;140(12):1345-1377.

3. Khoury JD, Solary E, Abla O, et al. The 5th edition of the World Health Organization Classification of Haematolymphoid Tumours: Myeloid and Histiocytic/Dendritic Neoplasms. Leukemia. 2022;36(7):1703-1719.

4. Makishima H, Bowman TV, Godley LA. DDX41-associated susceptibility to myeloid neoplasms. Blood. 2023;141(13):1544-1552.

5. Polprasert C, Schulze I, Sekeres MA, et al. Inherited and Somatic Defects in DDX41 in Myeloid Neoplasms. Cancer Cell. 2015;27(5):658-670.

6. Lewinsohn M, Brown AL, Weinel LM, et al. Novel germ line DDX41 mutations define families with a lower age of MDS/AML onset and lymphoid malignancies. Blood. 2016;127(8):1017-1023.

7. Kadono M, Kanai A, Nagamachi A, et al. Biological implications of somatic DDX41 p.R525H mutation in acute myeloid leukemia. Exp Hematol. 2016;44(8):745-754.e4.

8. Sebert M, Passet M, Raimbault A, et al. Germline DDX41 mutations define a significant entity within adult MDS/AML patients. Blood. 2019;134(17):1441-1444.

9. Quesada AE, Routbort MJ, DiNardo CD, et al. DDX41 mutations in myeloid neoplasms are associated with male gender, TP53 mutations and high-risk disease. Am J Hematol. 2019;94(7):757-766.

10. Bannon SA, Routbort MJ, Montalban-Bravo G, et al. Next-Generation Sequencing of DDX41 in Myeloid Neoplasms Leads to Increased Detection of Germline Alterations. Front Oncol. 2021;10:582213.

11. Goyal T, Tu ZJ, Wang Z, Cook JR. Clinical and Pathologic Spectrum of DDX41-Mutated Hematolymphoid Neoplasms. Am J Clin Pathol. 2021;156(5):829-838.

12. Wan Z, Han B. Clinical features of DDX41 mutation-related diseases: a systematic review with individual patient data. Ther Adv Hematol. 2021;12:20406207211032433.

13. Alkhateeb HB, Nanaa A, Viswanatha D, et al. Genetic features and clinical outcomes of patients with isolated and comutated DDX41-mutated myeloid neoplasms. Blood Adv. 2022;6(2):528-532.

14. Li P, Brown S, Williams M, et al. The genetic landscape of germline DDX41 variants predisposing to myeloid neoplasms. Blood. 2022;140(7):716-755.

15. Li P, White T, Xie W, et al. AML with germline DDX41 variants is a clinicopathologically distinct entity with an indolent clinical course and favorable outcome. Leukemia. 2022;36(3):664-674.

16. Feurstein S, Trottier AM, Estrada-Merly N, et al. Germ line predisposition variants occur in myelodysplastic syndrome patients of all ages. Blood. 2022;140(24):2533-2548.

17. Makishima H, Saiki R, Nannya Y, et al. Germ line DDX41 mutations define a unique subtype of myeloid neoplasms. Blood. 2023;141(5):534-549.

18. Weinreb JT, Ghazale N, Pradhan K, et al. Excessive R-loops trigger an inflammatory cascade leading to increased HSPC production. Dev Cell. 2021;56(5):627-640.e5.

19. Chlon TM, Stepanchick E, Hershberger CE, et al. Germline DDX41 mutations cause ineffective hematopoiesis and myelodysplasia. Cell Stem Cell. 2021;28(11):1966-1981.e6.

20. Mosler T, Conte F, Longo GMC, et al. R-loop proximity proteomics identifies a role of DDX41 in transcription-associated genomic instability. Nat Commun. 2021;12(1):7314.

21. Weinreb JT, Gupta V, Sharvit E, Weil R, Bowman TV. Ddx41 inhibition of DNA damage signaling permits erythroid progenitor expansion in zebrafish. Haematologica. 2022;107(3):644-654.

22. Saygin C, Roloff G, Hahn CN, et al. Allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplant outcomes in adults with inherited myeloid malignancies. Blood Adv. 2023;7(4):549-554.

23. Singh RS, Vidhyasagar V, Yang S, et al. DDX41 is required for cGAS-STING activation against DNA virus infection. Cell Rep. 2022;39(8):110856.

24. Kraft IL, Godley LA. Identifying potential germline variants from sequencing hematopoietic malignancies. Blood. 2020;136(22):2498-2506.

25. Guidugli L, Johnson AK, Alkorta-Aranburu G, et al. Clinical utility of gene panel-based testing for hereditary myelodysplastic syndrome/acute leukemia predisposition syndromes. Leukemia. 2017;31(5):1226-1229.

26. Richards S, Aziz N, Bale S, et al. Standards and guidelines for the interpretation of sequence variants: a joint consensus recommendation of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics and the Association for Molecular Pathology. Gen Med. 2015;17(5):405-424.

27. Kadri S, Long BC, Mujacic I, et al. Clinical Validation of a Next-Generation Sequencing Genomic Oncology Panel via Cross-Platform Benchmarking against Established Amplicon Sequencing Assays. J Mol Diagn. 2017;19(1):43-56.

28. Sun BB, Chiou J, Traylor M, et al. Plasma proteomic associations with genetics and health in the UK Biobank. Nature. 2023;622(7982):329-338.

29. Wan F, Lenardo MJ. The nuclear signaling of NF-kappaB: current knowledge, new insights, and future perspectives. Cell Res. 2010;20(1):24-33.

30. Cheloor Kovilakam S, Gu M, Dunn WG, et al. Prevalence and significance of DDX41 gene variants in the general population. Blood. 2023;142(14):1185-1192.

31. Dunphy G, Flannery SM, Almine JF, et al. Non-canonical Activation of the DNA Sensing Adaptor STING by ATM and IFI16 Mediates NF-κB Signaling after Nuclear DNA Damage. Mol Cell. 2018;71(5):745-760.e5.

32. Ishikawa H, Barber GN. The STING pathway and regulation of innate immune signaling in response to DNA pathogens. Cell Mol Life Sci. 2011;68(7):1157-1165.

33. Hu X, Li J, Fu M, Zhao X, Wang W. The JAK/STAT signaling pathway: from bench to clinic. Signal Transduct Target Ther. 2021;6(1):402.

### **Tables and Figure Legends**

Table 1. Deleterious germline variants detected in pedigrees with hematopoietic malignancies with and without solid tumors.

Table 2. Dysplasia identified in peripheral blood and bone marrow examinations in those with deleterious germline *DDX41* variants from our cohort.

Table 3. Somatic sequencing from solid tumor tissue from patients with germline deleterious *DDX41* variants.

			Family #	Germline DDX41 variant (P/LP) [NM_016222.4]	DDX41- encoded protein variant INP 057306.21	# Primary relatives	# Primary relatives with STs	% Primary relatives wth STs	STs in primary relatives	Additional germline- mutated gene*	Additional germline variant (P/LP)	Additional-encoded protein variant
			9	c.142C>T	p.Gln48*	7	2	29%	Breast x2	PALB2	c.2938del (P) [NM_024675.3]	p.Ser980Alafs*10 [NP_078951.2]
		iant	11	c.268C>T	p.Gln90*	9	3	33%	Endometrial, Lung x2	ATRX	c.7219C>T (P) [NM_000489.6]	p.Arg2407* [NP 000480.3]
		le Var %)	26	c. 490C>T	p.Arg164Trp	7	2	29%	Basal Cell Carcinoma, Colon x2	APC	c.3920T>A (LP) [NM_000038.6]	p.lle1307Lys [NP_000029.2]
		339	28	c. 490C>T	p.Arg164Trp	7	2	29%	Colon, Ovarian, Prostate, Spinal	BRCA1	c.68_69delAG (P) [NM_007294.4]	p.Glu23Valfs*17 [NP_009225.1]
1		<b>al Ger</b> =7/21	30	c.653G>A	p.Gly218Asp	5	2	40%	Lung, Neuroendocrine Carcinoma	ATM	c.2921+1G>A (P) [NM_000051.4]	p.?
		Additiona (n₌	31	c.766G>A	p.Glu256Lys	8	4	50%	Basal Cell Carcinoma, Melanoma, Prostate x2, Renal	CDKN2A	c.9_32dup (LP) [NM_000077.5]	p.Ala4_Pro11dup [NP_000068.1]
			38	c.1187T>C	p.lle396Thr	5	1	20%	Breast	BRCA2	c.6174delT (P) [NM_000059.4]	p.Phe2058LeufsTer12 [NP_000050.3]
	<b>"</b> (%		6	c.3G>A	p.Met1?	6	2	33%	Breast, Pancreatic			
	<b>ST</b> s 49		8	c.121C>T	p.Gln41*	4	2	50%	Lung Breast Colon			
	<b>Ms +</b> 21/43,		12	c.323del	p.Lys108Serfs*3	13	2	15%	Liver, Meningioma			
	ΞĨ		13	TG	2	4	1	25%	Gastric	-		
*0	Ŭ	<b>&gt;</b> %	14	c.415_418dupGA TG	p.Asp140Glyfs* 2	13	5	38%	Colon, Melanoma x2, Uterine			
riants		1 Only 1, 679	15	c.415_418dupGA TG	p.Asp140Glyfs* 2	3	2	67%	Bladder, Breast, Melanoma, Prostate			
Va Va		<b>X</b> 42	19	c.1141A>T	p.Lys381*	6	2	33%	Clear Cell Renal, Prostate			
(41		<b>B</b> [	23	c.386dup	p.Lys130Glufs*5	13	2	15%	Colon, Ovarian			
(a		Ľ.	27	c. 490C>T	p.Arg164Trp	5	2	40%	Tonsillar			
P I			29	c.653G>A	p.Gly218Asp	6	1	17%	Tonsillar	-		
3) 3)			32	c.773C>T	p.Pro258Leu	6	2	33%	Prostate			
<b>e</b>			34	c.1013G>A	p.Cys338Tyr	6	1	17%	Breast			
ermlir (n			39	c.1187T>C	p.lle396Thr	5	1	20%	Endometrial/Uter ine			
Ğ			41	c.1474dup	p.Aia492Giyis 1 7	6	1	17%	Breast			
Families with		Additional Germline Variant (n=1/22, 5%)	24	c.435-2_435- 1delinsCA	p.?	6	0	0%		CHEK2	c.470T>C (P) [NM_007194.4]	p.lle200Thr [NP_009125.1]
			1	c.3G>A	p.Met1?	10	0	0%				
			3	c.3G>A	p.Met1?	12	1	8%				
			4	c.3G>A	p.Met1?	9	1	11%				
			5 7	c.121C>T	p.Met17 p.Gln41*	8	1	13%				
	(%		10	c.232_233insAA	p.Pro78Glnfs*3	6	0	0%				
	<b>Only</b> 3, 51		16	c.415_418dupGA TG c.415_418dupGA	p.Asp140Glyfs* 2 p.Asp140Glyfs*	8	1	13%				
	Ms 2/4	ا <b>لا</b>	17	TG	2 p.Met316Asp*3	1	0	0%				
	I C	ర్శ	18	c.946_947del	1 n Gln429*	3	0	0%				
	Ŭ	<b>X41</b>	21	c.1496dup	p.Ala500Cysfs*	8	0	0%				
		⊑2 ≡2	22	c.108T>A	p.Tyr36*	3	0	0%				
		÷	25	c. 490C>1	p.Arg1641rp p.Leu283Cysfs*	6	0	14%				
			35	c.1016G>T	21 p.Arg339Leu	7	1	14%				
			36	c.1105C>G	p.Arg369Gly	11	0	0%				
			37	c.1118T>C	p.Leu373Pro	7	0	0%				
			40	c.1721del	p.Leu428Pro p.Leu574Arg*fs	6	0	0%	4			
			43	c 1721del	143 p.Leu574Arg*fs	5	0	0%				
				0.112100	143	Ŭ	Ĭ	0,0				

## Table 1. Deleterious germline variants detected in pedigrees with hematopoietic malignancies with and without solid tumors.

Abbreviations used: HM, hematopoietic malignancy; LP, likely pathogenic; P, pathogenic; ST, solid tumor.

\*Pedigrees in which >15% of first-degree relatives including the proband were diagnosed with a solid tumor were more likely to have second germline deleterious variants in other cancer-predisposition genes (p=0.0212).

## Table 2. Dysplasia identified in peripheral blood and bone marrow examinations in those with deleterious germline *DDX41* variants from our cohort.

	Ī	Peripheral B	lood	Core Biopsy/Aspirate Smear					
	Dysplasia	No Dysplasia	% with Dysplasia	Dysplasia	No Dysplasia	% with Dysplasia			
Baseline	4	3	57%	7	1	88%			
Malignant	20	0	100%	21	0	100%			
			p=0.0120*			p=0.2759* (ns)			

Abbreviations used: BM, bone marrow; ns, not significant

\*P-values determined by two-tailed Fisher's exact tests to determine association between malignancy and presence of dysplasia.

## Table 3. Somatic sequencing from solid tumor tissue from patients with germline deleterious *DDX41* variants.

Pedigree ID	Sex	Age, y	Germline Variant Classification	<i>DDX41</i> Germline Variant [NM_016222.4]	DDX41 Encoded Protein Variant [NP_057306.2]	Solid Tumor	Presence of "hotspot" (p.Arg525His) or other DDX41 variant
From TCGA	F	57	LP/P	c.C1105T	p.Arg36*	Breast invasive carcinoma dx. 57	No
From TCGA	М		Р	c.C142T	p.Q48*	Prostate adenocarcinoma	No
F23-III-1	М	69	LP	c.386dup	p.Lys130Glufs*5	Colon dx. 69	No
F13-III-1	F	72	Р	c.415_418dup	p.Asp140Glyfs*2	Gastric dx. 70	No
From TCGA	М	77	LP/P	c.418_419insGATG	p.Asp140_Pro141delinsGly*	Bladder Urothelial Carcinoma dx. 77	No
From TCGA	М	56	LP/P	c.418_419insGATG	p.Asp140_Pro141delinsGly*	Esophageal carcinoma dx. 56	No
From TCGA	М	32	LP/P	c.418_419insGATG	p.Asp140_Pro141delinsGly*	Pheochromocytoma and Paraganglioma dx. 32	No
From TCGA	F	73	LP/P	c.C475T	p.Arg159*	Lung adenocarcinoma dx. 73	No
From TCGA	М	59	LP/P	c.C475T	p.Arg159*	Head and Neck squamous cell carcinoma dx. 59	No
From TCGA	F	57	LP/P	c.C475T	p.Arg159*	Cervical squamous cell carcinoma and endocervical adenocarcinoma dx. 57	No
F27-III-6	F	69	Р	c.490C>T	p.Arg164Trp	Breast dx. 54	No
F29-III-4	М	66	LP	c.653G>A	p.Gly218Asp	Tonsillar dx. 64	No
F30-III-1	F	37	LP	c.653G>A	p.Gly218Asp	Neuroendocrine Carcinoma dx. 31	No
From TCGA	F	64	LP/P	c.946_947del	p.Met316fs	Liver hepatocellular carcinoma dx. 64	No
From TCGA	М	46	LP/P	c.A1789T	p.Lys597*	Bladder Urothelial Carcinoma dx. 46	No
F48-III-1	М	73	VUS	c.465G>A	p.Met155lle	Melanoma dx. 72	No
F51-III-2	М	77	VUS	c.511G>C	p.Val171Leu	Prostate dx. 72	No
F52-III-2	F	67	VUS	c.926C>T	p.Thr309lle	Ovarian dx. 67	No

Abbreviations used: F, family(Pedigree ID)/female(Sex); ID, identification; LP, likely pathogenic; M, male; P, pathogenic; P#, pedigree number; VUS, variant of uncertain significance; y, years

### Figure Legends

Figure 1. Family-associated germline DDX41 variants and morphologic features of baseline and malignant peripheral blood and bone marrow in individuals with deleterious germline DDX41 variants at different ages. (A) Deleterious germline DDX41 variants identified in patients and families with hematopoietic malignancies (HMs) are shown above the protein schematic, and variants of uncertain significance (VUSs; blue circles) are shown below. Pathogenic variants are indicated by red diamonds, and likely pathogenic variants by orange diamonds. Non-protein coding variants are listed in the bottom right. The likely pathogenic copy number variant (CNV) is indicated by an orange line. Novel variants are shown with glow and previously identified variants are shown without glow. DDX41 protein domains are indicated by color: RecA-like domain 1 (light blue), RecA-like domain 2 (lilac), and zinc finger (ZnF, light green). (B-Q) Images shown include (B, F, J, N) peripheral blood, (C, G, K, O) bone marrow aspirate, (D, H, L, P) bone marrow trephine, and (E, I, M, Q) immunohistochemistry on trephine. (B-E) A 17-year-old female with a pathogenic DDX41 mutation (DDX41 p.M1?), mild dysplastic changes in erythroid lineage (red arrow) and megakaryocytic lineage (yellow arrow), but insufficient for diagnosis of MDS. (F-I) A 46-year-old female with a likely pathogenic DDX41 deletion of exons 12-17, significant (>10%) dysplastic changes in both erythroid and megakaryocytic lineages, but no granulocytic dysplasia. (J-M) A 73-year-old male (father of (F-I)) with a likely pathogenic DDX41 deletion of exons 12-17, 4.6% blasts and significant dyserythropoiesis and dysmegakaryopoiesis as well as dysgranulopoiesis manifested mainly by abnormal nuclear morphology including hyposegmentation, dense chromatin and nuclear membrane projections (orange arrow), but not cytoplasmic hypogranulation, diagnosed with MDS with multilineage dysplasia. (N-Q) A 61-year-old male with a likely pathogenic DDX41 mutation (p.P258L), 18% blasts, and multilineage dysplasia (particularly prominent in granulocytes), diagnosed MDS with excess blasts-2 progressing toward AML.

**Figure 2.** Disease breakdown by *DDX41* variant and timelines of solid tumors and HMs in patients with **multiple malignancies**. **(A)** DDX41 protein schematic showing all malignancies identified in individuals with  $DDX41^{LoF}$  alleles plotted by corresponding variant. Diseases represented include hematopoietic malignancies (red), and solid tumors such as breast (pink), prostate (orange), melanoma (yellow), colon (light green), gastric (black), endometrial (light blue), lung (dark blue), tonsillar (magenta), ovarian/vulvar (purple), renal (brown),

neuroendocrine carcinoma (peach), mast cell cytosis (dark green), head and neck (green), small bowel (teal), basal cell carcinoma (gray), fallopian tube (fuchsia), mesothelioma (light pink), and kidney (salmon) cancers. DDX41 protein domains are indicated by color: D-E-A-D (DEAD) Box (light blue), Helicase C (lilac), and zinc finger (ZnF) (light green). **(B)** Age of cancer diagnoses and treatments in individuals with *DDX41<sup>LoF</sup>* alleles who were diagnosed with more than one cancer. Hematopoietic malignancies are shown in red. Solid tumors represented are breast (pink), prostate (orange), colon (light green), lung (dark blue), renal (brown), basal cell carcinoma (grey), gastric (black), ovarian/vulvar (purple), tonsillar (magenta), and neuroendocrine carcinoma (peach). Solid tumor cancer therapies are indicated by: radiation therapy (R); chemotherapy (C); hormonal therapy (H); surgery (S); and ?, unknown. Mean latency refers to the average years between the onsets of solid tumors and HMs, whereas the range refers to the minimum and maximum latencies present.

## Figure 3. RNA-sequencing, cytokine arrays, and Luminex assays reveal inflammatory dysregulation in **DDX41**<sup>var/+</sup> patient-derived LCLs. (A) Principal component analysis (PCA) plot of RNA-sequencing data for $DDX41^{var/+}$ (n=5, purple) and $DDX41^{WT}$ (n=3, green) LCLs. Noted clustering in gene expression is demonstrated between $DDX41^{P258L/+}$ and $DDX41^{A500Cfs^*9/+}$ , $DDX41^{A492Gfs^*17/+}$ and $DDX41^{del}$ ex12-17/+, and of DDX41<sup>WT</sup> LCLs. (B) Volcano plot showing significantly (CI=95%) upregulated (red) and downregulated (blue) genes in DDX41<sup>var/+</sup> LCLs (n=5) compared to DDX41<sup>WT</sup> LCLs (n=3). Genes with no statistically significant change are in grey. (C) Normalized enrichment plot of genes from 24 hallmark signaling pathways. Increases in overall gene expression in DDX41<sup>var/+</sup> LCLs from WT are in red, while decreases from WT are in blue. Pvalues were determined by Pearson's correlation. (D) Heat map of cytokine array data showing fold changes in pixel densities of increased cytokines in patient-derived DDX41<sup>var/+</sup> (purple) LCL-conditioned media compared to DDX41<sup>WT</sup>. Fold changes range from 0.1 (blue) to >10 (red). (E) Heat map of commercial Luminex data showing fold changes in pixel densities of increased cytokines in patient-derived DDX41<sup>var/+</sup> (purple) LCLconditioned media compared to $DDX41^{WT}$ . Fold changes range from 0.1 (turguoise) to >2 (magenta). (F-I) Bar graphs showing data from a custom Luminex panel. P-values were determined using two-tailed t-tests with Welch's correction and confirm higher levels of (F) ANG, (G) CXCL13, (H) CXCL8, and (I) IL-9 in DDX41<sup>var/+</sup> (purple) LCL-conditioned media compared to $DDX41^{WT}$ (green).

### Figure 4. Testing the proposed mechanism of inflammatory dysregulation in germline *DDX41<sup>var/+</sup>* LCLs.

(A) Western blots to quantify NF- $\kappa$ B (p65 subunit) in nuclear and cytoplasmic protein fractions from patientderived *DDX41*<sup>var/+</sup> (purple) and *DDX41*<sup>WT</sup> (green) LCLs. Histone H3 was used as a nuclear marker and loading control while GAPDH was used as a cytoplasmic marker and loading control. (B) Average NF- $\kappa$ B pixel densities in nuclear protein fractions from patient-derived *DDX41*<sup>var/+</sup> (purple) and *DDX41*<sup>WT</sup> (green) LCLs normalized to Histone H3. Higher levels of NF- $\kappa$ B were detected in *DDX41*<sup>var/+</sup> LCLs compared to *DDX41*<sup>WT</sup> (p=0.008) according to a two-tailed t-test with Welch's correction. (C) Average NF- $\kappa$ B pixel densities in cytoplasmic protein fractions from patient-derived *DDX41*<sup>var/+</sup> (purple) and *DDX41*<sup>WT</sup> (green) LCLs normalized to GAPDH. No significant change in NF- $\kappa$ B was detected according to a two-tailed t-test with Welch's correction. (D) Visual summary of cytokine array, Luminex, and Western blot data. Cytokines whose levels were higher in *DDX41*<sup>var/+</sup> than in *DDX41*<sup>WT</sup> LCL-conditioned media according to cytokine array and Luminex are shown in red. Increased activation and translocation of NF- $\kappa$ B (gold) is indicated by red upward arrows. Direct protein interactions are indicated by solid black arrow. Indirect activation of NF- $\kappa$ B by inflammatory cytokine signaling is indicated by a dotted red arrow. Proteins/receptors that were identified in literature but were not quantified are shown in grey. Created in https://BioRender.com.

Figure 5. Inflammatory dysregulation in UK Biobank participants with likely germline  $DDX41^{LoF}$  variants. (A) Volcano plot showing proteins that are increased (red; CI=95%) or decreased (blue) in individuals with likely germline  $DDX41^{LoF}$  variants compared to WT controls. (B) Protein-protein interaction network showing proteins found to decrease in individuals with likely germline  $DDX41^{LoF}$  variants compared to WT controls. Only proteins with "high confidence" (0.700) or "highest confidence" (0.900) interactions (n=30) according to the STING database are shown. The level of significance with which proteins were found to decrease are indicated by color: p<0.001 (green), p<0.01 (light blue), or p<0.05 (dark blue). (C) Enrichment plot showing pathways enriched among the 114 proteins found to decrease in individuals with likely germline  $DDX41^{LoF}$  variants compared to WT controls according to the STRING database.



17yo Woman, Family 1 V-3 (DDX41<sup>M1?/+</sup>) Baseline BMBX



46yo Woman, Family 43 IV-1 (DDX41<sup>del ex. 12-17/+</sup>) Baseline BMBX



73yo Man, Family 43 III-4 (DDX41<sup>del ex. 12-17/+</sup>) MDS-MLD BMBX



61yo Man, Family 32 III-2 (DDX41P258L/+) t-MDS-EB-2 BMBX



В

**Peripheral Blood** 





**IHC on Trephine** 









### Supplementary Materials

Supplementary Methods2-5
Supplementary Table 16
Supplementary Table 27
Supplementary Table 38
Supplementary Table 49
Supplementary Table 510
Supplementary Table 611
Supplementary Table 712
Supplementary Table 813
Supplementary Figure 114
Supplementary Figure 215
Supplementary Figure 316
Supplementary Figure 417-21
Supplementary Figure 522
Supplementary Figure 623
Supplementary Figure 724
Supplementary Figure 825
Supplementary References26

### Supplementary Methods

### Patients

All individuals signed written informed consent to participate in research approved by Institutional Review Boards at the University of Chicago and Northwestern University, conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, and protected by National Institutes of Health Certificates of Confidentiality.

### Germline Sequencing

Individuals with personal and/or family histories consistent with a deleterious germline DDX41 variant or those with such alleles identified via tumor profiling<sup>1</sup> underwent clinical germline genetic testing (Supplementary Table 1). DNA was sequenced using an augmented whole exome sequencing platform<sup>2</sup> in the University of Chicago Genetic Services Laboratory (https://genes.uchicago.edu/clinical-genetics). DNA variants in 139 cancer-predisposing genes (Supplementary Table 2) were analyzed, including the 5'UTRs of ANKRD26, DKC1, TERC, and TERT, two RTEL1 intronic regions (c.3724+78 and c.3724+139; NM 032957.4), and one GATA2 intronic region (c.1017+572; NM 032638.4). DNA sequence reads were aligned using the UCSC human genome build Hg19 as a reference, and a custom bioinformatic pipeline capable of detecting single nucleotide variants and copy number variants was used to identify potential predisposition alleles (https://github.com/LucyGodley/Pipeline/blob/main/Variant Calling/WES/hg/Automated/WES Pipeline.sh).<sup>3</sup> Variants were curated according to the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics/Association of Molecular Pathology.<sup>4</sup> Deleterious variants in cancer-causing genes were confirmed by Sanger sequencing.

### Somatic Solid Tumor Sequencing

DNA derived from formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded solid tumor tissue derived from eight patients with germline *DDX41<sup>LOF</sup>* variants was sequenced via the OncoPlus next-generation panel, which includes *DDX41.*<sup>5</sup> Additional tumor-derived sequencing data from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA; https://portal.gdc.cancer.gov/) were acquired for eleven additional patients with truncating *DDX41* alleles that are likely to be germline based on the frequency with which such alleles are inherited.<sup>6</sup>

2

#### LCL Preparation

Lymphoblastoid cell lines (LCLs) were derived from peripheral blood B-cells from individuals with deleterious germline *DDX41<sup>LOF</sup>* variants (*DDX41<sup>var/+</sup>*), which were transformed using Epstein-Barr Virus cultured in standard LCL growth media (Roswell Park Memorial Institute (RPMI) 1640 Medium + 20% FBS + 1% penicillin/streptomycin + 1X GlutaMAX). *DDX41<sup>WT</sup>* LCLs were purchased from the Coriell Institute for Medical Research (https://www.coriell.org/), which were derived using a virtually identical transformation protocol. *DDX41<sup>WT</sup>* LCLs were derived from three individuals: a 44yo man; a 25yo man; and a 42yo woman.

### Protein Isolation and Western Blotting

Whole-cell protein lysates were prepared from *DDX41<sup>WT</sup>* and *DDX41<sup>var/+</sup>* LCLs two days after passaging using RIPA buffer (150mM NaCl; 5mM EDTA, pH8.0; 20mM Tris, pH 7.5; 1.0% NP-40; 1% sodium deoxycholate; 0.1% SDS). Nuclear and cytoplasmic fractions were prepared from *DDX41<sup>WT</sup>* and *DDX41<sup>var/+</sup>* LCLs two days after passaging using the Pierce "NE-PER Nuclear and Cytoplasmic Extraction Reagents" kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). A standard SDS-PAGE Western blotting protocol was performed to quantify total DDX41 (cs-15076; Cell Signaling Technology) in whole-cell lysates and NF-κB (p65 subunit, cs-8242; Cell Signaling Technology) in nuclear and cytoplasmic fractions.

### **RNA** Sequencing

RNA-sequencing was performed at the University of Chicago Functional Genomics Laboratory, and data was analyzed using the Cufflinks pipeline (<u>https://cole-trapnell-lab.github.io/cufflinks/manual/</u>; Supplementary Figure 1). Genes of interest were validated using real-time qualitative reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR).

### Measurement of cytokine levels

Quantification of 105 unique cytokines from conditioned LCL growth medium was performed using the "Proteome Profiler Human XL Cytokine Array Kit" (R&D Systems). Quantification of 65 unique cytokines (43 of which were also assessed in the cytokine arrays; Supplementary Figure 2) from conditioned LCL growth medium was performed using the "Human Magnetic Luminex Multiplex Cytokine/Chemokine Array Kit-65 Plex" (Creative Biolabs). Quantification of transforming growth factor- $\beta$  (TGF- $\beta$ ) was performed using the "Human/Mouse/Rat/Porcine/Canine TGF-beta 1 Quantikine ELISA" (R&D Systems). Levels of ANG, CXCL13, CXCL8, and IL-9 were confirmed using a custom "ProcartaPlex" Luminex panel (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and normalized to a GDF-15 internal control. Conditioned LCL growth media from *DDX41<sup>WT</sup>* and *DDX41<sup>var/+</sup>* LCLs was 8X concentrated for all assays.

### UK Biobank Proteomics Analysis

We compared proteomics data from blood plasma in a cohort of 49 individuals with deleterious, likely germline DDX41 variants (cases) to 98 age and sex-matched controls available in the UK Biobank (https://biobank.ndph.ox.ac.uk/ukb/field.cgi?id=30900, Project ID 83200).<sup>7</sup> To ensure none of the selected (neither cases nor controls) had cancer, we used national cancer registry participants data (https://biobank.ndph.ox.ac.uk/ukb/label.cgi?id=100092) and "summary diagnosis" (ICD10) from health-related outcomes data (https://biobank.ndph.ox.ac.uk/ukb/field.cgi?id=41270) in the UK Biobank. Therefore, at the time their peripheral blood was collected, none of the individuals included had been diagnosed with cancer. Normalized Protein Expression (NPX) values from 2922 proteins were obtained from the UK Biobank (https://biobank.ndph.ox.ac.uk/ukb/coding.cgi?id=143&nl=1). While preprocessing, missing NPX values (n=45244/384290, 11.78%) were imputed using K Nearest Neighbor. Differential expression analysis was conducted using the limma package in R with Olink's protein NPX values as the outcome, and group (case vs. control), age, and sex as predictors (https://academic.oup.com/braincomms/article/4/4/fcac155/6608340?login=true#366642284). After multiple test correction using the Benjamini Hochberg method, no proteins passed the threshold of FDR-adjusted p value with 95% confidence. Differential expression analysis plots were generated using ggplot2 and the EnhancedVolcano package in R

(https://bioconductor.org/packages/devel/bioc/vignettes/EnhancedVolcano/inst/doc/EnhancedVolcano.html). Protein interaction analysis was performed using STRING (https://string-db.org/) with the minimum required interaction score set to "high confidence" (0.700). Pathway enrichment analysis was performed using the STRING database, the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG,

4

https://www.genome.jp/kegg/pathway.html),	and	the	DISEASES	database
(https://diseases.jensenlab.org/Search).				

### Supplementary Table 1. Comprehensive cohort of patients with deleterious germline DDX41 variants

Family	Relationship to Proband	Pedigree ID	Age (y)	Sex	Diagnosis (Age of Diagnosis)	DDX41 Germline Variant [NM_016222.4]	DDX41 Encoded Protein Variant [NP_057306.2]	DDX41 Germline Variant Classification	Second Germline Variant Gene	Second Germline Variant*	Encoded Protein Variant <sup>†</sup>	Second Germline Variant Classification
	Proband	III-13	67	F	AML (67)	c.3G>A	p.Met1?	Р				
	Daughter	IV-13	45	F	none	c.3G>A	p.Met1?	P				
1	Granddaughter	V-5	21	F	none	c.3G>A	p.Met1?	P				
	Niece	IV-10	35	F	none	c.3G>A	p.Met1?	Р				
	Grandniece	V-3 V-4	11	F	none	c.3G>A	p.Met1?	P				
2	Proband	III-2	47	M	none	c.3G>A	p.Met1?	Р				
	Father Proband	II-5 III-10	73	M	MDS (71) AML (73)	c.3G>A c.3G>A	p.Met1? p.Met1?	P				
	Son	IV-16	47	M	none	c.3G>A	p.Met1?	P				
3	Son	IV-18	42	M	none	c.3G>A	p.Met1?	P				
	Grandniece	V-2	37	F	none	c.3G>A	p.Met1?	P				
4	Proband	III-1	65	М	AML (65)	c.3G>A	p.Met1?	Р				
5	Proband	II-12	52	M	AML (52)	c.3G>A	p.Met1?	P				
6	Brother	III-0 III-4	59	M	none	c.3G>A	p.Met1?	P				
	Sister	III-5	63	F	none	c.3G>A	p.Met1?	Р				
/	Proband	III-1 III-2	49	F	Basal cell carcinoma (30s)	c.121C>T	p.Gin41*	P				
	Mother	II-3	69	F	AML (63), Lung (69)	c.121C>T	p.Gln41*	Р				
8	Maternal Aunt	II-6	69	F	none Ovarian Vulvar AML (55)	c.121C>T	p.Gln41*	P				
	Maternal Cousin	11-4	47	M	AML (47)	c.1210>T	p.Gin41*	P				
9	Proband	III-1	58	м	Pancytopenia cirrhosis	c.142C>T	p.Gln48*	Р	PALB2	c.2938del	p.Ser980Alafs*10	Р
	Proband	IV-14	57	F	CMI (51) AMI (54)	c.232_233insAA	p.Pro189Leu p.Pro78GInfs*3	P				
	Paternal Uncle	III-19	81	M	MDS (80), AML (80)	c.232_233insAA	p.Pro78GInfs*3	P				
10	Paternal Cousin	IV-20	46	M	HL	c.232_233insAA	p.Pro78GInfs*3	P				
	Paternal Cousin	IV-15 IV-8	20	M	none	c.232_233insAA c.232_233insAA	p.Pro78GInfs*3	P				
	Paternal Cousin	IV-22	47	М	none	c.232_233insAA	p.Pro78GInfs*3	Р				
11	Proband	-1   -7	65	M	AML (64) AMI (60)	c.268C>T	p.Gln90* p.J vs108Serfs*3	P	ATRX	c.7219C>T	p.Arg2407*	Р
	Brother	II-4	66	M	AML (65)	c.323del	p.Lys108Serfs*3	P				
12	Sister	II-1	68	F	none	c.323del	p.Lys108Serfs*3	Р				
	Mother	1-3	55 90	F	Colon (70) Breast (75) AML (89)	c.323del	p.Lys108Serfs*3 p.Lys108Serfs*3	P				
	Nephew	III-7	36	M	none	c.323del	p.Lys108Serfs*3	P				
13	Proband	III-1 III-17	72	F	Gastric (70), t-AML (72)	c.415_418dupGATG	p.Asp140Glyfs*2	P				
	Sister	III-17 III-10	84	F	Melanoma (78)	c.415_418dupGATG	p.Asp140Glyfs*2	P				
	Niece	IV-4	62	F	none	c.415_418dupGATG	p.Asp140Glyfs*2	Р				
	Nephew	IV-12 IV-13	57	M	AML (56) Melanoma	c.415_418dupGATG c.415_418dupGATG	p.Asp140Glyts*2 p.Asp140Glyts*2	P				
14	Daughter	IV-16	54	F	none	c.415_418dupGATG	p.Asp140Glyfs*2	P				
	Grandniece	V-14	19	F	none	c.415_418dupGATG	p.Asp140Glyfs*2	P				
	Brother	III-19 III-12	85	M	Melanoma	c.415 418dupGATG	p.Asp140Glyfs*2	P				
	Nephew	IV-6	65	М	none	c.415_418dupGATG	p.Asp140Glyfs*2	Р				
15	Proband	III-2 III-4	75	F	CN-AML (70s) Prostate (64) AML with MDS changes (74)	c.415_418dupGATG c.415_418dupGATG	p.Asp140Glyfs*2 p.Asp140Glyfs*2	P				
17	Proband	III-3	63	M	AML (63)	c.415_418dupGATG	p.Asp140Glyfs*2	P				
40	Proband	III-1	64	м	MDS (63)	c.946_947del	p.Met316Asp*31	Р				
10	Brother	IV-1 III-2	32 63	M	none	c.946_947del	p.Met316Asp*31 p.Met316Asp*31	P				
19	Proband	II-2	80	М	Renal (68), MDS (75), AML (80)	c.1141A>T	p.Lys381*	Р				
20	Proband	II-3 II-2	79	M F	MDS (75) MDS (63)	c.1285C>T	p.Gin429*	P				
2.	Proband	III-1	54	M	none	c.108T>A	p.Tyr36*	LP				
22	Father	II-1	?	М	MDS	c.108T>A	p.Tyr36*	LP				
23	Brother	III-2 III-1	61	M	MDS (61) Colon (69)	c.1081>A	p.Tyr36* p.Lvs130Glufs*5	LP				
24	Proband	III-1	67	М	CN-MDS (67)	c.435-2_435-1delinsCA	p.?	LP	CHEK2	c.470T>C	p.lle200Thr	Р
25	Proband	III-1	81	F	Mesothelioma (76)	c. 490C>T	p.Arg164Trp	LP	400	o 2020T>A	n llo1207Lvo	LD
26	Proband	III-6	76	F	MPN/MDS overlap syndrome (70)	c.38C>T	p.Aig104 frp p.Thr13lle	VUS	AFC	0.092012A	plile 1307 Lys	LF
	Proband	III-6	69	F	Breast (54), AML (67)	c. 490C>T	p.Arg164Trp	LP				
27	Brother	III-7 IV-1	67	M	Head and neck (54)	c. 490C>T	p.Arg164Trp	LP				
L	Nephew	IV-3	31	M	none	c. 490C>T	p.Arg164Trp	LP				
28	Proband	III-6	58	F	Ovarian (53)	c. 490C>T	p.Arg164Trp	LP	BRCA1	c.68_69delAG	p.Glu23Valfs*17	Р
29	Proband	III-4	37	F	I onsiliar (64), t-AML (66) Neuroendocrine carcinoma (31). CMMI -2 (37)	c.653G>A	p.Gly218Asp p.Gly218Asp	LP	ATM	c.2921+1G>4	p ?	Р
04	Proband		67		Prostate (62), Basal Cell Carcinoma (66),	c 765C>A	p Glu25el vo		CDKNOA	0.0 20dur	n Alad Pro11dur	
31	Proband	ut-4	07	IVI	MDS (67)	0.700GPA	p.Giu200Lys	12	GDRNZA	c.a_3zanb	p.man_Proi roup	LP
32	Proband	III-2 III-3	56	F	MDS (54)	c.773C>1 c.847deIC	p.Pro258Leu p.Leu283Cvsfs*21	LP				
34	Proband	III-5	65	M	MDS, AML	c.1013G>A	p.Cys338Tyr	LP				
1	Proband	III-26	54	M	MDS (50)	c.1016G>T	p.Arg339Leu	LP				
35	Paternal Aunt	II-7	87	F	MDS (51) MDS (87)	c.1016G>T	p.Arg339Leu	LP		1		1
	Paternal Uncle	II-10	83	М	none	c.1016G>T	p.Arg339Leu	LP				
36	Proband	III-2 III-3	65	M	MDS and LGL (63)	c.1105C>G	p.Arg369Gly	LP				
37	Proband	II-3	65	F	AML (65)	c.1118T>C	p.Leu373Pro	LP				
	Proband	III-1	41	F	Breast (33)	c.1187T>C	p.lle396Thr	LP	BRCA2	c.6174delT	p.Phe2058LeufsTer12	Р
38	Mother Maternal Uncle	-4	52 65	F	NHL (38)	c.1187T>C c.1187T>C	p.lle396Thr p.lle396Thr	LP				
39	Proband	IV-1	70	F	Endometrial (68)	c.1187T>C	p.lle396Thr	LP				
40	Proband	IV-I	17	F	Aplastic anemia (13)	c.1283T>C	p.Leu428Pro	LP				
41	Proband	III-3	73	M	AML (71) MDS (68)	c.1474dup c.1721del	p.Ala492Glyfs*17 p.Leu574Aro*fs143	LP				
43	Proband	111-4	74	M	MDS (73)	c.?	Del. Exons 12-17	LP				
41	Daughter	IV-1	46	F	none	c.?	Del. Exons 12-17	LP				
44	Proband	III-1 II-3	62	F	AML (62)	c.oG>1 c.27+9G>A	p.Giu2Asp p.?	VUS	CHEK2	c.1283C>T	p.Ser428Phe	Р
46	Proband	II-3	62	M	AML (62)	c.138+5G>A	p.Gly?Ala	VUS				
47	Proband	I-1	75	M	Kidney, Prostate, MDS	c.301C>T	p.Arg101Cys	VUS				
40	Proband	111-5	55	F	HL (54)	c.465G>A	p.Met155lle	VUS				
50	Proband	II-2	73	М	AML	c.511G>C	p.Val171Leu	VUS				
51	Proband	III-2	77	M F	NHL (67), Small bowel (68), Prostate (72) Fallopian tube (58), Ovarian (67)	c.511G>C	p.Val171Leu	VUS				

Abbreviations used: AML, acute myeloid leukemia; CML, chronic myeloid leukemia; CN-AML, cytogenically normal acute myeloid leukemia; F, female; M, male; ID, identification; LGL, large granular lymphocyte; LP, likely-pathogenic; MDS, myelodysplastic syndrome; MDS-EB-2, myelodysplastic syndrome with excess blasts; MPN, myeloproliferative neoplasm; NHL, non-Hodgkin's lymphoma; P, Pathogenic; P#, pedigree number; VUS, variant of uncertain significance; y, years

\*These numberings are given according to: *APC* (NM\_000038.6), *ATM* (NM\_000051.4), *ATRX* (NM\_000489.6), *BRCA1* (NM\_007294.4), *BRCA2* (NM\_000059.4), *CDKN2A* (NM\_000077.5), *CHEK2* (NM\_007194.4), *PALB2* (NM\_024675.3)

<sup>†</sup>These numberings are given according to: APC (NP\_000029.2), ATRX (NP\_000480.3), BRCA1 (NP\_009225.1), BRCA2 (NP\_000050.3) CDKN2A (NP\_000068.1), CHK2 (NP\_009125.1), PALB2 (NP\_078951.2)

### Supplementary Table 2. Genes assessed using augmented whole exome sequencing

AIP	GPC3	RBBP6
ALK	GREM1	RBM8A
ANKRD26	GSN	RECQL4
APC	HOXB13	RET
APOA1	HRAS	RTEL1
APOA2	IKZF1	RUNX1
ARID1A	ITK	SAMD9
ATM	JAK2	SAMD9L
AXIN2	KDM1A	SDHA
BAP1	KIT	SDHAF2
BARD1	LYZ	SDHB
BLM	MAGT1	SDHC
BMPR1A	MAX	SDHD
BRCA1	MBD4	SH2B3
BRCA2	MECOM	SMAD4
BRIP2	MEN1	SMARCA4
BTK	MET MITF	SMARCB1
CARD11	MLH1	SMARCE1
CASP10	MPL	SRP72
CASR	MRTFA	STAT3
CBL	MSH2	STK1
CD27	MSH3	SUFU
CD40LG	MSH6	TERC
CD70	MUTYH	TERT
CDC73	NAF1	TET2
CDH1	NBN	TMEM127
CDK4	NF1	TNFRSF9
CDKN1B	NF2	TP53
CDKN1C	NPAT	TSC1
CDKN2A	NPM1	TSC2
CEBPA	NTHL1	TTR
CHEK2	PAB2	UNC13D
CSF3R	PAX5	UP45
CST3	PDGFRA	VHL
CTLA4	PGM3	WAS
CTNNA1	PHOX2B	WRN
CTPS1	PIK3CD	WT1
DDX41	PMS2	ZNF431
DICER1	POLD1	
DIS3	POLE	
DIS3L2	POT1	
DOCK8	PRKAR1A	
EGFR	PTCH1	
EPCAM	PTEN	
ERCC6L2	PTPN11	
ETV6	RAD50	
FGA	RAD51C	
FH	RAD51D	
FLCN	RASGRP1	
GATA2	RB1	

## Supplementary Table 3. Pathologic descriptions of blood and BM biopsies in individuals with germline deleterious *DDX41* variants at baseline or with HMs

	Family	Relationship to Proband (ID)	Age at biopsy (y)	Diagnosis at biopsy	Peripheral Blood	Core Biopsy	Aspirate Smear	Reticulin	Iron
	1	Niece (IV-10)	36	Baseline	- Slight left shift of granulocytes - Rare circulating bands - Extremely rare metamyelocytes	Normocellular (60%)	Slight left shift towards immature forms     - Megakaryocytes are smaller and hypotobated     Plasma cells are slightly increased (5.6%) with extremely rare small cells and possible     Dutcher body inclusions in the nuclei	Normal	Slightly decreased histiocytic iron
	1	Grandniece (V- 3)	17	Baseline	No data	No data	- Mild dysplastic changes in erythroid and megakaryocytic lineages	No data	No data
	3	Son (IV-16)	51	Baseline	Occasional neutrophils show nuclear excrescences or chromatin hypercondensation     - Minimal anisocytosis     Operchand large humagraphic data blacks	Normocellular (40-50%)	Focal shift towards immaturity and focal mild megaloblastoid feature     Some hypolobated/immature megakaryocytes	Normal	Normal
ine	8	Proband (III-2)	49	Baseline	- Occasional range hypogrammal plateters     - Platelets are slightly increased	Normocellular	- Some small hypolobated megakaryocytes	Normal	Increased histiocytic
sel	10	Brother (IV-15)	55	Baseline	Normal	Normocellular (40%)	- Some (<10%) smaller and hypolobated megakaryocytes	Normal	Decreased histiocytic iron
Ba	28	Proband (III-6)	58	Baseline	- Myelocytes, metamyelocytes and band forms     - Red cells have Howell-Jolly bodies and poikilocytosis due to prior surgical removal of     spleen	Normocellular (40-50%)	- Rare cells with erythrophagocylosis	Normal	Normal
	38	Maternal Uncle	65	Baseline	- Some large platelets	<ul> <li>Normocellular (30%)</li> <li>Subcortical bone</li> </ul>	Normal	Normal	Normal
	43	Daughter (IV-1)	46	Baseline	Occasional neutrophils show nuclear excrescences or chromatin hypercondentaation         Cocasional reactive and large granular iymphocytes         Force inflit macrocyte explosition councils with multiple separate nuclear         tobs: hyperchromatic nuclear or anali hyppobladedimmature forma         tobs: hyperchromatic nuclear or anali hyppobladedimmature forma         tobs: hyperchromatic nuclear         reaction and the nuclear         tobs: hyperchromatic nuclear         reaction and the nuclear         tobs: hyperchromatic nuclear         reactions         reactions	Normocellular (40-50%)	Focal mild macrocytic englerocytes with shift towards immaturity, accasional irregular nuclear outlines consistent with "times" dyserythropotesis - Small, dyspoietic, hypotobated/immature megakaryocytes	Focally mild (grade 1 of 3) increase in reticulin fibrosis	Decreased storage iron
	4	Proband (III-1)	69	AML	Pancybopenia     Accrocytic anemia with significant traispositiolocytosis including fragmented RBCs and tear-drop cells. Significant RBC polychromasia.     Alegakarpocytes increased with sypelasia     Platelets are reduced with hypogranulation     - increased monocytes	Hypocellular (~25%)	- Erythroid and megakaryocytic hyperplasia - Granulocytic hypoplasia	Moderately increased (MF-2, ~25%)	Could not be assessed
	6	Proband (III-6)	65	AML	- Red cells show moderate anisopoikilocytosis with scattered stubby elliptocytes, teardrop cells, and macrocytes with polychromasia - Rare circulating blasts	Hypocellular (0-30%, overall 20%)	Opgelastic, small, hypolobated megakaryocytes     Megalobastic entrylond precursors with dystastic changes     Decreased maturing myeliad component including neutrophils. Instead, several pockes     within intersitism comprise basiscic cells or maturing myeloid precursors.     Clusters of lymphocytes and plasma cells with edematous stroma	. Normal	Rare sideroblasts are present
	7	Proband (III-1)	73	MDS	Pancytoperia severe neutoponia (AUC 0.5 KUL), moderate anemia (HCB 9.8 g/dL), severe textopolisi neutopolis in the time of absormal ruclear segmentation, chronatin hypercondension, hypogranul cryoplasm, and some bolor ganuation. •Red cells are mildly hypochromic and show moderate anisopolikiopolisis, including ovalvolper, elitopoles, sectorize cells and social flagments. • Mild polychromatia and occasional MRBCs. • Some large and cellscheding.	Variably cellular (<5-50%, overall 30-40%)	- Increase in blasts, scattered intensitially with variable distribution (10-20% to locally 20- 30%) - Immalure and maturing ent/hold precursors with megadolaskid leatures and valence of dyseptimpodesis in the local on linguitur nuclear building, - Granulopolesis reduced with shift bravatis immahulty and reduced dyspoleto - Megakanycoytes are marketly increased and dyspolastic with clustering, mostly small hypodolastimmahure	Mild to moderate (grade 1-2 of 3) increase in reliculin fibrosis	No data
	9	Proband (III-1)	56	Baseline, Pancytopenia Cirrhosis	Left-shifted myelopolesis     - Erythviol hyperplasia with many vacuolated enythviol precursors     - Magakanycoyfic dysplasia     - Mild polycional plasmacytosis (often associated with inflammatory diseases such as     autoimmune or infections)	Normocellular (~50%)	Marked inflammatory changes in the bone marrow     Mild dyserythropolesis and dysmegakaryopolesis	No data	Reduced storage iron
	10	Proband (IV-14)	52	CML, AML	<ul> <li>Increased blasts</li> <li>Reduced megakaryocytes</li> <li>Reduced erythropoiesis</li> </ul>	Hypocellular (10-15%)	<ul> <li>10-15% blasts</li> <li>Shift towards immaturity in granulocytic lineage</li> <li>Reduced megakaryocytes</li> </ul>	No data	No data
	10	Paternal uncle (III-19)	80	AML	<ul> <li>Red cells show mild anisopolikilocytosis with a few microcytes, macrocytes, polychromasia, occasional elliptocytes, and rare teardrop cells</li> </ul>	Hypercellular (40%)	- 21% blasts - Megaloblastoid erythroid precursors	No data	No stainable or sideroblastic iron
	12	Proband (II-7)	60	AML	- Leukopenia     - Thrunbocydpenia     - Neutropenia     - Neutropenia     - Red cells are macropic with moderake anisopoliklocytosis     - Marked politiention of enythroid precursors     - A fier small, "policibated migaalwapcifies	Normocellular (50%)	- Erythroid precursors with dyspoletic and megatoblasticid features	No data	Rare ring sideroblasts are present
	13	Proband (III-1)	72	t-MDS RAEB-2 (history of chemo)	Pancybopenia     Pancybopenia     Some neutrophils with bodie de texkocyte site     totar and some with other dysplastic changes     including hypogranulation, abnormal nuclear segmentation and chromatin patterns     mild anisocytosia with occasional aphenocytes and rare leardrop cells	Hypocellular (~15%)	Increased lasts (-14%) Increased erythropoiets with Mispectrum maturation and significant dysplasia inducting megakoblasticid changes, nuclear imgularities and rare multinucleated forms - Decreased megakarucycles with dysplasts including hypolobated nuclei widely separate nuclear lobes and occasional micromegakaryocytes	Normal	Adequate storage iron
	15 Proband (III-2) 69		69	CN-MDS	- Leukopenia - Absolute neutropenia - Mild anisocytosis and increased polychromasia - Occational circulating blasts	Hypercellular (variable from <5% to 20-30%)	<ul> <li>11% blasts: an intersitifal infiltrate of small blasts in clusters between islands of erythroid precursors</li> <li>Granulopoiesis is reduced, shifted toward immaturity</li> </ul>	No data	No data
	16	Proband (III-4)	73	AML	Leutopenia with aboute neutopenia     Maderate Intromotopic anemia     Moderate Intromotopicania     Blasts have roundiowal models, or poliation     RECs show anisopolitopicotis with macro-owalcytes, rare decroscytes, fragmented     forms, and some polychromatis	Variable cellularity (20- 40%)	- Octasional small, dyspołeśc megałanycojtes     - Erythropolesis showe dyspołetic features including nuclear opolasmic dyssynchrony,     irregular nuclear bordens, and rare nuclear budding	No data	Normal
lignant	17	Proband (III-3)	63	AML	- Cinculating bilats     - Normocytic anemia with mild anisopositic/cyclasis characterized by macroovalocytes,     and occasional microcytes     - Mild REO cyclychromasia	Normocellular (~30%)	Marginally increased biasts (4.4%)     Margy anal hypobolude megularyocytes indicating significant dyspletala     Significant elevant in a with megularyocytes indicating significant dyspletala     Significant elevant in a with megularyocytes and a second second multication     Mild explored hyperpet as and rare bi-nucleation	Focal mild increase in reticulin fibrosis, MF-1	Increased storage iron
Ma	21	Proband (II-2)	64	MDS	- Numerous macroovalocytes - Circulating blasts	Normocellular (30%)	<ul> <li>- 12-15% blasts</li> <li>Erythroid precursors appear megaloblastoid</li> <li>Small hypotobated dysplastic megakaryocytes present</li> </ul>	No data	No data
	24	Proband (III-1)	67	MDS REAB-2	Mild neutropenia, some neutrophils show toxic granulation, are pale, larger, and hypogranular with hyper-condensed chromatin          - Occasional circulating blasts          - Polychromatophilic RBCs	Hypocellular (15%)	Both erythroid and myeloid lineages show shift towards immaturity     Erythropolesis appears megaloblasticid as judged from the pronormoblasts     Megakaryocytes are reduced, and some are dysplastic with widely separated nuclear     lobes	Patchy increase in reticulin fibrosis (grade 1/3)	- Increase in storage iron - Granular appearance
	26	Proband (III-6)	75	MDS/MPN	- Normocytic anemia (11.0 g/dL) - Thrombocytosis (513 K/dL)	Hypercellular (45%)	- Granucoytes show abnormal nuclear segmentation, numerus pesudo Peiger-Hue- torms, nuclear screscencies, au nome forms with hypognalistic clyptopiasm - Erythrolic cells show significant dysplasia including megaloblasticid dranges, nuclear Irequilarities and occisional multicucateded forms Increased megakaryocytes with numerous small, mono-lobated and some hypolobated Drams indicative of symegakaryopiesias	Mild focal increase in reticulin fibrosis, MF-0- 1	Adequate storage iron
	29	Proband (III-4)	65	t-AML (TP53 mut and complex karyotype), low- grade B-cell lymphoproliferative disorder	- Pancybopenia     - Pancybopenia     - Basts have irregular nuclear contours, fine chromatin, distinct nucleoli, and a small     amount of cytopiasm     - Rare segmented neutophils     - Reduced RBCs, some with anisotopicities, some elliptocytes and teartorp cells	Hypercellular (~55%)	Decreased erythroid cells, some show dyserythropolesis     Decreased megakaryocytes, some small hypotobated	Moderate reticulin fibrosis, MF-2	Increased storage iron
	30	Proband (III-1)	36	t-MN (history of chemo, t(11;16)(q23;p13) translocation)	- Monocytosis (64%) - Macrocytica aremia - RBCs show marked anixopolikilocytosis including occasional tear-drop cells - RBCs show marked anixopolikilocytosis including occasional tear-drop cells - Granulocytic dysplasia	Hypocellular (~30%)	Mature neutrophils markedly reduced and show significant granulocytic dysplasia     Mild to moderate crythroid dysplasia including megaloblastolic dhanges, nuclear     imegularities and occasional bi-nucleaded forms         - Marked megakaryocytic dysplasia, some are small hypotobated	Mild to moderate reticulin fibrosis, MF-1- 2	Could not be assessed
	31	Proband (III-4)	67	t-MDS (morphology consistent with therapy-related)	Increased megalarycoptes, many are small speporticit hypotobated or with separated moder tobes, occasional micromegaskorycoptes - Mild leukopenia with moderate neukopenia and mikd hymphopenia - Rader actuating basis - Moderate anema, RBCs are macrocytic and show moderate anisopolisilocytosis, industing macro-velocitye, microcytes, elitopotye, and coasional spherocytes / Moderate anema, RBCs are macrocytic and show moderate anisopolisilocytosis, industing macro-velocityem, microcytes, elitopotye, and excessional spherocytes // Coasional spherocytes	Normocellular (30-40%)	Predominance of exythropolesis with negatoblasticid features, local shift overds Immahality, evidence of exysten and existing the mon of merging Immahality, evidence of experiment of the existing of the existing Impakanycoydes are increased with more yrandi dyspolet by polotabatifimmature forms or with separated nuclear lobes, occasional micromegakaryocytes	- Mild to focally moderate (grade 1-2 of 3) increase in reticulin fibrosis	- Increased iron - Occasional ring sideroblasts
	32	Proband (III-2)	61	t-MDS-EB-2	Occasional dysplastic neutrophils with hypogramulation and abnormal nuclear segmentation, and paeudo-Pager-Nuet nuclei Anemia, RBCs approximation of the second	Hypocellular (~10%)	Occasional granulocyte show dysplace charges multiple of the show	Moderate increase in reticulin fibrosis, MF-2	Could not be assessed
	34	Proband (III-5)	62	t-MDS (t(11;16)(q23;p13) translocation)	- Moderate macrosyste cameria     - Supporteits methods and abnormal nuclear segmentation with pseudo     Peign-Huet change, chromal in hysercondensation, nuclear excretearces, and     Peign-Huet change, chromatin hysercondensation, muchaer excretearces, and     the segmentation of the hyperboperial     - RBCs are macrosyste, and down moderate anteroprotesti, including macro-ovalocytes,     microsyste, and down moderate anteroprotest, including anon-ovalocytes,     microsyste, and down and grain plaketes	Hypocellular (10-20%)	Dyspisalio regatezypodes inducting some with separated nuclear lobes, many hypotobleter forms, and nuclear index participation of the feature of the second classifier - bydrecogerise with megatobleter features - Decreased granulopolesis with dyspisatic maturation - Evidence of Intrasinusoidal hematopolesis	- Mild (grade 1 of 3) increase in reliculin fibrosis	No data
	41	Proband (III-3)	71	AML	- Significant parcopennia     - Adrogich Proportionnic amenia     - Mard an suppoliatorychosis     - Reduce platelete     - Rete circulating blasts	Hypocellular (5-10%)	Increased blasts, some with irregular nuclear membranes, high nuclear cytoplasmic ratio, prominent nucleoil and ascent cytoplasm     - Let athled granuloopide     - Rate blasts, granuloopide precursors, and erythnoid precursors present	- No increase in reticulin fibrosis	<ul> <li>No marrow stroma or spicules to assess for storage iron</li> <li>Too few erythroid precursors to assess for ring sideroblasts</li> </ul>
	43	Proband (III-4)	73	MDS-MLD	No data	No data	- Significant dysgranulopoiesis - 4.6% blasts - Significant dyserythropoiesis - Significant dyserythropoiesis	No data	No data

Abbreviations used: ANC, absolute neutrophil count; BM, bone marrow; HGB, hemoglobin; ID, identification; MF, marrow fibrosis; NRBCs, nucleated red blood cells; RBCs, red blood cells; y, years

### Supplementary Table 4. Individuals with germline DDX41<sup>LoF</sup> and other cancer-risk alleles

Relationship to Proband	Pedigree ID	Sex	Age, y	Diagnosis (Age of Diagnosis)	Second Germline Variant Gene	Second Germline Variant*	Encoded Protein Variant†	Classification
DDX41 P/LP								
Proband	F9-III-1	М	58	Thrombocytopenia	PALB2	c.2938del	p.Ser980Alafs*10	Р
Proband	F11-III-1	М	65	AML (64)	ATRX	c.7219C>T	p.Arg2407*	LP
Proband	F24-III-1	М	67	CN-MDS (67)	CHEK2	c.470T>C	p.lle200Thr	Р
Proband	F26-III-6	F	76	Basal cell carcinoma (68), MPN/MDS overlap syndrome (70)	APC	c.3920T>A	p.lle1307Lys	LP
Proband	F28-III-6	F	58	Ovarian (53)	BRCA1	c.68_69deIAG	p.Glu23Valfs*17	P
Proband	F30-III-1	F	37	Neuroendocrine carcinoma (31), t-AML (37)	ATM	c.2921+1G>A	p.?	Р
Proband	F31-III-4	М	67	Prostate (62), MDS (67)	CDKN2A	c.9_32dup	p.Ala4_Pro11dup	LP
Proband	F38-III-1	F	41	Breast (33)	BRCA2	c.6174delT	p.Phe2058LeufsTer12	Р
DDX41 VUS								
Proband	F45-II-3	F	62	AMI (62)	CHEK2	c 1283C>T	n Ser428Phe	Р

Abbreviations used: AML, acute myeloid leukemia; CN-MDS, cytogenetically normal myelodysplastic syndrome; F, family; ID, identification; LP, likely pathogenic; MDS, myelodysplastic syndrome; MPN, myeloproliferative neoplasm; P, pathogenic; P#, pedigree number; VUS, variant of uncertain significance; y, years

<sup>\*</sup>These numberings are given according to: *APC* (NM\_000038.6), *ATM* (NM\_000051.4), *ATRX* (NM\_000489.6), *BRCA1* (NM\_007294.4), *BRCA2* (NM\_000059.4), *CDKN2A* (NM\_000077.5), *CHEK2* (NM\_007194.4), *PALB2* (NM\_024675.3)

<sup>†</sup>These numberings are given according to: APC (NP\_000029.2), ATRX (NP\_000480.3), BRCA1 (NP\_009225.1), BRCA2 (NP\_000050.3), CDKN2A (NP\_000068.1), CHEK2 (NP\_009125.1), GATA2 (NP\_116027.2), PALB2 (NP\_078951.2)

Pedigree ID	Second Germline Variant Gene	Second Germline Variant*	Encoded Protein Variant†	Classification	DNA Source	Test Type	VAF; Germline confirmation	Justification
F9-III-1	PALB2	c.2938del	p.Ser980Alafs*10	Ρ	Bone marrow	OncoPlus large tumor panel (NGS)	Confirmed germline in cultured skin fibroblasts	P in ClinVar; Clinical report
F11-III-1	ATRX	c.7219C>T	p.Arg2407*	LP			Confirmed germline	LP in ClinVar
F24-III-1	CHEK2	c.470T>C	p.lle200Thr	Р			Confirmed germline	P in ClinVar
F26-III-6	APC	c.3920T>A	p.lle1307Lys	LP	Peripheral blood	OncoPlus large tumor panel (NGS)	48%; Confirmed germline	LP in ClinVar; Clinical report
F28-III-6	BRCA1	c.68_69delAG	p.Glu23Valfs*17	Р	Bone marrow	OncoPlus large tumor panel (NGS)	46%; Confirmed germline	P in ClinVar; Reviewed by expert panel
F30-III-1	ATM	c.2921+1G>A	р.?	Ρ	Bone marrow	OncoPlus large tumor panel (NGS)	49%; Confirmed germline	P in ClinVar; Clinical report
F31-III-4	CDKN2A	c.9_32dup	p.Ala4_Pro11dup	LP	Skin biopsy	Hereditary Leukemia and Breast Cancer Panel (NGS)	Confirmed germline	LP in Clinical report; P/LP in ClinVar
F38-III-1	BRCA2	c.6174delT	p.Phe2058LeufsTer12	Р	Right pleura; formalin-fixed, paraffin- embedded	OncoPlus large tumor panel (NGS)	56%; Confirmed germline	P in ClinVar; Reviewed by expert panel
F45-II-3	CHEK2	c.1283C>T	p.Ser428Phe	Р				P in ClinVar

### Supplementary Table 5. Classifications of second cancer-risk alleles

Abbreviations used: F, family; P, pathogenic; LP, likely pathogenic; VAF, variant allele frequency

<sup>\*</sup>These numberings are given according to: *APC* (NM\_000038.6), *ATM* (NM\_000051.4), *ATRX* (NM\_000489.6), *BRCA1* (NM\_007294.4), *BRCA2* (NM\_000059.4), *CDKN2A* (NM\_000077.5), *CHEK2* (NM\_007194.4), *PALB2* (NM\_024675.3)

<sup>†</sup>These numberings are given according to: APC (NP\_000029.2), ATRX (NP\_000480.3), BRCA1 (NP\_009225.1), BRCA2 (NP\_000050.3), CDKN2A (NP\_000068.1), CHEK2 (NP\_009125.1), GATA2 (NP\_116027.2), PALB2 (NP\_078951.2)

# Supplementary Table 6. FPKM RNA-sequencing values indicating gene expression in *DDX41<sup>var/+</sup>* and *DDX41<sup>wr</sup>* LCLs for proteins of interest

Cono		DDX41 <sup>WT</sup>		DDX41 <sup>var/+</sup>						Significant <sup>†</sup>	Validation with qRT-PCR
Gene	WT #1	WT #2	WT #3	M1?	P258L	A492G*17	A500C*9	del ex. 12-17	F value	(yes/no)	(fold change from WT)
CD244	0.273343	0.269191	0.285173	11.0895	1.66513	0.774687	0.953599	0.685519	5.00E-05	yes	1.531
CD9	2.25758	7.70813	1.90579	69.2145	3.17566	12.9506	14.8167	5.7882	5.00E-05	yes	2.886
CDC14B	5.85656	2.67411	2.26175	7.17762	86.3545	6.16464	85.5129	5.57933	5.00E-05	yes	1.702
IL1R1	0.438136	0.581984	1.52523	49.0788	1.66037	2.10244	9.29089	6.67852	5.00E-05	yes	2.685
IL23R	0.0295062	1.05441	0.335415	9.57457	0.513941	0.320671	0.74782	3.37672	5.00E-05	yes	5.443
IL32	13.369	43.0563	14.4911	139.772	13.4532	26.6972	7.69567	249.089	1.00E-04	yes	1.915
LTBR	0.481455	0.718212	1.19857	23.8029	3.93722	2.87089	15.654	2.87813	5.00E-05	yes	9.553
PTPN14	0.107992	0.254362	0.203035	0.0191269	1.74213	2.31123	0.844935	2.86304	0.00085	yes	7.788
ANG	0.0766067	0	0.0800762	0.136627	0.138466	0.13552	0	0	1	no	
CXCL13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0748012	0.0771039	1	no	
CXCL8	0	0.309606	0	3.54681	0.177275	0.276612	0.050492	0.453417	0.24035	no	
DDX41	91.4954	101.097	97.9534	66.87	70.5655	51.5405	45.3021	84.5139	0.0575	no	
IL9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	no	
NFKB1	61.2399	69.3384	66.4465	136.892	66.4874	88.2016	73.7998	95.4516	0.0543	no	
NFKB2	70.8146	116.882	80.716	205.606	63.6811	103.725	140.523	106.256	0.10435	no	
REL	5.93962	4.56755	5.27166	16.9442	3.532	10.2362	4.44955	8.30849	0.10055	no	
RELA	71.8171	69.5421	68.9387	76.3011	85.5456	79.914	76.0734	97.7434	0.4517	no	
RELB	13.8668	16.8349	11.4696	26.1377	12.8202	20.1112	23.1998	23.5245	0.0563	no	

Abbreviations used: var, variant

\*P values were determined using a Pearson's correlation

<sup>†</sup>Confidence interval=95%

Supplementary	v Table 7	LIK Biohank	narticinants	used in	nroteomics	analy	/cic
Supplementar	y lable l.	. UN DIUDAIIP	, participants	useu m	proteonics	analy	/313

	Individuals with Likely Germline P/LP DDX41 Variants			Corresponding WT Controls			
Case #	Age at Recruitment	Sex	DDX41 Likely Germline Variant [NM_016222.4]	DDX41 Encoded Protein Variant [NP_057306.2]	Control #	Age at Recruitment	Sex
1	40	Female	c.415_418dupGATG	p.Asp140Glyfs*2	1	40	Female
2	41	Mala		n Aon140Ch/6*2	2	40	Female
2	41	wate	0.415_41800POATO	p.Asp1400iyis 2	4	41	Male
3	41	Female	c.3G>A	p.Met1?	5	41	Female
4	43	Male	c 415 418dunGATG	n Asn140Glvfs*2	6	41	Female
	10	Maio		p3/00110003/0 2	8	43	Male
5	44	Male	c.1187T>C	p.lle396Thr	9	44	Male
6	45	Female	c.3G>A	p.Met1?	10	44 45	Female
					12	45	Female
7	46	Male	c.1187T>C	p.lle396Thr	13	46	Male
8	46	Female	c.415_418dupGATG	p.Asp140Glyfs*2	15	46	Female
	47		010 017 1	11 10 10 1 10 1	16	46	Female
9	47	Female	C.946_947dei	p.ivieto 16Asp=51	17	47	Female
10	47	Male	c.3G>A	p.Met1?	19	47	Male
11	48	Male	c 3G>A	n Met1?	20	47	Male
					22	48	Male
12	48	Female	c.415_418dupGATG	p.Asp140Glyfs*2	23	48	Female
13	48	Female	c.3G>A	p.Met1?	25	48	Female
14	50	Formala	.20:1	n M-410	26	48	Female
14	00	remale	C.3G>A	p.metr?	28	50	Female
15	50	Female	c.121C>T	p.Gln41*	29	50	Female
16	52	Female	c.3G>A	p.Met1?	30 31	50 52	Female Female
					32	52	Female
17	52	Male	c.946_947del	p.Met316Asp*31	33	52	Male
18	53	Male	c.3G>A	p.Met1?	34	53	Male
4.2	<b>5</b> *		4500 1505 1	T. 5061 ( 110	36	53	Male
19	54	Male	c.1586_1587del	p.Thr529Argfs*12	37	54	Male
20	54	Male	c.415_418dupGATG	p.Asp140Glyfs*2	39	54	Male
21	66	Famala	a 1197T>C	n llo206Thr	40	54	Male
21		remaie	0.1187120	p.iie39011ii	41	55	Female
22	56	Female	c.415_418dupGATG	p.Asp140Glyfs*2	43	56	Female
23	56	Male	c 157G>A	n Glv173Arg	44	56	Female
20		maio	0.1010 //	p.org monthly	46	56	Male
24	56	Male	c.415_418dupGATG	p.Asp140Glyfs*2	47	56	Male
25	57	Female	c.3G>A	p.Met1?	40	57	Female
					50	57	Female
26	58	Female	C.3G>A	p.Met1?	51	58	Female
27	58	Male	c.415_418dupGATG	p.Asp140Glyfs*2	53	58	Male
28	58	Male	c 3G>A	n Met1?	54	58	Male
20		maio	0.00 //	pinioer :	56	58	Male
29	59	Male	c.3G>A	p.Met1?	57	59	Male
30	59	Female	c.121C>T	p.Gln41*	59	59	Female
			1000	01.170.1	60	59	Female
31	60	Male	c.15/G>A	p.Gly1/3Arg	61 62	60 60	Male
32	60	Female	c.415_418dupGATG	p.Asp140Glyfs*2	63	60	Female
33	60	Male	c 121C>T	p Gin41*	64 65	60 60	Female
		.71010	0.1210/1	P.01171	66	60	Male
34	61	Male	c.3G>A	p.Met1?	67	61	Male
35	61	Male	c.3G>A	p.Met1?	69	61	Male
a :	A -		A.C		70	61	Male
36	61	Male	c.3G>A	p.Met1?	71	61 61	Male Male
37	63	Male	c.415_418dupGATG	p.Asp140Glyfs*2	73	63	Male
38	64	Female	c 415 418dunGATC	n Asn140Clufe*2	74	63 64	Male
	04	- Gridle	0.410_41000pOATO	p.nop 1400(915 Z	76	64	Female
39	64	Female	c.415_418dupGATG	p.Asp140Glyfs*2	77	64	Female
40	64	Female	c.415_418dupGATG	p.Asp140Glyfs*2	79	64	Female
	A -				80	64	Female
41	65	⊦emale	c.3G>A	p.Met1?	81 82	65 65	Female Female
42	65	Female	c.415_418dupGATG	p.Asp140Glyfs*2	83	65	Female
43	67	Male	c.415_418dunGATG	p.Asp140Glvfs*2	84	65 67	Female
		maio	5.116_11800p0410	p.1.0p.1.1001910 2	86	67	Male
44	67	Female	c.3G>A	p.Met1?	87	67	Female
45	67	Female	c.415_418dupGATG	p.Asp140Glyfs*2	89	67	Female
4.2			1550 .	01.450	90	67	Female
46	67	Male	c.157G>A	p.Gly173Arg	91 92	67 67	Male Male
47	68	Female	c.946_947del	p.Met316Asp*31	93	68	Female
48	68	Female	c.415_418dunGATG	n.Asp140Glvfs*2	94 95	68 68	Female
		. 5	naaponto	p	96	68	Female
49	69	Male	c.3G>A	p.Met1?	97 98	69 69	Male

Abbreviations used: LP, likely-pathogenic; P, pathogenic

## Supplementary Table 8. Summary of UK Biobank participants with likely germline DDX41<sup>LoF</sup> variants

<i>DDX41</i> Likely Germline Variant [NM_016222.4]	DDX41 Encoded Protein Variant [NP_057306.2]	DDX41 Germline Variant Classification	Number of UK Biobank Participants
c.3G>A	p.Met1?	Р	8
c.121C>T	p.Gln41*	Р	3
c.415_418dupGATG	p.Asp140Glyfs*2	Р	8
c.157G>A	p.Gly173Arg	P/LP	3
c.946_947del	p.Met316Asp*31	Р	3
c.1187T>C	p.lle396Thr	LP	3
c.1586_1587del	p.Thr529Argfs*12	Р	1

Abbreviations used: LP, likely-pathogenic; P, pathogenic



**Supplementary Figure 1. Cufflinks pipeline used to analyze RNA-sequencing data.** Data from *DDX41<sup>WT</sup>* LCLs (green) and from patient-derived *DDX41<sup>var/+</sup>* LCLs (purple) is shown. Packages used to input data are indicated in gray.



**Supplementary Figure 2. Venn diagram of inflammatory cytokines assessed by cytokine arrays and Luminex.** The number of inflammatory cytokines assessed by cytokine arrays only (blue), Luminex only (teal), and by both cytokine arrays and Luminex (black) are shown. Levels of inflammatory cytokines were measured in conditioned media from *DDX41<sup>WT</sup>* (green) and patient-derived *DDX41<sup>var/+</sup>* (purple) LCLs.



**Supplementary Figure 3. Summary of our 52-family cohort.** Our cohort consists of 52 families with germline *DDX41* variants of any classification (dark grey). Eleven of these families had germline *DDX41* variants of uncertain significance (VUSs, dark blue). Forty-three of these families had deleterious (P/LP) germline *DDX41* variants (orange) and were used for most of our analyses (light green). Twenty-two of those 43 families had hematopoietic malignancies (HMs) only (pink). The rest (21) had HMs and solid tumors (STs, light blue), defined as those with a history of solid tumors in  $\geq$ 15% of primary relatives of the proband including the proband. Families with HMs and STs were significantly more likely to have additional germline variants in other cancer-associated genes (p=0.0212).











**Supplementary Figure 4. Family pedigrees representing our comprehensive cohort of families with germline** *DDX41<sup>LoF</sup>* **alleles.** Squares represent males, circles represent females, and diamonds indicate that the sex is unknown. All family members that we have knowledge of are shown, regardless of genotype or presence of disease. A "+" sign indicates an individual who has tested positive for the familial DDX41 variant, whereas a "-" sign indicates an individual who has tested negative for the familial DDX41 variant. "OC" indicates that someone is an obligate carrier of the familial variant. "a." indicates the individual's age, and "d." and a strikethrough indicates that the individual is deceased, with the age at time of death indicated. Dark red denotes individuals with HM(s). Solid tumors such as breast (pink), prostate (orange), melanoma (yellow), colon (light green), liver (dark green), endometrial (light blue), lung (dark blue), ovarian (purple), renal (brown), and neuroendocrine (peach) are shown. Age of diagnosis is given after "dx." if it is known.



**Supplementary Figure 5. DDX41 protein levels in patient-derived LCLs with different DDX41 alleles. (A)** Western blots for total DDX41 in whole cells lysates from DDX41<sup>WT</sup> (n=3, green) and DDX41<sup>var/+</sup> (n=5, purple) patient-derived LCLs. GAPDH was used as a loading control. **(B)** Bar plot of average normalized DDX41 pixel densities in DDX41<sup>WT</sup> (n=3, green) and DDX41<sup>var/+</sup> (n=5, purple) patient-derived LCLs. DDX41 levels were lower in LCLs with frameshift deletions (A492Gfs\*17, A500Cfs\*9, and del ex. 12-17) in DDX41 than in those with other mutations (M1?, and P258L) or those with wild type DDX41 alleles (p=0.04).



**Supplementary Figure 6. RT-qPCR results to validate RNA-sequencing findings. (A-H)** Fold changes in gene expression are shown in *DDX41<sup>WT</sup>* (green) and *DDX41<sup>var/+</sup>* (purple) patient-derived LCLs. P-values were determined using two-tailed t-tests with Welch's correction and confirm increased expression of **(A)** *CDC14B*, **(B)** *CD244*, **(C)** *CD9*, **(D)** *IL1R1*, **(E)** *IL23R*, **(F)** *IL32*, **(G)** *LTBR*, and **(H)** *PTPN14* in *DDX41<sup>var/+</sup>* LCLs.



**Supplementary Figure 7. Quantification of TGF-** $\beta$  by ELISA. (A) Average concentrations of TGF- $\beta$  (pg/mL) in conditioned media from *DDX41<sup>WT</sup>* LCLs (n=3, green) and *DDX41<sup>var/+</sup>* LCLs (n=5, purple). No significant change in TGF- $\beta$  levels was detected as determined by a two-tailed t-test with Welch's correction (p=0.38).





**Supplementary Figure 8. Associated pathways and diseases of proteins found to decrease in individuals with likely germline** *DDX41<sup>LoF</sup>* **variants. (A)** Results of KEGG pathway enrichment analysis and (B) diseasegene association analysis (based on the DISEASES database) of 114 proteins found to decrease in the context of likely germline *DDX41<sup>LoF</sup>* alleles compared to WT controls. (A-B) Plots were generated using STRING (https://version11.string-db.org/).

### **Supplementary References**

1. Kraft IL, Godley LA. Identifying potential germline variants from sequencing hematopoietic malignancies. *Hematology*. 2020;2020(1):219-227. doi:10.1182/hematology.2020006910

2. Guidugli L, Johnson AK, Alkorta-Aranburu G, et al. Clinical utility of gene panel-based testing for hereditary myelodysplastic syndrome/acute leukemia predisposition syndromes. *Leukemia*. 2017;31(5):1226-1229. doi:10.1038/leu.2017.28

3. Feurstein S, Trottier AM, Estrada-Merly N, et al. Germ line predisposition variants occur in myelodysplastic syndrome patients of all ages. *Blood*. 2022;140(24):2533-2548. doi:10.1182/blood.2022015790

4. Richards S, Aziz N, Bale S, et al. Standards and guidelines for the interpretation of sequence variants: a joint consensus recommendation of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics and the Association for Molecular Pathology. *Genet Med.* 2015;17(5):405-424. doi:10.1038/gim.2015.30

5. Kadri S, Long BC, Mujacic I, et al. Clinical Validation of a Next-Generation Sequencing Genomic Oncology Panel via Cross-Platform Benchmarking against Established Amplicon Sequencing Assays. *J Mol Diagn*. 2017;19(1):43-56. doi:10.1016/j.jmoldx.2016.07.012

6. Makishima H, Saiki R, Nannya Y, et al. Germline DDX41 mutations define a unique subtype of myeloid neoplasms. *Blood*. Published online November 2, 2022:blood.2022018221. doi:10.1182/blood.2022018221

7. Sun B B, Chiou J, Traylor M, et al. Plasma proteomic associations with genetics and health in the UK Biobank. *Nature.* 2023;622(7982);329-338. Doi: 10.1038/s41586-023-06592-6