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Chronic graft-versus-host disease (cGVHD) affects ~50% of allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation (alloHCT) recipients. The mouth is involved in ~60% of patients with cGVHD. Symptoms of oral cGVHD include mucosal sensitivity, dry mouth, and limited mouth opening; late complications include accelerated dental caries, poor nutrition, and an increased risk for oral cancer. Oral cGVHD has deleterious effects on oral health-related quality of life. The oral flora interacts with the local and systemic immune system and this homeostasis is regulated by the saliva. Dry mouth, a common finding in oral cGVHD, may thus lead to microbiota alterations, ultimately resulting in immune dysregulation and cGVHD. We examined whether there is an oral microbiota signature for oral cGVHD several years after alloHCT.

The Chronic Graft-versus-Host Disease Consortium is a NIH-supported collaborative group of 13 academic centers that prospectively followed ~1000 adult alloHCT recipients over 3 years (March 2011 to May 2014). Long-Term Oral Health Outcomes in the Chronic GVHD Consortium is a prospective cohort nested substudy of patients enrolled in the Consortium study NCT01206309 at the Fred Hutchinson Cancer Center and Dana-Farber Cancer Institute. The study protocol was approved by the central Institutional Review Board (FHCC) and complied with local regulations and the Declaration of Helsinki. All participants provided written informed consent. The objective of the long-term study is to provide a systematic description of the long-term oral health outcomes. At the time of enrollment into the long-term study, typically several years after alloHCT, subjects underwent a baseline oral examination, followed by annual oral examinations for 4 years. Oral examinations, performed by calibrated oral health specialists, characterized oral health status. The diagnosis of oral cGVHD was made per the 2014 NIH diagnostic criteria. The activity of the oral mucosal disease was determined by the NIH Modified Oral Mucosa Rating Scale (OMRS). An unstimulated salivary sample was collected at each follow-up examination and stored at -80°C.

Saliva pellets collected at the 1-, 2-, and 3-year follow-up timepoints were sequenced. The V3-V4 hypervariable regions of the 16S rRNA gene was sequenced using an Illumina MiSeq platform (2 x 300 paired-end mode). Exact amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) were inferred using DADA2 v1.18.0. Filtering utilized DADA2 default parameters (PHRED score threshold of 2, maximum number of expected errors of 2 for both forward and reverse reads) and truncation lengths of 270 (forward) and 220 (reverse). De-replication, de-noising, merging, and chimera removal were performed using DADA2 default parameters. Taxonomic assignment was performed by the naive Bayesian classifier implemented in DADA2 and the SILVA non-redundant v138.1 training set. Alpha diversity (i.e., within-sample diversity) was quantified by the Shannon index and beta diversity (i.e., between-sample diversity) by the Aitchison distance. Ordination was visualized by principal coordinate analysis and overall compositional differences between the groups were quantified by permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA) using an adonis test with 999 permutations. Differential abundance analysis was performed using ALDEEx2, with Benjamini-Hochberg corrected P values (i.e., q values). Within-subject microbiome stability was quantified by the Aitchison distance between microbiota samples of the same subject at different long-term timepoints (e.g., at 1- vs. 2-year follow up visits).
A genus-level microbiota heatmap visualizing the results of unsupervised hierarchical clustering was generated using centered log-ratio abundances and a ward.D function. All available samples were included; therefore, no power or sample size calculation was performed.

Sixty-eight patients and 114 samples were included (Table 1). At the time of enrollment in the Long-Term study, 18 patients had moderate/severe oral cGVHD and 50 had no/mild oral cGVHD. Time from alloHCT to enrollment in the Long-Term study was similar between these two groups (median 9 years post-HCT in both groups; range 6-11 in patients with moderate/severe oral cGVHD and 7-11 in patients with no/mild oral cGVHD). In patients with oral cGVHD, the median time between cGVHD diagnosis and enrollment in the Long-Term study was 8 years (range: 1-10). Scores in the 3 domains of the modified OMRS are shown in Fig. S1a. Patients with moderate/severe vs. no/mild oral cGVHD provided 31 and 83 samples, respectively, for a sample-to-patient ratio of 1.7 in both groups. Main reasons for missing samples included missed appointments and patient refusal/ability to provide a sample. Patient/sample contribution was balanced between the two centers (33/52 from FHCC; 35/62 from DFCI). The median sequencing depth across all samples was 75,223 reads per sample (range: 13,733-113,014); thus, all samples were retained for analysis. ASVs with a relative abundance of >0.1% in at least 10% of the samples were retained. This preprocessing step yielded a total of 156 unique ASVs mapped to 40 genera, 27 families, and 7 phyla. Although 50 ASVs could be classified down to the level of species, due to the limited resolution of short-amplicon sequencing for species-level classification, taxa were collapsed to the genus level.

Taxonomic composition of the samples is shown in Fig. S2a. Unsupervised hierarchical clustering suggested a microbiota signature for samples from patients with moderate/severe oral cGVHD (Fig. 1a). To account for repeated measures data (up to 3 samples per patient), we first evaluated the contribution of timepoint to microbiome variation. PERMANOVA estimated this contribution to be only 1% (P = 0.95), indicating microbiome stability over time. Therefore, we collapsed the samples collected from each patient into a single aggregate by averaging their corresponding taxonomic abundances. Since patients were transplanted at geographically distant centers, we evaluated whether microbiome-relevant factors potentially associated with region (e.g., diet, tobacco use) influenced microbiome composition. This analysis was unremarkable (PERMANOVA R^2 = 0.02, P = 0.11). Principal coordinates analysis demonstrated significantly different microbiota compositions between patients with moderate/severe vs. no/mild oral cGVHD (P < 0.001; Fig. 1b). Samples from patients with higher ulcer scores on modified OMRS were characterized by a greater abundance of a genus of the Neisseriaceae family, and those with higher erythema or lichenoid scores by greater abundances of genera Scardovia, Lactobacillus, Lacticaseibacillus, and Limosilactobacillus (Fig. S1b). Xerostomia severity^11 explained a significant proportion of microbiome variation (R^2 = 0.11, P < 0.001; Fig. S2b). In differential abundance analysis (Fig. 1c), Streptococcus, Scardovia, Rothia, Actinomyces, Veillonella were more abundant in patients with moderate/severe oral cGVHD. One genus (Prevotella) was more abundant in patients with no/mild oral cGVHD. Among these taxa,
Streptococcus, Scardovia, Rothia, Actinomyces, and Veillonella were significantly and positively correlated with xerostomia index (q < 0.05; Fig. S2c). Within-sample microbiota diversity was significantly lower in patients with moderate/severe oral cGVHD than those with no/mild disease (P < 0.001; Fig. 1d).

Finally, we asked whether previous oral cGVHD might have left a lasting effect on the oral microbiome which could be detected even after the resolution of cGVHD. To examine this possibility, we focused on the group of patients with no/mild oral cGVHD and classified them into two groups using Consortium data: those with (N = 33) vs. without (N = 17) previous oral cGVHD. Although principal coordinate analysis suggested some overall compositional differences between the groups (Fig. 2a), differential abundance analysis was unremarkable (Fig. 2b). Similarly, alpha diversity was not different between the two groups (Fig. 2c). Although time was not an explanatory factor in microbiome variation in PERMANOVA, we further investigated the within-individual temporal stability of the microbiome. Using samples at 1-, 2-, and 3-year follow-up timepoints, microbiome stability was not different between patients with moderate/severe vs. no/mild oral cGVHD, and between the two subgroups of patients with no/mild oral cGVHD (Fig. S3).

To our knowledge, this is the first report of the oral microbiota several years after alloHCT. Using a relatively large sample size (114 samples from 68 patients) in a longitudinal context, we identified an oral microbiota signature for oral cGVHD 6+ years after transplantation. Oral microbiota hallmarks of moderate/severe oral cGVHD included loss of alpha diversity, expansion of several genera, and shrinkage of one genus. There are two potential explanations for these findings. First, oral microbiota changes observed may have predated oral cGVHD onset, suggesting oral microbiota contribution to cGVHD pathogenesis. The oral microbiota can reshape the structure of the oral epithelial barrier (e.g., keratinization, cellular adhesion, barrier function) and stimulate inflammatory reactions\textsuperscript{12}. Alternatively, oral microbiota changes may be a consequence of cGVHD. By providing nutrients for oral microbes and protecting them against colonization by non-oral microbes, saliva has an important role in microbial ecology of the oral cavity. In our analysis, xerostomia severity was associated with several differentially abundant taxa in cGVHD patients, suggesting that their overgrowth was facilitated by reduced saliva production. Many of these taxa (e.g., Veillonella, Actinomyces, Rothia, Streptococcus salivarius, Streptococcus parasanguinis) are associated with overall poor oral health\textsuperscript{13}.

Only one published study has evaluated the relationship between oral microbiota and oral cGVHD at 1 year or more after HCT\textsuperscript{14}. Laheij et al.\textsuperscript{14} profiled the oral microbiota from oral rinses in 50 alloHCT recipients. Forty-three and 42 samples were collected at 1- and 1.5-year timepoints, respectively. Short-amplicon sequencing yielded ~17,000 reads on average per sample. Oral cGVHD was not associated with microbiome alpha diversity. The higher sequencing depths in our study provided greater sensitivity and thus enabled identification of differences in diversity and composition between the two groups. One limitation of our study concerns possible antibacterial antibiotic use by the patients. Although patients were generally not expected to be on these antibiotics at the
time of sample collection, data were not consistently available. Nevertheless, a major effect on the oral microbiota seems unlikely as the oral flora is known to be a resilient community. By focusing on a time period of 6+ years after alloHCT, the potential confounding effects of acute GVHD and conditioning-related oral mucositis were minimized. Another limitation concerns the lack of pre-cGVHD samples which makes the direction of potential causality difficult to ascertain. Finally, the potential long-term effect of prior immunosuppressive therapies for cGVHD on the oral microbiota could not be determined because details of such therapies from several years before enrollment into the Long-Term study were not readily available.

In conclusion, we demonstrated an oral microbiota signature for oral cGVHD several years after transplantation, with potential implications for novel, microbiota-directed, preventative and therapeutic strategies. Multi-omics studies may shed light on the pathways involved.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1: Patient characteristics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total, N</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Center, N (%)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dana-Farber Cancer Institute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fred Hutchinson Cancer Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sex, N (%)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age at transplantation, yr</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (range)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Graft source, N (%)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peripheral blood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bone marrow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cord blood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Donor type, N (%)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HLA-matched sibling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HLA-matched unrelated donor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Conditioning intensity, N (%)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myeloablative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced intensity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Underlying disease, N (%)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute leukemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDS/MPN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLL/NHL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple myeloma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hodgkin lymphoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CLL: chronic lymphocytic leukemia; MDS: myelodysplastic syndromes; MPN: myeloproliferative neoplasms; NHL: non-Hodgkin lymphoma
Figure Legends

Figure 1: Microbiota signature for oral chronic GVHD
(a) Genus-level microbiota heatmap visualizing the results of unsupervised hierarchical clustering using CLR-transformed taxa abundances and a ward.D function. Each column is a sample, and each row is a species. The blue-red gradient shows species abundances scaled row-wise. cGVHD groups are added along the top border. (b) Beta diversity and ordination visualized by principal coordinate analysis. Aitchison distance (using CLR-transformed genus-level abundances) was used to quantify the overall compositional difference between samples. The first two principal coordinates (PC1 and PC2) are shown. Numbers in parentheses indicate percent variation explained by the corresponding axis. P values are from an adonis test with 999 permutations. Each symbol represents a sample. The closer the two samples, the more similar their microbiome composition. 80% ellipses are shown. (c) Differential abundance analysis using ALDEx2 comparing patients with moderate/severe vs. no/mild oral cGVHD. Each circle shows one genus. Genera to the right of the dashed vertical line are more abundant in patients with moderate/severe oral cGVHD; those to the left are more abundant in patients with no/mild oral cGVHD. The x axis shows the difference in centered-log ratio abundance between the two groups. The y axis shows the corrected P values, with circles above the dashed horizontal line representing taxa with statistically significant difference in abundance between the groups. (d) Alpha diversity analysis using Shannon's index. Each circle represents a sample. Each box shows median (horizontal middle line) and interquartile range. Whisker lines indicate non-outlier maximum and minimum values. A small jitter is included for better visualization. P values are from a Wilcoxon’s test.

Figure 2: Long-term effect of prior oral chronic GVHD on the oral microbiota
The analyses in this Figure were limited to the group of patients with no/mild oral cGVHD. These patients were classified into two groups: those with vs. without previous oral cGVHD. These subgroups were compared. (a) Principal coordinate analysis using the same methods as in Fig. 1b. (b) Alpha diversity analysis using the same method as in Fig. 1d. (c) Differential abundance analysis, using the same methods as in Fig. 1c.
Figure S1: Modified OMRS subscores and their microbiota associations
(a) Modified OMRS subscores. The maximum score in each domain during protocol-defined visits for each subject is plotted, with subjects sorted along the y axis based on oral cGVHD status and domains plotted along the x axis. (b) Redundancy analysis using modified OMRS subscores in each of the 3 domains. Beta diversity was calculated and ordination visualized similar to Fig. 1b. Taxa with the strongest associations with subscores are shown.
Figure S2: Contribution of xerostomia to microbiota variation
(a) The distribution of the 20 most abundant genera in each sample. Less abundant genera were combined in one category. Each column is a sample and its corresponding stacked bars indicate relative abundances of different genera in that sample. (b) Beta diversity was calculated and ordination visualized similar to Fig. 1b. Xerostomia index corresponding to the examination at the time of collection of each sample is colored using the gradient shown. The P value is from an adonis test with 999 permutations, reflecting lower scores in patients clustered to the left. (c) Correlation plot showing the Spearman’s correlation coefficients (color gradient and circle size) and corresponding q values for the correlation between the xerostomia index and significant taxa from differential abundance analysis in Fig. 1c.
Figure S3: Microbiota stability over time
(a) Compositional dissimilarity over time in same-patient microbiota compared between patients with moderate/severe vs. no/mild oral cGVHD. (b) Similar comparison between patients with vs. without prior oral cGVHD in the group with current no/mild oral cGVHD. Each box shows median (horizontal middle line) and interquartile range. Whisker lines indicate non-outlier maximum and minimum values. A small jitter is included for better visualization. P values are from a Wilcoxon’s test.