

# Complement C1s inhibition with sutimlimab results in durable response in cold agglutinin disease: CARDINAL study 1-year interim follow-up results

Alexander Röth,<sup>1</sup> Wilma Barcellini,<sup>2</sup> Shirley D'Sa,<sup>3</sup> Yoshitaka Miyakawa,<sup>4</sup> Catherine M. Broome,<sup>5</sup> Marc Michel,<sup>6</sup> David J. Kuter,<sup>7</sup> Bernd Jilma,<sup>8</sup> Tor Henrik Anderson Tvedt,<sup>9</sup> Ilene C. Weitz,<sup>10</sup> Parija Patel,<sup>11</sup> Xiaoyu Jiang,<sup>11</sup> Caroline Reuter,<sup>11</sup> Jun Su,<sup>11</sup> Frank Shafer,<sup>11</sup> Michelle Lee<sup>11</sup> and Sigbjørn Berentsen<sup>12</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Hematology and Stem Cell Transplantation, West German Cancer Center, University Hospital Essen, University of Duisburg-Essen, Essen, Germany; <sup>2</sup>Fondazione IRCCS Ca' Granda Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico, Milan, Italy; <sup>3</sup>UCLH Centre for Waldenström's Macroglobulinemia and Related Conditions, University College London Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK; <sup>4</sup>Thrombosis and Hemostasis Center, Saitama Medical University Hospital, Saitama, Japan; <sup>5</sup>Division of Hematology, MedStar Georgetown University Hospital, Washington, DC, USA; <sup>6</sup>Henri-Mondor University Hospital, Assistance Publique-Hôpitaux de Paris, UPEC, Créteil, France; <sup>7</sup>Division of Hematology, Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA; <sup>8</sup>Department of Clinical Pharmacology, Medical University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria; <sup>9</sup>Section for Hematology, Department of Medicine, Haukeland University Hospital, Bergen, Norway; <sup>10</sup>Keck School of Medicine of USC, Los Angeles, CA, USA; <sup>11</sup>Sanofi, Cambridge, MA, USA and <sup>12</sup>Department of Research and Innovation, Haugesund Hospital, Haugesund, Norway

Correspondence: ALEXANDER RÖTH - alexander.roeth@uk-essen.de  
<https://doi.org/10.3324/haematol.2021.279812>

Supplementary data

**Supplemental table 1.** Number of transfusions per patient and total units transfused, up to Week 53 (full analysis set)

	Part A (N = 24)		Part B (N = 22)
	Baseline to Week 5 (n = 24)	Week 5 to Week 26 (n = 23)	Week 27 to Week 53 (n = 22)
<b>Transfusions per patient, median (range), n</b>	0 (0–2)	0 (0–13)	0 (0–5)
<b>Patients requiring ≥1 transfusion, n (%)</b>	5 (20.8)	6 (25.1)	3 (13.6)
<b>Patients requiring transfusion, n (%)</b>			
0 transfusions	19 (79.2)	17 (70.8)	19 (86.4)
1–2 transfusions	5 (20.8)	4 (16.7)	1 (4.5)
3–4 transfusions	0 (0.0)	1 (4.2)	1 (4.5)
5–6 transfusions	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (4.5)
7–8 transfusions	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
9–10 transfusions	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
>10 transfusions	0 (0.0)	1 (4.2)	0 (0.0)
<b>Total units transfused,* n</b>	5	7	3
Median (range)	3 (2–4)	4 (2–23) <sup>†</sup>	8 (2–9)

Data cutoff date was 16 January 2020.

All patients were required to have received ≥1 blood transfusions in the 6 months prior to enrollment; at baseline the mean (±SD) number of transfusions during the previous year was 4.8 ±6.2 with a median number of 2 (range, 1–23).

\*Included all patients who had ≥1 transfusion.

<sup>†</sup>One patient who received 23 units of blood had 13 red blood cell transfusions between Week 5 and end of treatment (in Part A), based on protocol criteria of transfusion for hemoglobin <9 g/dL without symptoms. Bilirubin levels in this patient remained above the ULN, consistent with continued extravascular hemolysis; reported adverse events did not indicate blood loss that required transfusion. This patient had been heavily transfused before study entry: 23 red blood cell transfusions were recorded within 12 months prior to study entry.