Rational drug combinations with CDK4/6 inhibitors in acute lymphoblastic leukemia

by Karen L. Bride, Hai Hu, Anastasia Tikhonova, Tori J. Fuller, Tiffaney L. Vincent, Rawan Shraim, Marilyn M. Li, William L. Carroll, Elizabeth A. Raetz, Iannis Aifantis, and David T. Teachey

Received: June 15, 2021.
Accepted: December 16, 2021.

Citation: Karen L. Bride, Hai Hu, Anastasia Tikhonova, Tori J. Fuller, Tiffaney L. Vincent, Rawan Shraim, Marilyn M. Li, William L. Carroll, Elizabeth A. Raetz, Iannis Aifantis, and David T. Teachey. Rational drug combinations with CDK4/6 inhibitors in acute lymphoblastic leukemia.

Publisher's Disclaimer.
E-publishing ahead of print is increasingly important for the rapid dissemination of science. Haematologica is, therefore, E-publishing PDF files of an early version of manuscripts that have completed a regular peer review and have been accepted for publication. E-publishing of this PDF file has been approved by the authors. After having E-published Ahead of Print, manuscripts will then undergo technical and English editing, typesetting, proof correction and be presented for the authors' final approval; the final version of the manuscript will then appear in a regular issue of the journal. All legal disclaimers that apply to the journal also pertain to this production process.
Working title: Rational drug combinations with CDK4/6 inhibitors in acute lymphoblastic leukemia

Authors: Karen L. Bride\(^1\), Hai Hu\(^2\), Anastasia Tikhonova\(^3\), Tori J. Fuller\(^4\), Tiffaney L. Vincent\(^4\), Rawan Shraim\(^4\), Marilyn M. Li\(^4\), William L. Carroll\(^2\), Elizabeth A. Raetz\(^2\), Iannis Aifantis\(^2\), David T. Teachey\(^4\).

\(^*=\text{equal contribution}\)

Affiliations
\(^1\) Department of Pediatrics, Division of Hematology/Oncology and Cellular Therapy, Cohen Children’s Medical Center, New Hyde Park, New York
\(^2\) Perlmutter Cancer Center and Department of Pediatrics, NYU Langone Health, NY, NY, USA
\(^3\) Princess Margaret Cancer Centre, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada
\(^4\) Children’s Hospital of Philadelphia; Philadelphia, PA, USA

Author Contributions
K.L.B., H.H., T.F., T.L.V., A.T., I.A. and D.T.T. designed the study, analyzed data and wrote the manuscript; K.L.B., H.H., T.F., T.L.V., R.S., M.M.L. and A.T. contributed to data acquisition and manuscript editing; W.L.C. and E.A.R. contributed to study design and manuscript editing.
Abstract:

Despite improvements in outcomes for children with B and T-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia (B-ALL and T-ALL), patients with resistant or relapsed disease fare poorly. Previous studies have demonstrated the essential role of cyclin D3 in T-ALL disease initiation and progression and that targeting of the CDK4/6-cyclin D complex can suppress T-ALL proliferation, leading to efficient cell death in animal models. Studies in leukemia and other malignancies, suggest that schedule is important when combining CDK4/6 inhibitors (CDKis) with cytotoxic agents. Based on these observations, we broadened evaluation of two CDKis, palbociclib (PD-0332991, Pfizer) and ribociclib (LEE011, Novartis) in B and T-ALL as single agent and in combination with conventional cytotoxic chemotherapy, using different schedules in preclinical models. As monotherapy, CDKis caused cell cycle arrest with a significant decrease in S phase entry and were active in vivo across a broad number of patient-derived xenograft samples. Prolonged monotherapy induces resistance, for which we identified a potential novel mechanism using transcriptome profiling. Importantly, simultaneous but not sequential treatment of CDKis with conventional chemotherapy (dexamethasone, L-asparaginase and vincristine) led to improved efficacy compared to monotherapy in vivo. We provide novel evidence that combining CDKis and conventional chemotherapy can be safe and effective. These results led to the rational design of a clinical trial.
Introduction

Acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL), the most common pediatric malignancy, is a biologically heterogeneous disease with multiple different subtypes characterized by genetic alterations that result in deregulation of hematopoietic transcription factors, epigenetic modifiers, cytokine receptors and tyrosine kinases (1). While the overall prognosis for children and young adults with ALL has improved, outcomes for patients with some ALL subtypes remain poor despite intensification of cytotoxic chemotherapy.

Deregulated cell cycle progression is a hallmark feature of cancer cells. Cyclin-dependent kinases, CDK4 and CDK6 (CDK4/6) compose the core machinery governing the progression through the early G1 phase of the cell cycle. In complex with cyclin D, CDK4/6 promote cell cycle progression through at least two functions: hyper-phosphorylation of the retinoblastoma protein (RB) thereby uncoupling the CDK4/6-cyclin D complex from the E2F transcription factors; and interaction with cell cycle inhibitors, p21cip1 and p27kip, which promotes activation of the CDK2/cyclin E complex (2). The catalytic activity of CDK4 or CDK6 regulates a critical checkpoint for the G1-S transition and the commitment to cell division. As a consequence, gain of function of the G1-S checkpoint’s cyclin-CDK complexes is a major driver in a large number of human cancers.

CDK4/6 inhibition has been explored as a means to bypass the deregulated cell cycle progression common in cancer pathogenesis. While CDK4/6 is rarely mutated in human cancers, aberrations that lead to the loss of proliferative controls include overexpression of CDK4 itself, amplification of the D-type cyclins, down-regulation of p16\(^{INK4A}\), mutations in CDK4 that prevent p16\(^{INK4A}\) binding to the enzyme, and deletion or mutation of RB1 itself (3-6). The frequency of these alterations implies that abrogation of the G1 checkpoint or progression through the CDK4/cyclin D pathway provides a distinct advantage to cancer cell proliferation.
and survival. Deletions of CDKN2A/B and RB1 are common in ALL, with CDKN2A/B deletions occurring in 70% of T-ALL and 36% of B-ALL. Moreover, RB1 suppressor gene deletions occur in 12% in T-ALL and 8% in B-ALL (7-11). Deletions involving CDKN2A/B are also common in BCR-ABL1+ ALL, and genetic alterations involving the RB1 gene are hallmarks of low hypodiploid ALL (12, 13).

Based on these observations, a number of CDK4/6 selective inhibitors have been developed, including ribociclib (LEE011) and palbociclib (PD0332991). Both are more selective for the ATP-binding pocket of the kinase domain compared to previous non-selective cell cycle inhibitors, with significantly less off-target effects and fewer dose-limiting toxicities (14, 15). Palbociclib has progressed furthest in the clinic, having received FDA approval for estrogen-receptor (ER-positive), HER2-negative post-menopausal breast cancer patients in combination with endocrine therapy (16). Previous studies suggest induction of early $G_1$ arrest by CDK inhibitors (CDKIs) requires retinoblastoma (Rb), and this arrest is reversible in vitro and in vivo (4, 17). Therefore, amplification of CDK6 and cyclin E1 are able to bypass dependency on cyclin D1/CDK4 signaling, while RB1 loss provides intrinsic resistance to CDKis in preclinical studies. Overall, the selectivity and reversibility of cell-cycle inhibition by CDKis and their clinical efficacy, provide a safe and effective approach to target specific phases of the cell cycle in cancer.

Since the majority of conventional chemotherapeutics target actively cycling cells, some studies suggest combinations of CDKis with cytotoxic chemotherapy could be antagonistic (14, 18-20). Thus, it is crucial to determine efficacy of CDKis, and understand the optimal schedule in order to combine them safely and effectively with chemotherapy.

We investigated the activity of CDKis in ALL preclinical models. We found that B-ALL and T-ALL blasts were sensitive to these inhibitors via concentration-dependent cell cycle arrest in vitro.
We then tested various dosing schedules of CDKis with conventional cytotoxic chemotherapy agents typically used in upfront therapy for B-ALL and T-ALL, including glucocorticoids, vincristine and L-asparaginase in vivo using patient-derived xenograft (PDX) models. Importantly, we provide divergent evidence from prior in vitro studies evaluating timing of CDKis and conventional chemotherapy and find that CDKis enhance combination therapy in ALL when administered concurrently rather than in sequence. These data prompted the phase I clinical trial, NCT03792256, evaluating palbociclib in combination with chemotherapy for children with relapsed ALL or lymphoblastic lymphoma.

**Methods**

**Reagents and cell lines**

Human cell lines were obtained from Deutsche Sammlung von Mikroorganismen und Zellkulteren (DSMZ, Braunschweig, Germany) and maintained in RPMI 1640 supplemented with 10-20% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (Gibco, Thermo-Scientific). All cell lines were frozen and low passage cells were used for all experiments.

**Western blotting**

Cells were lysed and 10-15 μg were prepared [22], resolved by electrophoresis on NuPAGE 4-12% SDS-PAGE gradient gels (Invitrogen) and transferred to polyvinylidene fluoride membranes (Invitrogen). Immunoblotting was performed with primary antibodies: RB, pRB^{S780}, pRB^{S807/810}, Cyclin D3, p21 (Cell Signaling Technologies). Bound antibody was detected using Western Chemiluminescence Reagent (PerkinElmer).

**Compounds**

Ribociclib (LEE011) was generously provided by Novartis Inc. (Basel, Switzerland). Palbociclib was provided by Pfizer Inc. (New York, NY). Clinical grade chemotherapy agents were
purchased from commercial vendors: vincristine, Teva (Petah Tikva, Israel); L-asparaginase, Jazz pharmaceuticals (Dublin, Ireland); dexamethasone, Fresenius Kabi (Bad Homburg vor der Hohe, Germany); and everolimus, Novartis. Vincristine, L-asparaginase and dexamethasone dosing per previous studies (21, 22). Everolimus was dosed at 2.5 mg/kg and 5 mg/kg by oral gavage based on prior reports in preclinical models of ALL (23).

**Flow cytometry**

Cells were treated with vehicle versus palbociclib or ribociclib for 48-72 hours, labeled with BrdU for 1-hour and processed for flow cytometry, on an Accuri CSampler flow cytometer (BD Accuri Cytometers), and analysis was performed with FlowJo software (Tree Star, Ashland, OR). Engraftment and serial disease evaluation were determined by flow cytometric analysis of blood using antibodies against human CD19 and CD45 for B-ALL and CD45 for T-ALL (24).

**Xenograft therapeutic trials**

**Patient samples:** Banked diagnostic specimens (lymphoblasts from peripheral blood or bone marrow) were obtained from patients enrolled on the Children’s Oncology Group (COG) study P9906 or from the Stem Cell and Leukemia Core at the Children’s Hospital of Philadelphia or Columbia Presbyterian Hospital. All samples were obtained after informed consent was given in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (24, 25).

**Patient-derived xenografts:** Patient-derived xenograft (PDX) models using nonobese diabetic/severe combined immunodeficiency (NOD/SCID/Il2rg<sup>−1wjl/SzJ</sup>) (NSG) mice were developed as previously described (24-26). All experiments were conducted in accordance to protocols approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee and Institutional Review Board of the Children’s Hospital of Philadelphia (CHOP) and New York University School of Medicine (NYU). Samples were derived from patients with de novo ALL.
**RNA-seq and data analysis**

RNA-seq of native Jurkat and palbociclib-resistant Jurkat cells were performed at the NYU School of Medicine Genome Technology Core. STAR 2.4.2a was applied to align the RNA-seq samples to the reference mouse genome (mm9) and count the number of reads that map to each gene in the ensemble GRCm38.80 gene model. R (v.3.5.1) (http://www.R-project.org/) and DESeq2 package (v1.10.0) were used to perform differential gene expression analysis among different sample groups.

**Statistical analysis:**

Statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism version 7. Results were analyzed for statistical significance using repeated measures 2-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) of peripheral blast counts (p values represent the interaction between time and treatment). Spleen blast counts were analyzed by 2-sided t test.

**Results**

**CDK4/6 signaling is hyperactive in B-ALL**

To confirm that genomic aberrations translate to aberrant CDK4/6 signaling within the Cyclin D/CDK4/CDK6/RB pathway, we examined the activation status of RB in a comprehensive panel of well-characterized human B-ALL cell lines and patient samples. As shown in Figure 1A, robust phosphorylation of RB at serines 780 and 807/810 – residues directly targeted by CDK4 and CDK6 – was observed in all tested cell lines, and protein-level expression of CDK4, CDK6 and Cyclin D3 (CCND3) occurred in the majority of cell lines and patient samples. Jurkat, a T-ALL cell line was used as a positive control given previously published data demonstrating T-ALL sensitivity to CDK inhibitors (20).
B-ALL is sensitive to CDK4/6 inhibition \textit{in vitro}

Given the observation that CDK4/6 signaling is highly active in B-ALL, thus maintaining hyperphosphorylated RB and supporting cell-cycle progression through the G1-S checkpoint, we evaluated the effect of selective CDK4/6 inhibition on B-ALL cell lines \textit{in vitro} (Table 1). Palbociclib (Figure 1B) and ribociclib (Figure 1C) induce significant growth arrest in G1 across a broad range of concentrations (0.5 to 4.0 μM) in a panel of cell lines (REH, MHH CALL4, and KOPN8) (Figure 1B-C, Figure S1). Jurkat was used as a positive control. In spite of robust phosphorylated RB expression, the sensitivity to CDK4/6 inhibition was variable in cell lines.

In order to confirm that the growth inhibition observed in sensitive cell lines reflected targeted impairment of CDK4/6 signaling, we analyzed the levels of phosphorylated RB following treatment with either palbociclib or ribociclib (Figure 1D). Correspondingly, the amount of phosphorylated-RB decreased with increasing concentrations of palbociclib or ribociclib. While both CDKis induced cell cycle arrest via inhibition of phospho-Rb, palbociclib is more potent at lower concentrations compared to ribociclib \textit{in vitro}. However, based on expected comparable PK/PD in humans, these agents are considered equivalent in efficacy at their respective appropriate doses (27). Further, these two drugs are similar in their selectivity of CDK4/6 inhibition.

CDK4/6 inhibition causes growth inhibition \textit{in vivo}

We assayed for single agent \textit{in vivo} efficacy using PDX models of T-ALL and B-ALL. In the two T-ALL samples, CDKi treatment markedly decreased the number of human CD45\(^+\) (hCD45+) cells (Figure 2C) with a correlated prolonged overall survival in comparison to vehicle (Figure 2E). Similar to \textit{in vitro} studies, this treatment regimen appropriately induced profound cell cycle arrest of hCD45\(^+\) leukemic cells \textit{in vivo} (Figure 2D). Of note, the difference in palbociclib dosing
was related to increased diarrhea in the B-ALL PDX models that was not seen in the T-ALL
PDX.

In B-ALL, leukemia progression was significantly inhibited in some of the samples but not all
(Figure 3). In 6 of the 7 unique PDX samples tested, tumor growth was significantly impacted in
spleen and/or blood. The 7 PDX represented a heterogeneous group of high-risk B-ALL patient
samples (Table 1). All of the tested samples had high levels of Rb therefore did not vary in the
activation status of cyclin D, nor had any obvious biomarker to predict efficacy. In both subtypes
(B- and T-ALL xenografts), the dosing strategy was well tolerated with no evidence of weight
loss of other signs of toxicity.

**Cyclin D3 overexpression confers palbociclib resistance**

To explore potential *de novo* mechanisms of resistance to palbociclib treatment, we treated a
human T-ALL cell line with low dose (0.1μM) palbociclib *in vitro*, but gradually escalated to a
final dose of 2.8μM, a process that lasted 52 weeks, generating palbociclib resistant T-ALL cells
(Figure 4A). Strikingly, resistant cells have higher rates of cycling cells (Figure 4B). This finding
suggests that the resistant cells are adapting to treatment by identifying alternative ways to
boost cell cycle progression. In agreement with this notion, we were able to demonstrate that
resistant cells do not decrease levels of phospho-RB (pRB) in response to palbociclib treatment
(Figure 4C). In fact, we detect significantly elevated basal levels of Cyclin D3 protein (CCND3),
which suggests a potential mechanism of resistance. To further probe for such resistance
mechanisms we performed RNA-Seq of sensitive and resistant Jurkat cells at steady-state and
after palbociclib treatment (Figure 4D). We found sensitive Jurkat cells at baseline (steady-
state) had lower levels of cell-cycle related genes as compared with resistant cells. Moreover,
we found a significant down-regulation of cell-cycle related genes including cyclins A2, B1, B2
and E2; CDK1, 2 and 4 and E2F transcription factors (E2F1, 2, 7), in sensitive cells, similar to previous work in other malignancies (28). In resistant cells, expression of cell-cycle related genes was only modestly decreased when treated with palbociclib. These experiments suggest that palbociclib-resistant cells have adapted to treatment by boosting cell cycle entry and progression through the upregulation of a number of essential regulators, including CCND3, CDKs and members of the E2F family.

**Combination therapy of palbociclib was enhanced with concurrent administration of standard chemotherapy compared to sequential treatment**

Given the suggestion that timing may be a critical component of efficacy with combination therapy, we tested pretreatment of palbociclib versus concurrent administration on disease burden in two high risk B-ALL PDXs (JH561 and NH011) and one T-ALL xenograft (Cul76). These samples were chosen given their known risk for having inferior prognosis and modest response to conventional cytotoxic chemotherapy.

The treatment schema for combinations in B-ALL is shown in Figure 5. In order to closely mimic agents typically used in conventional therapy for B-ALL we tested dexamethasone, vincristine and L-asparaginase. Single agent vincristine (1 mg/kg) or dexamethasone (7.5 mg/kg) were too effective on their own to delineate a differential impact of combination therapy, when they were given at a dose that mirrors the PK/PD of the dose used in children with ALL. Therefore, we performed a titration of single drug *in vivo*, determining the concentration where single agent decreased disease burden by about 50% (IC50) of the maximum dose in the peripheral blood. Average IC50 of three independent determinations were performed (VCR at 0.5 mg/kg, 0.25, 0.1 mg/kg and 0.05 mg/kg; dexamethasone at 4, 2 and 1 mg/kg; data not shown). IC50 doses for each drug include vincristine at 0.1 mg/kg intraperitoneally (IP) weekly, dexamethasone at 2 mg/kg IP daily and L-asparaginase at 5000 IU/kg IP weekly.
As shown in Figure 6 (and Figure S2), simultaneous administration of combination therapy (cytotoxic agent + palbociclib) was superior to monotherapy with any of the individual cytotoxics (dexamethasone, vincristine, or L-asparaginase) or palbociclib alone in both B-ALL PDX models. In contrast, sequential treatment with palbociclib or vehicle followed by vincristine or L-asparaginase or vehicle did not exhibit an augmented disease response in combination. However, palbociclib followed by dexamethasone did show superiority of the combination over either single agent.

To verify the benefit of the simultaneous administration of palbociclib plus cytotoxics in T-ALL, we set up four cohorts of human T-ALL xenografted animals that received combination treatment for 4 weeks (Figure 7A). We found that combination therapy of palbociclib concurrently with vincristine led to a significant difference in disease burden (Figure 7B) and survival (Figure 7C) compared to monotherapy.

**Combination CDK4/6 and mTOR inhibitors is not effective in vivo against B-ALL**

The PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway is a key upstream regulator of cyclin D-CDK4/6 activity. Thus, agents that target PI3K/Akt/mTOR signaling including mTOR inhibitors have been studied in a number of malignancies (19). CDK4/6 inhibition has been demonstrated to overcome resistance to PI3K inhibition and endocrine therapy, providing a strong rationale for the combination of an mTOR inhibitor with CDKis. Recent *in vitro* data suggest the combination of everolimus and ribociclib may be more effective than either agent alone in ALL cell lines (20). We tested simultaneous combination therapy with palbociclib or ribociclib with everolimus in 4 different B-ALL PDX models (JH561, 240, POG/MLL, and NL482B), Figure S3. Inhibition of the mTOR pathway did not improve the response to CDK4/6 inhibition in any of the models.
Discussion

We provide evidence of single agent efficacy of palbociclib and ribociclib, two selective CDK4/6 inhibitors, in a heterogeneous range of B- and T-ALL samples, *in vitro* and *in vivo*. We show that RB phosphorylation via CDK4/6 signaling is prevalent in B-ALL cell lines and primary patient samples, reflected by high expression of *CDK4*, *CDK6* and *CCND1*, but there are likely other mechanisms of CDK4/6 hyperactivation. We demonstrate growth inhibition reflects G1 arrest and depletion of phosphorylated RB. Similar to other studies, RB expression appeared to be essential for sensitivity. However, each sample had varying levels of sensitivity suggesting there may be additional relevant biomarkers yet to be determined, to predict sensitivity to CDKis in B-ALL. Genomic alterations in the CDK pathway are common in ALL, with deletions in CDKN2A/B occurring with an incidence of 30-50% in childhood and adult ALL (29). The prognostic value has been widely investigated but the results remain unclear. While there is some suggestion that CDKN2A/B deletions have an adverse impact on overall survival (OS), these studies have been unable to determine if CDK alterations are independently prognostic of MRD or other sentinel genetic lesions, such as *BCR-ABL*, *ETV6-RUNX1*, in multi-variant analyses. In an attempt to associate CDKN2A/B deletion status to CDKi sensitivity (Table 1, Figure 3B), we did not identify a correlation, however were likely underpowered to make any definitive conclusions. We did identify a novel mechanism of acquired resistance to palbociclib treatment, related to upregulation of *CCND3*, CDKs and members of the E2F family. Future studies will need to investigate the mechanisms of intrinsic resistance to CDKis. We believe large scale genomic testing embedded in future clinical trials will be necessary to confirm and/or identify additional relevant biomarkers that confer sensitivity to these agents, and to determine if CDK pathway lesions are independently prognostic when considering other clinical and biologic variables.

Not surprisingly, a number of clinical studies across malignancies suggest single agent CDKis fail to provide durable responses, indicating combination therapies are needed. Efficacy was
more pronounced in T-ALL as compared to B-ALL in our preclinical models, suggesting CDKi may have single agent activity in T-ALL, but should only be tested in combination with other drugs in B-ALL in clinical trials. In fact, we noted higher baseline expression of CCND3 in B-ALL, which may partly explain the increased sensitivity of T-ALL blasts to monotherapy with CDKis as compared with B-ALL. Pikman et al provided recent evidence in T-ALL of synergistic combinations between ribociclib and corticosteroids and everolimus, while demonstrating ribociclib antagonizes a number of cytotoxic chemotherapy agents, including mercaptopurine and asparaginase in in vitro studies of T-ALL cell lines (20, 30). Importantly, our data highlight the need to investigate drug schedules using in vivo models, as in vitro models cannot replicate the complexities of drug metabolism, drug-drug interactions, bioavailability, and the microenvironment.

In contrast to other groups using in vitro models, we found simultaneous administration of CDKis with 3 different cytotoxic agents was superior to single agent alone, yet a similar benefit was not seen when CDKis were administered prior to cytotoxic chemotherapeutics. Importantly in order to determine if there was a benefit to combination therapy, the doses of some of the cytotoxic agents had to be reduced since they were too effective as single agents. This reflects one constraint of murine models as myelosuppression cannot be abrogated with supportive care such as transfusion, limiting dose intensity. Future studies should also include combinations of CDKis with other cytotoxic agents, including DNA damaging agents such as anthracyclines.

We also evaluated the combination of everolimus with CDKis as an alternative approach to treatment for patients with B-ALL. This is under clinical investigation in a phase I clinical trial at the Dana Farber Cancer Institute for relapsed ALL (NCT03740334), based on data provided by Pikman et al (20). Importantly, their initial preclinical study evaluated the combination of these drugs in T-ALL. Our data would suggest this combination is unlikely to be of benefit in B-ALL.
despite preclinical efficacy of single agent CDKis or mTORi in B-ALL preclinical studies. We found CDKis influenced phospho-S6 expression, as seen in Figure 1D, which may explain the lack of benefit from combination therapy.

In summary, this work provides a number of novel observations that may impact clinical care and trial design. We provide novel evidence of combination therapy with CDKis to enhance cytotoxic chemotherapy when used simultaneously but not in sequence. We demonstrate the combination of CDKis and mTORi does not merit exploration in B-ALL, despite promising preclinical data in T-ALL by other groups. We identified a novel mechanism of resistance to CDKis. Finally, we found CDKIs were effective in a biologically heterogeneous cohort of B-ALL preclinical models. The results of this work led to a recent early phase clinical trial for children and young adults with relapsed/refractory ALL (NCT03792256) and demonstrates the importance of performing rigorous preclinical studies to inform trials that include multi-agent therapy.
References

Table 1: Panel of B-and T-ALL cell lines and patient samples included in this study. A variety of ALL cell lines and patient samples were evaluated in these studies. * Indicates samples that underwent somatic genetic profiling as previously described (31). See supplement for details.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Xeno ID (USI)</th>
<th>Biology</th>
<th>CDKN2A/B deletion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>697</td>
<td>Cell line</td>
<td>E2A-PBX t(1;19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUP-B15</td>
<td>Cell line</td>
<td>Ph+ t(9;22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REH</td>
<td>Cell line</td>
<td>ETV6-RUNX1 t(12;21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MHH-CALL4</td>
<td>Cell line</td>
<td>Hypodiploid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KOPN8</td>
<td>Cell line</td>
<td>MLL-MLLT1 translocation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JH652 (PALLSD)</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>Ph-like: Jak mut (R683G), high CRLF2, IKZF1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JH561 (PAKRSL)</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>Ph-like: Jak mut (R683G), high CRLF2, IKZF1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POG2005-21761</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>MLL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH011 (PAKVKK)</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>Ph-like: NUP214-ABL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NL482A (PAKYEP)</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>IKZF1, BCR/JAK2; wildtype JAK with low CRLF2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>240</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>del20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>359</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>Adult BCR-ABL (t9;22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2365</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>Relapsed BCR-ABL (t9;22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALL16 (T-ALL1)</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>Non-ETP T-ALL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cul76</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>Non-ETP T-ALL (STIL-TAL+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ETP8 (T-ALL2)</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>ETP T-ALL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Figure 1: CDKi induce G1 arrest in B-ALL cell lines in vitro.** A. We performed Western blot analysis of a panel of B-ALL cell lines (labeled in blue) and primary patient samples (labeled in green), which demonstrate high protein expression of CDK4, CDK6 and CCND3. Inhibitor p21 is also highly expressed. A T-ALL cell line, Jurkat (labeled in black), was used as a positive control given prior published data, however is known to be negative for p21 (40). B. Samples were treated for a minimum of 48-hours with either palbociclib (B) or ribociclib (C) prior to harvesting for flow cytometry. Percentage of cells arrested in G1 with varying doses of CDKis in 3 B-ALL cell lines: REH, MHH CALL4, and KOPN8. D. Corresponding dose-dependent inhibition by CDK inhibitors reflects loss of phosphorylated-RB, with no effect on total RB. Phosphorylated-S6, a downstream signaling marker was differentially affected with CDK inhibition in REH and KOPN8, but not MHH CALL4.

**Figure 2. CDKi suppress human primary T-ALL xenograft proliferation in vivo.**

A. Schematic representation of CDKi treatment course with single agent palbociclib at 75 mg/kg gavage versus vehicle. Dosing determined based on prior studies (28). B. A representation of flow cytometric analysis of T-ALL xenograft populations (hCD45+) in peripheral blood following 3 weeks of CDKi treatment. C. Flow cytometry analysis of T-ALL xenograft populations (hCD45+) in peripheral blood over the course of treatment. D. DAPI staining of 2 different human xenografts (ALL16 and ETP8) following 48-hour treatment with CDKi in vivo. E. Kaplan-Meier survival graph of the 2 T-ALL xenografts treated with CDKi.

**Figure 3: CDKis suppress human primary B-ALL xenograft proliferation in vivo.**

A. Schematic representation of CDKi administration with single agent palbociclib at 35 mg/kg or ribociclib at 150 mg/kg, gavage versus vehicle. Ribociclib dosing determined based on prior studies, and palbociclib dosing based on internal communication with Pfizer (28, 41, 42). B. Mice (5 mice per treatment group) were randomized to palbociclib (red hashed bars), or to
ribociclib (solid red bars) versus vehicle (blue bars), for 5 consecutive days per week until sacrifice (21-28 days). Peripheral blood blast cell counts are shown in the left panel. Splenic blast burden is shown in the right panel, with corresponding p-values. Two PDX models (2365 and 240), demonstrated significant inhibition of disease progression in blood and spleen, two PDX (359, JH561), demonstrated significant inhibition of disease progression in the peripheral blood but not in the spleen. And 2 PDX, JH562 and POG/MLL demonstrated significant disease inhibition in the spleen but not in the blood. One PDX model (NL482A) had no response.

**Figure 4. Cell cycle regulators are upregulated in CDKi-resistant T-ALL.**

A. Schematic representation of the method of generating *de novo* CDKi-resistant cells using palbociclib dose escalation. B. Cell cycle analysis of 1μM palbociclib treated parental and palbociclib-resistant cells. C. Western blotting of pRB and Cyclin D3 (CCND3) in parental and palbociclib-resistant cells. D. Differential expression of cell cycle genes in treatment of parental and CDKi-resistant cells.

**Figure 5: Treatment schema of pretreatment versus concurrent regimens *in vivo*.**

Treatment schema depicting the timing of each drug (vincristine, dexamethasone or L-asparaginase and/or CDKi) versus vehicle in the pretreatment and concurrent schema.

**Figure 6: Simultaneous and not sequential treatment of palbociclib with cytotoxic chemotherapy significantly inhibits disease burden in two Ph-like B-ALL samples *in vivo*.**

Pretreatment with palbociclib (palbo) versus vehicle for 7-days by oral gavage, followed by treatment by three common chemotherapy agents: vincristine (VCR, green), L-asparaginase (Asp, blue) or dexamethasone (Dex, red). Concurrent treatment of palbo versus vehicle with chemotherapy agents: VCR, Asp or Dex for the duration of the trial of 21 days. Peripheral blood
blast count (PBC) over time in two B-ALL PDX (JH561 and NH011). Graphed are means and standard error of PBC x 10⁶ per mL on the vertical axis and days of treatment on the X axis. Samples following the pretreatment schema are depicted in the left column, while concurrent treatment is shown in the right column. P-values were calculated using ANOVA to detect the difference between single agent either chemotherapy or CDKi compared to the combination of both drugs.

**Figure 7:** Simultaneous and not sequential treatment of palbociclib with cytotoxic chemotherapy significantly inhibits disease burden in one T-ALL sample *in vivo*. A. Schematic representation of CDKi and VCR combination regimen in a T-ALL xenograft (Cul76). Peripheral blood is taken at specific time points as shown. B. Flow cytometry analysis of peripheral blood analysis of human primary T-ALL PDX, Cul76, following palbo treatment. C. Kaplan-Meier survival graph of T-ALLs PDX treated with the combination therapy regimen over a period of 42 days.
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engrafted Day 7 Day 14 Day 21

CDK1 (palbociclib or ribociclib)

![Graphs showing the comparison of blast counts between vehicle and palbociclib or ribociclib treatments over different days.](image)

- **CDK1 inhibition** results in a significant reduction in blast counts compared to vehicle treatment.
- The reduction in blast counts is statistically significant at all time points for both palbociclib and ribociclib.

**Significance Levels:**
- p < 0.0001 for all comparisons except for JH352 at Day 14, which is p = 0.0527.
- Other comparisons with p-values: 0.0076, 0.0001, 0.0939, 0.0115, 0.0003, 0.4365.
Primary hB-ALL Pretreatment schema

**CDKi**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>veh - veh</td>
<td>Day 7 Day 14 Day 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>veh - chemo</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>palbo - veh</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>palbo - chemo</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Concurrent treatment schema

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>veh</td>
<td>Day 7 Day 14 Day 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>veh - chemo</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>palbo - veh</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>palbo - chemo</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Supplement**

**Methods**

Patient derived xenograft models were generated as previously described. For drug treatment experiments, primary leukemia cells from peripheral blood or bone marrow were intravenously injected in to NSG mice. Splenocytes harvested from successfully engrafted xenografts were reinjected (10⁶ cells/mouse) to create secondary and tertiary xenografts for treatment trials. After injection of leukemia blasts, mice were bled weekly. Engraftment was determined by flow cytometric analysis of peripheral blood using antibodies against human CD45. Once mice had >1% peripheral blood blasts as determined by flow cytometry, the mice were randomized to receive/not receive drug.

**Somatic gene testing:**

DNA was extracted from leukemia blasts for 4 PDX samples, using a QIAamp DNA mini kit (Qiagen). CDKN2A/B status was assessed by next generation sequencing [31] by the Clinical Genomic Diagnostic Laboratory at the Children’s Hospital of Philadelphia for samples that had not previously had sequencing performed and publicly available. If performed previously, references were cited in Table 1.

**Figure S1: Effect of ribociclib on G1 arrest in B-ALL cell lines in vitro.** B-ALL cell lines were treated for a minimum of 48-hours prior to harvesting for flow cytometry following treatment with palbociclib (A) versus ribociclib (B). Compared to palbociclib, higher concentrations of ribociclib are needed to induce G1 arrest. This correlates with human PK data.

**Figure S2: CDK inhibitors can successfully be combined with cytotoxic chemotherapy in vivo.** Original data presented in Figure 6B re-graphed using the same y axis across each PDX experiment including pre-treatment and concurrent treatment. Variations in disease burden occur
across experiments, however the trends relative to treatment approach indicate no differences in responsiveness to CDKi.
Figure S1: Effect of ribociclib on G1 arrest in B-ALL cell lines *in vitro*.
Figure S2: CDK inhibitors can successfully be combined with cytotoxic chemotherapy \textit{in vivo}.