Erratum to: Comparing the two leading erythroid lines BEL-A and HUDEP-2

Deborah E. Daniels,^{1,2} Damien J. Downes,³ Ivan Ferrer-Vicens,¹ Daniel C. J. Ferguson,¹ Belinda K. Singleton,^{2,4} Marieangela C. Wilson,¹ Kongtana Trakarnsanga,⁵ Ryo Kurita,⁶ Yukio Nakamura,⁷ David J. Anstee^{2,4} and Jan Frayne^{1,2}

¹School of Biochemistry, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK; ²NIHR Blood and Transplant Research Unit, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK; ³MRC Molecular Haematology Unit, MRC Weatherall Institute of Molecular Medicine, Radcliffe Department of Medicine, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK; ⁴Bristol Institute for Transfusion Sciences, National Health Service Blood and Transplant (NHSBT), Bristol, UK; ⁵Department of Biochemistry, Faculty of Medicine Siriraj Hospital, Mahidol University, Bangkok, Thailand; ⁶Department of Research and Development, Central Blood Institute, Blood Service Headquarters, Japanese Red Cross Society, Tokyo, Japan and ⁷Cell Engineering Division, RIKEN BioResource Research Center, Ibaraki, Japan

Correspondence: J. Frayne jan.frayne@bristol.ac.uk

Received: Accepted:

October 19, 2023. October 19, 2023.

https://doi.org/10.3324/haematol.2023.284510

©2024 Ferrata Storti Foundation Published under a CC BY-NC license 💽 🛈 🕲

With reference to our paper published in the August issue 2020 of Haematologica,¹ during the final processing of the manuscript the labeling of Figure 2E got accidentally inverted. On both the BEL-A and HUDEP-2 graphs, γ and β globin labels are the wrong way around. The corrected panel E of Figure 2 is shown here.



Haematologica | 109 - January 2024 **364**