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Abstract
PI3K/mTOR signaling is commonly dysregulated in acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL). The TACL2014-001 phase 1 trial of the mTOR inhibitor temsirolimus in combination with cyclophosphamide and etoposide was performed in children and adolescents with relapsed/refractory ALL. Temsirolimus was administered intravenously (IV) on days 1 and 8 with cyclophosphamide 440 mg/m² and etoposide 100 mg/m² IV daily days 1-5. The starting dose of temsirolimus was 7.5 mg/m² (DL1) with escalation to 10 mg/m² (DL2), 15 mg/m² (DL3), and 25 mg/m² (DL4). PI3K/mTOR pathway inhibition was measured by phosphoflow cytometry analysis of peripheral blood specimens from treated patients. Sixteen heavily-pretreated patients were enrolled with 15 evaluable for toxicity. One dose-limiting toxicity (DLT) of grade 4 pleural and pericardial effusions occurred in a patient treated at DL3. Additional DLTs were not seen in the DL3 expansion or DL4 cohort. Grade 3/4 non-hematologic toxicities occurring in ≥3 patients included febrile neutropenia, elevated alanine aminotransferase, hypokalemia, mucositis, and tumor lysis syndrome and occurred across all DLs. Complete responses were observed at all DLs with a 47% overall response rate and 27% complete response rate. Pharmacodynamic correlative studies demonstrated dose-dependent inhibition of PI3K/mTOR pathway phosphoproteins in all studied patients. Temsirolimus at doses up to 25 mg/m² with cyclophosphamide and etoposide had an acceptable safety profile in children with relapsed/refractory ALL. Responses were observed across all DLs. Pharmacodynamic mTOR target inhibition was achieved and appeared to correlate with temsirolimus dose. Future testing of next-generation PI3K/mTOR pathway inhibitors with chemotherapy may be warranted to increase response rates in children with relapsed/refractory ALL.
**Introduction**

Phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K) / mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) signaling, a critical pathway in cell proliferation, metabolism, and apoptosis, is commonly dysregulated in acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL) and may confer chemotherapy resistance.\(^1\) While \textit{MTOR} mutations are themselves uncommon in human cancer, other PI3K pathway gene mutations and expression changes that activate PI3K/mTOR signal transduction have been reported in many hematologic malignancies.\(^1-4\) For example, loss of tumor suppressors that normally regulate PI3K signaling, such as \textit{PTEN} (\textit{phosphatase and tensin homolog}), can dysregulate normal cellular equilibrium and facilitate aberrant signaling activation.\(^5\) Constitutive PI3K/mTOR signaling activation in ALL may also result from increased surface expression of growth factor receptors on leukemia cells or from mutation of intracellular downstream effector genes.\(^6\)

Preclinical studies of mTOR inhibitors in murine models of human ALL have shown potent \textit{in vivo} inhibition of leukemia proliferation and prolonged animal survival in comparison to vehicle-treated controls.\(^7-10\)

Despite aggressive retrieval strategies, the prognosis for children with relapsed/refractory ALL is poor.\(^11-14\) Molecularly-targeted agents, including mTOR inhibitors, have shown promise in treating some patients.\(^1\) Two pediatric-specific trials of mTOR inhibition in combination with chemotherapy have been performed to date. The Children’s Oncology Group (COG) ADVL1114 phase 1 trial explored the safety and tolerability of combining three weekly doses of temsirolimus with UK ALLR3 reinduction therapy in children with second or greater relapse/refractory ALL (\textit{www.clinicaltrials.gov} NCT01403415).\(^15\) The study required two de-escalations of temsirolimus down to 7.5 mg/m\(^2\)/dose due to observed dose-limiting toxicity (DLT), and this regimen was determined to be too toxic for further assessment in a phase 2 clinical trial setting. DLTs seen in this study specifically appeared to be exacerbated by the combinatorial toxicity of mTOR inhibitors with the known toxicities of steroids and asparaginase. Despite DLTs, complete responses (CRs) occurred in seven of 15 treated patients. Dose-
dependent inhibition of PI3K/mTOR pathway signaling was also detected in most patients via correlative pharmacodynamic studies. The Dana-Farber Cancer Institute Consortium (DFCI) 11-237 phase 1 trial (www.clinicaltrials.gov NCT01523977) combined daily oral everolimus with a 4-drug re-induction in pediatric patients with first relapse of ALL, which was well-tolerated. Nineteen of 22 patients achieved CR, 12 with minimal residual disease (MRD) <0.1%.

The Therapeutic Advances in Childhood Leukemia and Lymphoma (TACL) Consortium conducted the 2014-001 phase 1 clinical trial to define the recommended phase 2 dose (RP2D) of temsirolimus in combination with cyclophosphamide and etoposide chemotherapy chosen as a non-steroid/non-asparaginase regimen to mitigate toxicity observed in the ADVL1114 study in children and adolescents with second or greater relapsed ALL. Exploratory study aims included preliminary assessment of treatment efficacy within the context of a phase 1 trial and pharmacodynamic measurement of PI3K/mTOR signaling pathway inhibition.

**Methods**

**Eligibility**

Patients ≥1 and <21 years of age with second or greater relapse or chemotherapy-refractory B-ALL or T-ALL were eligible for study participation. Relapsed leukemia was defined as >25% blasts in bone marrow (M3) or 5-25% blasts in bone marrow (M2) with evidence of concurrent extramedullary disease. Refractory disease was allowed with no more than 1 prior failed salvage attempt following the current relapse or no more than 2 additional treatment cycles after initial induction failure in newly diagnosed patients. After temsirolimus dosing was shown to be tolerable at 10 mg/m² (DL2), eligibility was amended to include T-ALL in first relapse, and marrow involvement for eligibility was changed to ≥5% blasts regardless of extramedullary leukemia involvement. The definition of refractory leukemia was also expanded to include patients with any relapse of ALL with MRD ≥0.1% after reinduction attempt and
patients with newly-diagnosed ALL with persistent MRD ≥0.1% in bone marrow following high-risk ALL consolidation therapy.

Eligibility criteria included a Lansky/Karnofsky performance score ≥50, recovery from acute toxic effects of prior therapy, and no active infections. Patients had to be ≥2 weeks from prior cytotoxic therapy with the exception of maintenance-type ALL therapy for which there was no washout period. Intrathecal chemotherapy was allowed within 7 days of systemic therapy initiation. Patients had to be ≥7 or ≥14 days from short-acting or long-acting growth factor therapy, respectively, ≥7 days from biologic anti-neoplastic therapy, ≥30 days from cellular immunotherapy, and ≥3 half-lives from prior monoclonal antibody therapy. Patients also had to be ≥3 months from prior hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (HSCT) and without evidence of graft-versus-host disease. Patients receiving corticosteroids must have been on a stable or decreasing dose for 7 days prior to enrollment. Hydroxyurea use was permitted until 24 hours prior to the first dose of study chemotherapy.

Other eligibility requirements included a normal age-adjusted serum creatinine or glomerular filtration rate ≥70mL/min/1.73m², normal cardiac function defined by shortening fraction ≥27% or ejection fraction ≥50%, adequate pulmonary function with a baseline oxygen saturation >94% on room air, and adequate liver function defined as total bilirubin ≤1.5 times, GGT ≤2.5 times, ALT and AST ≤3 times the institutional upper limits of normal for age. Fasting serum triglyceride and cholesterol were required to be ≤300 mg/dL, and a fasting glucose had to be within normal limits for age.

This phase 1 study was registered at www.clinicaltrials.gov (NCT01614197) and approved by the local institutional review boards at all participating centers. Written informed consent (and assent as appropriate) was obtained for treatment and for optional correlative biology studies from patients ≥18 years or parents/legal guardians of children aged <18 years according to institutional policies and in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.
Drug administration and study design

The primary objectives of the study were (1) to determine the maximum tolerated dose (MTD) or highest tested dose (HTD) of temsirolimus administered in combination with cyclophosphamide and etoposide in pediatric and young adult patients with relapsed/refractory ALL and (2) to define the DLTs and describe other serious toxicities of temsirolimus when combined with cyclophosphamide and etoposide. The secondary objectives were to (1) determine the complete response (CR) rate, (2) measure MRD levels by flow cytometry after one cycle of therapy, and (3) evaluate single-cell pharmacodynamic PI3K/mTOR pathway inhibition in patients’ lymphoblasts during temsirolimus and chemotherapy administration.

A 3 + 3 patient cohort escalation design was used. Temsirolimus was supplied by Pfizer, Inc. Commercially-available cyclophosphamide (440 mg/m²) and etoposide (100 mg/m²) were administered intravenously once-daily on days 1-5. Temsirolimus was administered intravenously on day 1 (prior to chemotherapy) and as monotherapy on day 8 (Supplemental Figure 1). The starting dose of temsirolimus at dose level 1 (DL1) was 7.5 mg/m²/dose based upon the prior combination trial toxicity (15) and a lower dose than the Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved dose of 25 mg weekly for adults with renal cell carcinoma. Dose escalation to 10 mg/m²/dose (DL2), 15 mg/m²/dose (DL3, equivalent to FDA-approved adult dosing of 25 mg weekly), and 25 mg/m²/dose (DL4) was planned. Temsirolimus dosing was capped at a maximal body surface area of 2 m². No intra-patient dose escalation of temsirolimus was permitted. Intrathecal methotrexate was administered once via lumbar puncture on day -6 to 1 for all patients. If cerebrospinal fluid involvement was present (CNS2 or CNS3), intrathecal triple chemotherapy (cytarabine, hydrocortisone, methotrexate) was administered weekly until achievement of negative CSF (CNS1). Enrolled patients were not permitted to receive non-protocol anti-cancer therapy, including tyrosine kinase inhibitors, while on study. Each chemotherapy cycle was 29 days in length. If the patient had no evidence of progressive disease (defined as an increase of > 25% in the absolute number of bone marrow blasts or
development of new extramedullary disease) he or she could receive a second cycle if recovered from all relevant toxicities. Therapy-associated toxicities were monitored in all administered treatment cycles but were only evaluated for DLT in cycle 1.

Toxicities were graded according to the Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events version 4.03 (http://ctep.cancer.gov). Hematologic DLT for patients with ALL was defined as bone marrow aplasia at ≥day 42 not attributable to leukemic involvement. Non-hematologic DLTs were defined as treatment-related grade ≥3 adverse events at least possibly attributable to temsirolimus with exceptions for specific toxicities if they returned to grade ≤2 by day 36 of protocol therapy. Any toxicity resulting in temsirolimus dose omission or those attributable to temsirolimus that did not resolve to ≤grade 2 by day 36 were considered dose-limiting.

Guidelines from the International Consensus Conference on Toxicity were utilized to identify expected toxicities of the multi-agent chemotherapy backbone and to help define DLTs of combination therapy. Grade 3 and 4 laboratory abnormalities included in this category were electrolyte abnormalities, elevated hepatic function tests, hypoalbuminemia, hypofibrinogenemia, fasting hyperglycemia, hypercholesterolemia, and hypertriglyceridemia. Toxicities common in children with relapsed ALL were excepted from DLT criteria, including grade 3 constitutional (fatigue, malaise, dehydration, weight loss) or gastrointestinal toxicities (nausea, vomiting, anorexia, diarrhea, mucositis) and grade 3/4 fever, infection, and febrile neutropenia regardless of need for hospitalization.

Disease evaluations were obtained at baseline and at the end of each cycle of therapy. Complete response (CR) was defined as bone marrow morphology with <5% blasts (M1), no evidence of extramedullary disease, and recovery of peripheral blood counts (absolute neutrophil count [ANC] ≥500/μL and a platelet count ≥50,000/μL independent of transfusion). A CR with incomplete hematologic recovery (CRi) was achievement of an M1 marrow and absence of extramedullary disease without normalization of ANC and/or platelet count. Partial response (PR) was defined as clearance of peripheral blasts with 5-25% residual blasts in bone
marrow (M2) or an M1 bone marrow without complete eradication of extramedullary disease. Patients who failed to qualify as a CR, CRi, or PR were defined as stable disease (SD) or progressive disease (PD). Flow cytometric MRD assessment of bone marrow specimens with morphologic CR/CRi at end-cycle 1 was performed at the University of Washington. MRD <0.01% was considered negative.19,20

Pharmacodynamic (PD) analyses

Baseline and post-treatment peripheral blood and bone marrow specimens were obtained from consenting patients for assessment of in vitro and ex vivo inhibition of PI3K/mTOR pathway phosphoproteins within ALL cells via single-cell phosphoflow cytometry assays as described.15, 21 Peripheral blood samples were obtained at three time points: immediately prior to temsirolimus therapy (day 0), at day 3-5 of therapy after the first dose of temsirolimus, and at day 29 at end of re-induction therapy (Supplemental Figure 1). Specimens at each timepoint were processed immediately upon receipt and stored for subsequent batched phosphoflow cytometry analysis of all samples from each temsirolimus DL.

Results

Patient characteristics

Sixteen patients aged 2-19 years (median 10 years) were enrolled between June 2015 and September 2019 (Table 1). One patient chose not to initiate protocol therapy after signing consent and was thus not evaluable. Ten evaluable patients had relapsed/refractory B-ALL, and five had relapsed/refractory T-ALL (Table 2). One patient was in first relapse, eight were in second relapse, and six were in third or greater relapse, of whom two patients were refractory to prior therapy. Patients had previously undergone a median of three salvage chemotherapy regimens prior to study entry (range 2-7). Eight of 15 patients had relapsed after hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (HSCT), and four patients had received prior CD19-targeted chimeric
antigen receptor T-cell immunotherapy (CD19 targeted CAR T-cells). All patients had >25% bone marrow involvement (median 60% bone marrow blasts) and were CNS 1 (n=14) or CNS 2 (n=2) at enrollment. Six patients had unfavorable ALL-associated genetic alterations, including BCR-ABL1 rearrangement or Philadelphia chromosome-like kinase fusions. All evaluable patients completed one cycle of therapy, and two patients received a second cycle on study.

Toxicity assessment

No patient treated at DL1 or DL2 experienced DLT. A patient at DL3 developed dose-limiting pneumonitis and pleural and pericardial effusions without an infectious organism identified. Three additional patients were enrolled at DL3, none of whom experienced DLT. Based in part upon safety data in the first three dose level cohorts and real-time pharmacodynamic studies described below, temsirolimus dosing was subsequently explored at DL4 (above the FDA-approved adult dosing) in three patients for biology, toxicity, and response assessment with no DLTs observed.

Table 3 delineates non-dose-limiting grade 3/4 non-hematologic toxicities that were at least possibly attributed to temsirolimus and occurred in >10% of patients during cycle 1 therapy. The most common non-hematologic toxicities were febrile neutropenia (67%) and infection/sepsis (40%). The majority of blood infections were due to gram-positive cocci. Two gram-negative infections occurred; one patient had Pseudomonas aeruginosa bacteremia, urinary tract infection, and labial wound infection, and a second patient had Klebsiella pneumoniae bacteremia. Three patients had Clostridium difficile-associated enterocolitis. Four patients had viral infections caused by rhinovirus (n=2), influenza B (n=1), and enterovirus (n=1). Electrolyte and metabolic abnormalities included hyperkalemia (40%), hypophosphatemia (20%), tumor lysis syndrome (20%), and hyperglycemia (13%). Gastrointestinal toxicities included mucositis (27%), ALT elevation (27%), abdominal pain (20%), and elevated GGT (13%). Hypertriglyceridemia and hypercholesterolemia were not observed.
Response

Clinical responses were observed in patients treated at all DLs of temsirolimus (Table 2). Overall response rate (ORR; comprised of CR+CRi+PR) was 47%, occurring in three of five patients with T-ALL and four of ten patients with B-ALL. Three of the four patients with CR or CRi also had MRD <0.01%, and two of these patients underwent subsequent allogeneic HSCT.

Pharmacodynamic studies

Peripheral blood samples from consenting patients with B-ALL (n=7) and T-ALL (n=2) were obtained from patients treated at DL1 (n=1), DL2 (n=1), DL3 (n=6), and DL4 (n=1) and analyzed by leukemia cell-specific phosphoflow cytometry. We observed basal activation of PI3K/mTOR pathway phosphoproteins in all tested samples at the day 0 pre-treatment time point (Figure 1). Although limited by small numbers, a trend towards dose-dependent in vivo inhibition of phosphosignaling was detected in studied specimens at 3-5 days after the first dose of temsirolimus (Figure 2; summary data in Supplemental Figure 2). In vitro incubation of pre-treatment and day 3-5 blood samples with the mTOR inhibitor rapamycin performed to define maximal achievable PI3K pathway signaling inhibition for each patient’s leukemia cells further supported clinical temsirolimus dose escalation with greatest inhibition detected in vivo at a patient treated at DL4 (Figure 2). Correlative blood specimens were not submitted for three of the four patients who achieved CR/CRi, so it was unfortunately not possible to assess potential correlation of PD signaling inhibition with clinical responses.

Recommended phase 2 dose determination

Based upon safety data with DLT assessment, pharmacodynamic assay results, and evaluation of dosing higher than that approved by the FDA in the DL4 cohort, temsirolimus 15 mg/m² (DL3) in combination with cyclophosphamide/etoposide was selected as the recommended phase 2 dose for future clinical trial investigation.
**Discussion**

Therapy for children and adolescents with multiply-relapsed ALL is hampered by low remission rates and significant risk of morbidity and mortality with intensive salvage therapy.\(^{13-15, 22-24}\) Many promising molecularly-targeted agents have been approved for adults with a variety of cancers, but these likely must be combined with multi-agent cytotoxic chemotherapy regimens to improve long-term survival appreciably in children with ALL. The TACL 2014-001 phase 1 trial was conducted to evaluate the safety and tolerability of the mTOR inhibitor temsirolimus in combination with the commonly used cyclophosphamide and etoposide salvage regimen for relapsed/refractory ALL.

In this study, we observed acceptable safety and tolerability of temsirolimus on this backbone regimen, as well as clinical responses in nearly half of treated patients. Due to the observed prior toxicity of temsirolimus with other combination chemotherapy regimens in early-phase pediatric oncology trials, we chose *a priori* to decrease the number of weekly doses of temsirolimus from three to two when designing this protocol. An identical overall response rate (47%) in this trial using two doses of temsirolimus on the cyclophosphamide/etoposide backbone was reported on the COG ADVL1114 trial initially using three (and then two) doses of temsirolimus combined with the more toxic UK ALLR3 reinduction chemotherapy platform.\(^{15}\) We demonstrate that two weekly temsirolimus doses may be sufficiently effective on a less toxic chemotherapy backbone in a similar relapsed/refractory pediatric population. Although no DLTs were observed in the three patients treated at DL4 (25 mg/m\(^2\)/dose; HTD), the RP2D of temsirolimus in combination with cyclophosphamide and etoposide from this trial is 15 mg/m\(^2\)/dose (DL3), which is consistent with the defined RP2D in adult patients. Given the observed intolerability of lower temsirolimus dosing with more intensive chemotherapy in our prior ADVL1114 phase 1 clinical trial,\(^{15}\) temsirolimus 15 mg/m\(^2\)/dose x 2 doses represents an appropriately cautious recommendation for incorporation into ALL salvage regimens.
Combining temsirolimus with cyclophosphamide and etoposide avoided many severe combinatorial toxicities, such as hyperglycemia, hypertriglyceridemia, mucositis, and poor wound healing, reported by earlier studies combining mTOR inhibition with other intensive chemotherapy regimens. A pediatric phase 1 trial of temsirolimus combined with irinotecan and temozolomide in children with relapsed/refractory solid tumors similarly required modification to exclude patients on concomitant steroids due to dose-limiting hyperlipidemia. However, combination of the oral mTOR inhibitor everolimus with a four-drug reinduction in children with first relapse of ALL in the DFCI trial 11-237 appeared well-tolerated with less metabolic toxicity, suggesting that the number of relapses and therapy lines may also influence toxicity. Several recent relapsed pediatric ALL trials and reviews have reported 4-5% mortality rates and 45-92% rate of grade 3-4 infections during re-induction therapy. On our trial, expected rates of febrile neutropenia (67%) and grade 3/4 infection (40%) were observed with viral and bacterial etiologies.

Clinical responses were observed in patients treated at every dose level with an ORR of 47% and a CR/CRi of 27% and did not appear to be temsirolimus dose-related, further supporting the selected RP2D. Achievement of MRD-negative remission with study therapy also facilitated subsequent allogeneic HSCT in 2 patients. Although MRD-negative CRs are the “gold standard” to enable consolidative HSCT, PRs or even SD in patients with B-ALL can serve as meaningful clinical outcomes that enable disease stability as a bridge to CAR T cell immunotherapy during the manufacturing process. Although limited by small numbers, the CR rate of patients with T-ALL (40%, one of whom achieved morphologic remission remained MRD positive) in this study was encouraging given the historic difficulty in successful salvage of children with relapsed T-ALL. Not unexpectedly, higher rates of clinical response occurred in patients in second relapse (6 of 9) versus those in third or greater relapse (1 of 6), which is consistent with prior literature. Four of eight patients who had relapsed after allogeneic HSCT responded to the temsirolimus, cyclophosphamide, and etoposide study regimen,
whereas the four patients with B-ALL who had relapsed after CD19 targeted CAR T-cells had progressive disease on the current trial.

The protocol was amended to incorporate more modern pediatric definitions of relapse including morphologic disease of ≥ 5% or COG certified flow cytometry MRD of ≥ 0.1% in accordance with a recent international consensus study by the Ponte-di-Legno Consortium. Despite the study amendment all patients who enrolled on TACL2014-001 had ≥ 25% bone marrow involvement. Future leukemia trials will need to assess if patients with lower disease burden at time of enrollment using more modern definitions of relapse will have differential response rates and/or less trial toxicity when treated with lower disease burden.

In summary, we report that the mTOR inhibitor temsirolimus can be safely administered as 2 weekly doses in combination with five days of cyclophosphamide and etoposide, a commonly used chemotherapy backbone regimen for patients with relapsed/refractory ALL, with a temsirolimus RP2D of 15 mg/m²/dose. Our small correlative pharmacodynamic dataset also identified elevated basal PI3K/mTOR phosphoprotein levels in all studied patients with seemingly dose-dependent in vivo signaling inhibition post-temsirolimus detected. The contribution of temsirolimus to the responses observed in our study seems biologically plausible given known mTOR pathway activation in children with relapsed ALL and merits more detailed exploration in future larger studies. Future trials may also explore targeting of more proximal or multiple proteins in the PI3K/mTOR pathway or combination of mTOR inhibitors with other signaling pathway inhibitors, such as JAK- or ABL-targeted inhibitors with goals of improving deep remission rates and possibly less dependence on conventional cytotoxic chemotherapy to achieve cure.
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### Table 1. Summary of characteristics of patients enrolled on TACL 2014-001.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>N=15</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years) at enrollment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>2-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>8 (53%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian/Pacific Islander</td>
<td>2 (14%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black or African American</td>
<td>1 (7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other/Unknown</td>
<td>4 (26%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Hispanic</td>
<td>7 (47%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>7 (47%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>1 (6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior Therapy Regimens</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>2 – 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior transplant</td>
<td>8/15 (53%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior CAR T-cell</td>
<td>4/15 (27%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Disease status and clinical responses of patients treated on TACL 2014-001.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dose Level</th>
<th>USI</th>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Relapse #</th>
<th>ALL-Associated Genetic Alterations</th>
<th>End-Cycle 1 Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DL1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>B-ALL</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Complex cytogenetics</td>
<td>PR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>B-ALL</td>
<td>&gt;4</td>
<td>ETV6-RUNX1</td>
<td>PD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>T-ALL</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>CRi, MRD 2.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DL2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>B-ALL</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>KMT2A rearrangement</td>
<td>CR, MRD &lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>T-ALL</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>B-ALL</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>BCR-ABL1</td>
<td>PR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DL3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>B-ALL</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>PD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9*</td>
<td>B-ALL</td>
<td>3 ref</td>
<td>EBF1-PDGFRB</td>
<td>SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>T-ALL</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>PR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>B-ALL</td>
<td>3 ref</td>
<td>ETV6-RUNX1</td>
<td>PD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>B-ALL</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>P2RY8-CRLF2</td>
<td>CR, MRD &lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>B-ALL</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>RCSD1-ABL2</td>
<td>PD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DL4</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>T-ALL</td>
<td>&gt;4</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>T-ALL</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>CRi, MRD &lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>B-ALL</td>
<td>&gt;3</td>
<td>Ph-like ABL class</td>
<td>PD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* = patient with dose limiting toxicity, ALL = acute lymphoblastic leukemia, CR = complete response, CRi = complete response with incomplete platelet recovery, DL = dose level, MRD= minimal residual disease, n/a = not available, PD = progressive disease, ref=refractory, SD= stable disease, USI = unique specimen identifier.
Table 3. Non-dose-limiting non-hematologic toxicities related to protocol therapy and observed in >10% of evaluable patients (n=15).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Toxicity</th>
<th>Grade 1/2</th>
<th>Grade 3</th>
<th>Grade 4</th>
<th>% Gr 3/4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Febrile neutropenia</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infection/Sepsis(^1)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperkalemia</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mucositis</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALT increase</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypophosphatemia</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor lysis syndrome</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal pain</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GGT increased</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperglycemia</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypoxia</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\) Three patients had multiple infectious incidents; one with the pseudomonas at 3 different sites, and two with grade 3 *Clostridium difficile* enterocolitis. Maximum infection grade included on table.
FIGURE LEGENDS:

Figure 1. Constitutive activation of PI3K pathway signaling in children with relapsed/refractory ALL enrolled on TACL2014-001. Pre-treatment (basal, day 0) peripheral blood samples were obtained from study patients for single-cell phosphoflow cytometry analysis of PI3K pathway and other phosphoproteins as previously described. Pre-treatment blood specimens from most patients show basal activation of multiple PI3K/mTOR pathway phosphoproteins in gated human leukemia cells (CD45+/CD19+ B-ALL or CD45+/CD3+ for T-ALL) when compared to fluorescence-minus-one (FMO)-stained control cells. Solid symbols = patients with partial response, stable disease, or progressive disease after cycle 1. Black-ringed symbols = complete response.

Figure 2. Abrogation of constitutively-activated PI3K/mTOR pathway signaling with temsirolimus therapy. Pre-treatment (basal, day 0) and post-treatment (day 3-5) levels of PI3K/mTOR pathway phosphoproteins were measured as median fluorescence intensity (MFI) by single-cell phosphoflow cytometry in gated B-ALL or T-ALL cells in peripheral blood specimens from TACL2014-001 patients. Phosphoprotein inhibition in peripheral blood ALL cells at day 3-5 of therapy after the first dose of temsirolimus in comparison to basal phosphoprotein levels is shown for each patient treated at the designated dose levels (DL1, DL2, DL3, DL4). MFI data were normalized intra-patient to pre-treatment levels of each phosphoprotein. Central horizontal solid lines depict mean phosphoprotein inhibition for inter-patient comparison. Dotted line set at y=0 indicates no change in phosphoprotein from baseline. Solid symbols = patients with partial response, stable disease, or progressive disease after cycle 1. Black-ringed symbols = complete response. Summary pharmacodynamic data of all dose levels are shown in Supplemental Figure 2.
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Schema of clinical trial drug dosing and patient specimen sampling schedule. Pediatric patients with relapsed/refractory ALL enrolled on the TACL2014-001 phase 1 clinical trial underwent baseline bone marrow aspiration/biopsy at study entry to determine level of bone marrow involvement. Consenting patients also provided peripheral blood specimens pre-treatment (day 0) and post-treatment (days 3-5 and day 29) for pharmacodynamic (PD) assays of in vivo signaling inhibition by single-cell phosphoflow cytometry analyses. Patients were treated with cyclophosphamide (C) 440 mg/m² and etoposide (E) 100 mg/m² daily IV on days 1-5 and with temsirolimus IV on days 1 and 8 at the cohort-specified dosing. Patients were assessed for dose-limiting toxicity (DLT) of the temsirolimus/cyclophosphamide/etoposide regimen through day 29 of cycle 1 and underwent repeat bone marrow aspiration/biopsy at end-cycle 1. Patients with at least partial response to the treatment regimen were permitted to receive a second cycle of therapy.
Summary data of temsirolimus-induced phosphosignaling inhibition in treated patients. Pre-treatment (basal) and post-treatment levels of PI3K/mTOR pathway phosphoproteins were measured as median fluorescence intensity (MFI) by single-cell phosphoflow cytometry in gated B-ALL or T-ALL cells in peripheral blood specimens from TACL 2014-001 patients. Phosphoprotein inhibition in peripheral blood ALL cells at Day 3-5 of therapy after the first dose of temsirolimus in comparison to basal phosphoprotein levels is shown for each patient treated at all dose levels (DL1, DL2, DL3, DL4) in this comprehensive summary display of individual dose level data shown in Figure 1. MFI data were normalized intra-patient to pre-treatment levels of each phosphoprotein. Central horizontal lines depict mean phosphoprotein inhibition for inter-patient comparison. Solid symbols = patients with stable or progressive disease after cycle 1, black-ringed symbols = complete response.