Discontinuation of tyrosine kinase inhibitors in chronic myeloid leukemia: when and for whom?
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ABSTRACT

Treatment discontinuation is considered one of the main goals of therapy for patients with chronic myeloid leukemia. Several criteria are felt to be necessary to consider discontinuation, while others may predict a better chance of achieving treatment-free remission. Criteria for discontinuation include patients in chronic phase chronic myeloid leukemia, a minimum duration of tyrosine kinase inhibitor therapy of 3 years, sustained deep molecular response for at least 2 years and a molecular response of at least MR4. In addition, proper education of the patient on the need for more frequent monitoring, possible side effects related to stopping and having a reliable real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction laboratory are paramount to the safety and success of treatment-free remission. Realistically though, a maximum of only 20-30% of newly diagnosed patients will be able to achieve a successful treatment-free remission. In this article we will review for whom and when a trial of discontinuation should be considered.

Introduction

Although treatment with tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKI) has led to remarkable improvement in the overall survival of patients with chronic myeloid leukemia (CML), until recently these drugs were not considered curative as patients needed to be treated indefinitely. Hematopoietic stem cell transplantation was considered to be the only curative therapy for patients with CML. Over the last decade, several studies have demonstrated that a proportion of patients may be able to stop TKI therapy without relapse, potentially rendering them cured of their disease.1-7 After discontinuing TKI therapy, the levels of BCR-ABL1 in the peripheral blood should be monitored closely by polymerase chain reaction (PCR), especially in the first year, and monitoring should continue indefinitely. This approach has been safe, with essentially all patients with a molecular relapse responding to re-initiation of TKI therapy and only a few case reports of disease progression to accelerated phase or blast crisis among thousands of patients discontinuing therapy. This rare phenomenon of sudden transformation to accelerated phase or blast crisis has also been reported in responding patients who remain on TKI.8 With discontinuation, approximately 50% of patients will stay in remission and will not need to restart therapy.

This goal of being off drug therapy, also known as treatment-free remission (TFR), is emerging as the new aim of CML therapy. TFR has several benefits for patients, including improved quality of life, reduced cost to patients and society and decreased risk of long-term complications. Our aim in this article is to review the results of trials on stopping TKI in patients with CML and to discuss some clinical and biological questions raised by the observations.

Who is eligible to stop therapy?

Current guidelines9,10 recommend considering stopping therapy for patients in chronic phase CML, who have been on TKI therapy for at least 3 years, and who have had a continued deep molecular response (DMR) lasting at least 2 years, with DMR defined as a BCR/ABL1 level of < 0.01% on the international scale (IS) (equivalent to a 4-log reduction in transcript level from baseline, MR4). Studies have only
included patients with chronic phase CML. The possibility of stopping therapy in patients with prior accelerated phase or blast phase is unknown at present. In general, we do not recommend stopping drug in such patients given the lack of data.

The depth and duration of response that need to be achieved prior to discontinuation remain unclear. The required duration of DMR has varied from 1 to 3 years in different studies (Table 1). Most studies enrolled patients who were in a continued DMR for at least 2 years and the duration of DMR has been predictive of successful TFR (see later). Initially, studies enrolled only patients with undetectable BCR-ABL1 by PCR with an assay sensitivity of at least a 5 log reduction. This was followed by other trials such as the EURO-SKI and LAST studies in which patients with BCR/ABL1 <0.01% were enrolled. In the Euro-SKI trial, there was no difference in relapse rate between patients who had IS% <0.01% or 0.0052%. In contrast, the DESTINY trial conducted in the United Kingdom, enrolled patients with BCR-ABL1 <0.1% (defined as a major molecular response, MMR) and the rate of successful TFR only for patients in MMR was lower (33%). Based on that, a level of 0.01% or MR4 is considered an appropriate level to consider discontinuation outside of clinical trials.

Of note, we and others have described that a significant number of patients who meet stopping criteria are actually not interested in stopping TKI therapy. These observations were made at a time when stopping TKI was still considered experimental and guidelines did not mention this option. Patients were hesitant to stop taking a drug mainly on the principle of “if it ain’t broke, don’t fix it”. In particular, patients who were not experiencing side effects from the TKI and who had good insurance coverage, so that out-of-pocket costs were minimal, had less obvious motivation to consider discontinuation. In contrast, more recently, many patients who have been told of their “excellent” responses have read about TFR on the Internet and are inquiring about stopping therapy, sometimes after relatively short exposure to treatment. Hence, the need for physicians to understand the implications of different levels of response on the IS as well as the requirement for many years of treatment for most patients.

Although most trials only enrolled patients with no history of resistance to TKI, some patients who were resistant to frontline imatinib may stop TKI treatment successfully. The DASFree study enrolled patients on first- or second-line treatment with dasatinib. Of the 84 patients enrolled, 57 (44%) and 47 (56%) were receiving dasatinib as first- and second-line treatment, respectively. At 24 months, the rate of TFR was 51% and 42% in those treated with dasatinib first-line or as a subsequent line. The rate of successful TFR was 44% for patients who were either resistant or intolerant to first-line dasatinib. The ENESStop study enrolled patients intolerant of or resistant to imatinib. Of the 163 patients enrolled, 38 were resistant to imatinib. After 1 year of nilotinib consolidation therapy, 30 patients moved on to the TFR phase, of whom 16 (53%) remained off drug at 48 weeks (Table 2).

A second attempt at TFR may be considered in some patients. The RE-STIM trial enrolled patients who had previously relapsed after TKI discontinuation and regained a DMR. Of the 70 patients, 55% remained off drug at 36 months. The median duration of TKI therapy after restarting was 5 months (range, 2-42 months). BCR-ABL1 kinetics at the time of first discontinuation was most predictive of success of a second TFR. The chances of a successful second TFR at 36 months were 46%, 31% and 0% for patients who had undetectable transcripts or >MR4.5 versus MR3-MR4.5 versus loss of MMR at 3 months after first discontinuation. In the Treatment-free Remission Accomplished by Dasatinib (TRA D) trial, patients who had confirmed loss of MR4 or loss of MMR after discontinuing imatinib were started on dasatinib 100 mg daily. Patients who achieved MR4 and sustained this level of response for at least 12 months had a second attempt at TFR. The TFR success rate at 6 months was 21.5%. Factors associated with a successful second TFR

---

**Table 1. Key characteristics of selected studies of discontinuation of tyrosine kinase inhibitor therapy.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>N. of patients</th>
<th>TKI(s)</th>
<th>Eligibility criteria</th>
<th>Median time in MR, months (range)</th>
<th>Median duration on TKI, months (range)</th>
<th>Trigger to restart TKI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ENESTfreedom†</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>Nilotinib</td>
<td>2 MR4.5 1 NR 43.5 (32.9–88.7) Loss of MMR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STIMI†</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Imatinib#</td>
<td>3 MR5.0 2 36.4 (24-107) 58.8 (35-112) At least two positive RT-PCR results showing an increase of one log or confirmed loss of MMR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TWISTER⁰</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Imatinib#</td>
<td>3 MR4.5 2 30* 70* Loss of MMR or two consecutive positive samples at any value</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EURO-SKI³</td>
<td>868</td>
<td>Imatinib or nilotinib or dasatinib</td>
<td>3 MR4.0 1 56.4 (34.8-82.8) 90 (60-118.5) Loss of MMR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DasFree³</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>Dasatinib</td>
<td>2 MR4.5 1 28 (13–116) 9 (29–244) Loss of MMR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAST³</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>Imatinib or dasatinib or nilotinib or bosutinib</td>
<td>3 MR4.0 2 56.6 (25.1 - 176.6) 82.7 (36.1 - 199) Loss of MMR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MR: molecular response (with log reduction in BCR-ABL1 transcripts); TKI: tyrosine kinase inhibitor; y: years; NR: not reported; RT-PCR: reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction; MMR: major molecular response. *Patient on imatinib only range not reported. †Prior interferon therapy allowed.
were: longer time to molecular relapse after imatinib discontinuation (>3 months vs. <3 months), deeper response to initial therapy (5.5 log reduction or deeper vs. others) and loss of MR4 (compared to loss of MMR). Both studies suggest that patients who have a rapid loss of MMR after a first discontinuation of a TKI have a negligible chance of achieving a second TFR with TKI therapy alone (Table 3).

It has been suggested that initial treatment with a second-generation TKI, rather than imatinib, might increase the fraction of patients eligible for consideration of stopping therapy because second-generation TKI do lead to a higher percentage of patients achieving MR4 or MR4.5. Whether this actually leads to a higher rate of successful TFR remains unknown as TFR outcomes are not available from the up-front randomized trials evaluating second-generation TKI. In the ENESTnd study of imatinib versus nilotinib, the rates of MR4 at 5 years were 42% and 66% for patients on imatinib and nilotinib, respectively, while the rates of MR4.5 at 5 years were 31% and 54%, respectively. Similarly, in the DASISION study of imatinib versus dasatinib, the rates of MR4.5 were 33% and 42%, respectively. However, only about 70% of patients remain on nilotinib or dasatinib in the long-term and the rates of significant side effects (predominantly cardiovascular and pleural effusions, respectively) are much higher with these drugs than with imatinib. The side effects associated with second-generation TKI may now be lower given better selection of patients, earlier identification of the side effects and the use of lower doses, as will be discussed later. Discontinuation trials in patients treated with nilotinib or dasatinib have shown the same ~50% rates of TFR as seen in the imatinib trials.

Another strategy which has been evaluated is to switch imatinib-treated patients to a second-generation TKI to get patients to a deeper response and be eligible for discontinuation. In the ENESTcmr study, patients receiving imatinib who had not achieved MR4.5 were randomized to either imatinib continuation or a switch to nilotinib. At 24 months, patients on imatinib who had detectable BCR-ABL1 were allowed to switch to nilotinib. At 24 months, the rate of MR4.5 was 54% for those who switched to nilotinib versus 32% for those who stayed on imatinib, reiterating prior observations that the depth of response often improves over time in responding patients receiving imatinib. The rate of successful TFR was not reported and a significant fraction of patients (18%) discontinued nilotinib because of adverse events, mostly cardiovascular in nature. Of the patients who switched to nilotinib after the 24 months, 37% achieved MR4.5. It should be noted however, that MR4 is considered sufficient for an attempt at TKI discontinuation, and it is not known whether there were important differences in the rates of sustained MR4 using the two strategies.

In summary, the rates of achieving MR4.5 are approximately 10-20% higher with second-generation TKI than with imatinib whether the second-generation TKI is started as first-line therapy or switched to later. In addition, second-generation TKI lead to faster and deeper responses which could potentially lead to shorter time on treatment compared to the time on imatinib before a trial of discontinuation. However, this comes at an increased risk of clinical and financial toxicity. Hypothetically (because prospective data are not available), if 100 patients start imatinib, 40 will achieve MR4, 20 will have a successful TFR. If a patient starts a second-generation TKI, approximately 60 will achieve MR4 and 30 will have a successful TFR.

When to restart?

In the initial STIM1 and TWISTER discontinuation studies, criteria for restarting were loss of MR4.5; i.e., the patient restarted treatment as soon as BCR-ABL1 transcripts were detected. Subsequently, the A-STIM trial

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2. Treatment-free remission studies in patients resistant to first-line tyrosine kinase inhibitor therapy.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>N. of patients</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DASfree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENESTcmr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DADI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G-TKI</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1TFR: treatment-free remission; TKI: tyrosine kinase inhibitor; MMR: major molecular response; MR4: molecular response with a 4-log reduction in BCR-ABL1 transcripts; IS: interstitial scale. 2G-TKI: second-generation tyrosine kinase inhibitor.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3. Completed and ongoing studies evaluating a second attempt to achieve treatment-free remission.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of patients</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RE-STIM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matsuki</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rousselot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olsson-Strömberg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spanish</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELN</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1TFR: treatment-free remission; MMR: major molecular response; DMR: deep molecular remission; TKI: tyrosine kinase inhibitor; NR: not reported; ELN: European LeukemiaNet.
demonstrated the safety of restarting treatment at loss of MMR and this is the recommended level for restarting in most current guidelines. A number of patients have fluctuating values ranging between 3-4.5 log reductions or undetectable transcripts followed by very low, but detectable values, who do not overtly relapse during long-term follow-up.

It is intriguing that some patients can have detectable BCR-ABL1 transcripts by PCR analysis with no evidence of disease progression. In a study by Ross et al., seven of eight patients with undetectable BCR-ABL1 by real-time quantitative PCR had detectable BCR-ABL1 DNA and none of those relapsed. One explanation is that the BCR-ABL1-positivity arose from non-myeloid lineages such as memory lymphocytes. In a study by Pagani et al., blood from 20 patients in TFR was sorted into granulocytes, monocytes, B cells, T cells and natural killer (NK) cells. BCR-ABL1 was not detected in cells of myeloid lineage in any of the patients. Alternatively, transcripts may derive from a small, persistent population of immature but non-clonogenic myeloid precursors that slowly decrease with time. This could be a consequence of leukemia stem cell exhaustion as demonstrated by Caocci et al., who noted that patients with shorter telomeres in peripheral blood monocytes had a higher chance of successful TFR. Of note, in another study shorter telomeres at diagnosis were associated with molecular instability and worse overall outcome, possibly indicating that the role of telomeres varies with the stage of disease and might be a dynamic predictive marker.

**Success rate of treatment-free remission**

The success rate of TFR has been quite similar across studies from several countries despite the inclusion of patients receiving different TKI and some slight differences in enrollment criteria (Table 1). In addition, time to loss of MMR is very similar across studies with most patients relapsing in the first 6 months. The kinetics of molecular recurrence is similar across all TFR studies with the disease-free status from the STIM 1 study shown as an example in Figure 1. The molecular recurrence-free survival rate was 38% at 5 years. It is worth noting that patients who have had undetectable transcripts for many years can relapse quickly once the suppressive pressure on the residual quiescent stem cells is released.

The DASfree study enrolled patients receiving dasatinib as first- or second-line therapy. The TFR rate at 2 years was slightly higher for patients on first-line dasatinib compared to those given dasatinib as a second-line therapy (51% vs. 44%, respectively). The ENESTfreedom enrolled patients treated with nilotinib. Of the 190 patients who discontinued therapy, 44% remained in TFR at 192 weeks. The LAST1 and EURO-SKI studies enrolled patients on multiple TKI, with the majority of patients receiving imatinib. In the LAST study, with a median follow-up of 12 months, the molecular relapse-free survival rate was 66% at 24 months. In the EURO-SKI study with a median follow-up of 24 months, the molecular relapse-free survival was 50% at 24 months.

**Monitoring**

As most molecular recurrences occur in the first 6 months after stopping TKI therapy, more intensive monitoring is recommended earlier after stopping the treatment. Currently, guidelines suggest monitoring with peripheral blood PCR analysis every 4 weeks for 6 to 12 months, then every 6 to 8 weeks for 12 to 18 months, then every 3 months thereafter. Whether this schedule of intensive upfront monitoring is really needed remains unclear and a recent mathematical modeling experiment suggests

![Figure 1. Molecular recurrence-free survival after discontinuation of imatinib in patients (n=100) in the STIM1 study.](haematologica_2020_105_12_2741.png)
that initial monitoring every 2 months followed by every 3 months, should transcripts remain undetectable after 6 months, is likely to be a safe approach. Monthly PCR evaluations increase patients’ anxiety and may present an economic hurdle for some patients or countries. The mechanisms by which the CML clone is either eliminated or perhaps more likely, suppressed are not fully understood. It has been suggested that small amounts of residual disease can be controlled by autologous immune suppression. A number of studies have evaluated T-cell and particularly NK-cell populations in patients in TFR, but none has been convincing and further studies are needed. It is conceivable that residual clonogenic cells remain in a relatively quiescent state which may take years to manifest clinically, perhaps because of an eventual failure of immune control. With few exceptions, we do not know the interval between the original acquisition of the BCR-ABL1 mutation and the development of clinically apparent CML. The median time to the development of “clinical” CML after the atomic bombing of Japan was approximately 10 years with cases continuing to be detected for years after that. Hence, the importance of long-term, continued PCR monitoring cannot be over emphasized as late relapses have been reported and remain a concern. Rousselot et al. identified nine patients relapsing more than 2 years (median 3.6 years) after stopping, with late relapses seeming to be more common in patients with fluctuating detectable transcript levels during earlier follow-up.

Our policy is to continue to monitor patients about every 4-6 months after 3 years in TFR; it is likely that this approach could be modified with the availability of more long-term follow-up information. It should be noted that PCR standardization and monitoring are not uniformly available around the world and in the USA. It has been reported that approximately 50% of US physicians do not have adequate PCR monitoring and do not follow guidelines when it comes to TKI discontinuation, so further educational efforts are warranted.

Are there factors that predict successful treatment-free remission?

The duration of TKI treatment, Sokal risk score, duration of DMR, depth of response, the use of highly sensitive droplet digital PCR (ddPCR), number of NK cells, and type of BCR-ABL1 transcript have all been shown to predict the success rate of TFR to a varying degree in some, but not all studies.

- The duration of TKI treatment has perhaps been the most reproducible predictor of successful therapy discontinuation. TKI therapy for more than 5 years has been associated in multiple studies with higher rates of TFR. For example in the STIM trial, the risk of molecular recurrence was 50% for those who were on imatinib >54 months versus 80% for those on imatinib <54 months. In the large EURO-SKI trial, the 6-month probability of maintaining a MMR was 65% for patients on imatinib treatment for >5.8 years versus 41% for those treated for <5.8 years. However, when the duration of TKI therapy and duration of DMR were entered into the same model, only one of them was predictive as both these factors were closely correlated. These observations emphasize the need for many years of treatment before most patients can be considered for discontinuation and should be part of the initial discussion with patients beginning treatment for chronic phase CML. It also indicates that caregivers must be skilled in managing the side effects of TKI therapy so as to maximize compliance.

- The duration of DMR is less consistent in terms of predicting successful TFR. All studies of TKI discontinuation required a minimum of 2 years of documented DMR although the definition of DMR varied across studies (Table 1). The duration of deep remission was not predictive in STIM1, DASfree, or ENESTfreedom but was predictive in EURO-SKI. In the EURO-SKI trial, the 6-month probability of maintaining MMR was 61% for patients who maintained MR4 for >3.1 years versus 44% for those who maintained MR4 for <3.1 years.

- Sokal risk score: a lower score was predictive of better outcomes in ENESTfreedom and EUROSKI; however, in everyday practice the Sokal risk score is currently calculated for very few patients, making this a less practical marker. Nonetheless, the rate of TFR is likely to be lower in patients with more “advanced” chronic phase CML.

- Type of transcript: several studies have demonstrated that patients harboring the e14a2 transcript are more likely to achieve a DMR and have successful TFR compared to those with e13a2. In one study by D’Adda et al., the rates of a sustained DMR and maintaining TFR were 39.6% versus 19.4% and 61% versus 22% for patients with e14a2 versus e13a2 transcripts, respectively.

- Depth of response is also emerging as an important factor in predicting successful TFR. In the DESTINY trial, in which patients with BCR-ABL1 <0.1% were enrolled, a dose de-escalation phase was included. After enrollment into the study, patients received 50% of the FDA-approved dose of their TKI for 1 year. Patients with at least one IS measurement of transcripts between 0.01% and 0.1% were considered in the MMR group, while patients who had all measurements <0.01% were considered in the MR4 group. At 5 years, the rate of successful TFR was 72% versus 35% in the MR4 and MMR groups, respectively. These results suggest that patients in continuous MMR may consider dose de-escalation or may even consider TKI discontinuation, understanding that the chance of successful TFR is approximately 30%.

The ENESTfreedom study enrolled patients with chronic phase CML who had been on nilotinib for at least 2 years and achieved MR4.5. Patients who maintained MR4 during an additional year of follow-up discontinued the drug. Among the 190 patients who discontinued nilotinib, the rate of successful TFR was 50% for those who maintained MR4.5 versus 35% for those with at least one level >MR4.5. ddPCR is 1-2 logs more sensitive than real-time quantitative PCR and some studies have demonstrated that negative or undetectable transcripts by ddPCR are most predictive of successful TFR. In a study by Nicolini et al., of the 174 patients who discontinued therapy and for whom ddPCR results were available, 57 had BCR-ABL1 values ≥0.0023%. Loss of MMR occurred in 68% of patients who had BCR-ABL1 values ≥0.0023%, compared to 46% with levels <0.0023%. As for patients monitored by real-time quantitative PCR, patients with detectable BCR-ABL1 by ddPCR may still consider a TFR attempt and ddPCR BCR-ABL1 levels may further refine the selection of patients for an attempt at TKI discontinuation.
Currently ddPCR is not widely available and standardization across laboratories will be required prior to its use in routine clinical care.

All these data suggest that the depth of response matters. The probability of successful TFR is highest for patients with negative ddPCR results, followed by those with MR4.5, then MR4 and finally, MR3 (Table 4). However, this distinction is not absolute, since relapse rates are still considerable at all these levels and patients and physicians may choose to consider a trial of TKI discontinuation at higher transcript levels with the understanding that the risks of molecular recurrence and the need to restart treatment are higher.

- Other investigational studies have associated a higher percentage of innate T cells or NK cells with a better chance of TFR, suggesting that an immune surveillance mechanism is involved in maintaining molecular response.

### Side effects of discontinuation

As noted in the LAST study, which included a comprehensive evaluation of patient-reported outcomes after TKI discontinuation and at the time of restarting TKI, TKI discontinuation is associated with clinically significant improvements of fatigue, diarrhea, depression and sleep disturbances in many patients. Conversely, some patients who discontinue TKI and need to restart have significant anxiety with one patient describing it “as having leukemia all over again.”

Musculoskeletal pain is a well-recognized side effect of TKI discontinuation. Approximately 30% of patients have an increase in musculoskeletal pain or periarticular stiffness, which is usually transient. These symptoms can be managed with non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, and occasionally glucocorticoids and acetaminophen. Pain is more common in patients with a prior history of musculoskeletal pain and in those who have been on TKI therapy for a long period of time. A small minority of patients need to restart TKI for uncontrolled discomfort. Several mechanisms have been proposed to explain this phenomenon, including activation of other kinase-mediated pathways which had been inhibited by the TKI, such as release of c-kit inhibition, mast cell activation, or bone remodeling effects, but none has been proven yet.

### Dose reduction

For a large majority of patients who cannot achieve TFR, another approach to reduce side effects and costs and to maintain compliance would be to identify the lowest possible effective dose of TKI. Both dasatinib and nilotinib have demonstrated efficacy at doses lower than the FDA-approved dose. In a recently published phase II study from the MD Anderson Cancer Center, dasatinib was given at a dose of 50 mg daily, instead of the FDA-approved dose of 100 mg, to newly diagnosed patients. Of the 81 patients enrolled, 81% had achieved a MMR at 12 months according to the follow-up data at the time of the publication. This is higher than in the DASISION study, in which the MMR rate was 46% at 1 year with dasatinib. The 50 mg dose was better tolerated with a lower cumulative incidence of pleural effusions of 6%.

The NiloRED study was an observational study evaluating dose reductions for patients on nilotinib. Of the 67 evaluable patients, 68.6% were receiving nilotinib as first-line therapy and 31.4% as second-line treatment. The median duration of MMR was 25 months at the time of dose reduction. The nilotinib dose was reduced to 450 mg daily, 400 mg daily and 300 mg daily in 87%, 10% and 3% of patients, respectively. Only two patients lost the MMR, although they regained MR4 later on without a dose increase.

The DESTINY trial, detailed earlier, provides a perfect example illustrating the safety of dose reduction. Of the 174 patients enrolled, 148, 16 and 10 were receiving imatinib, nilotinib and dasatinib, respectively. At study entry, the TKI dose was reduced to half the standard dose for 12 months: imatinib 200 mg daily, dasatinib 50 mg daily, or nilotinib 200 mg twice daily. After 1 year, 19% of patients in the group with MMR had lost their MMR compared to 2% of those starting with deeper responses. After 1 year of half-dose therapy, patients who had not “relapsed” discontinued therapy as discussed earlier. It is unclear what would have happened if those patients had stayed on a lower dose and had not attempted treatment discontinuation.

### Table 4. Studies reporting the rate of successful treatment-free remission based on depth of response.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Level</th>
<th>TFR %</th>
<th>@</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bernardi*</td>
<td>ddPCR &lt;0.468</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>2 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ddPCR &gt;0.468</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>2 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MR4</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>2 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MR 4.5-5</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>2 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicolini*</td>
<td>ddPCR &lt;0.0023%</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>1 year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ddPCR &gt;0.0023%</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>1 year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENEST freedom*</td>
<td>MR4</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>2 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MR4.5</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>2 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DESTINY*</td>
<td>&lt;MR4</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>3 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MR3</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>3 years</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TFR: treatment-free remission; MR: molecular response (with log reduction in BCR-ABL1 transcripts); ddPCR: droplet digital polymerase chain reaction analysis.
not reflexively increase the dose should the transcript level increase, but rather that they should continue to observe the patient closely.\textsuperscript{65} Indeed, this phenomenon was noted in some patients in the NiloRed trial mentioned above.\textsuperscript{54} Additional prospective, systematic studies of dose reduction would be welcomed in the future.

Future directions

An attempt at treatment discontinuation should be considered the standard of care for patients with CML who have a sustained DMR. Realistically, only 40-50\% of newly diagnosed patients with CML will achieve this threshold, and only half of those (~20\% of all patients) will achieve a successful TFR. These estimates are extrapolated from the results of clinical trials and may overstate the actual number of patients treated in general practice who may achieve the required depth and duration of response and be eligible for a trial of TKI discontinuation.

Many unanswered questions remain. How can we better identify patients who will achieve DMR and TFR? Should these patients be considered “cured” of their disease and what are the mechanisms by which the disease is controlled/eliminated? A better understanding of these mechanisms might suggest new approaches to prevent relapse.

How can more patients achieve a DMR in order to consider a trial to achieve TFR? The limitations of the currently available TKIs have been defined but a new inhibitor, ABL001, which inhibits signaling by blocking the myristoyl pocket of the enzyme,\textsuperscript{66,67} has recently been developed and combinations with traditional TKIs will be conducted in the hopes of achieving deeper responses as the pathway to higher rates of TFR.

Nonetheless, when the extraordinary results using imatinib first became available, there was little expectation that the responses would be so durable and that it might eventually be possible to avoid lifetime treatment. CML investigators should be proud of these remarkable accomplishments.
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