Beyond the FAB classification for myelodysplastic syndromes

The myelodysplastic syndromes (MDSs) have represented one of the most controversial issues in clinical hematology. The FAB classification separated these disorders into distinct disease entities but a large body of evidence indicates that they are more probably different stages of a developing process which can eventually evolve into acute myeloid leukemia (AML). As long as twenty-five years ago Rheingold\(^1\) pointed out that AML could be more indolent than thought, and in most instances probably begins insidiously and may be present for months or years before it becomes apparent to the patient and then to the physician.

The FAB group has undoubtedly done a remarkable job in providing us with a classification for primary MDSs\(^2,3\) that has proved to be a useful research and clinical tool over the last 15 years. From a clinical standpoint, however, the FAB classification has yielded conflicting results.\(^4\) For instance, in patients with refractory anemia reported survivals range from less than 2 to more than 5 years. Data on refractory anemia with ring sideroblasts appear even more controversial, survival ranging from less than 1 year to about 9 years. An important part of this variability probably reflects heterogeneity within the single myelodysplastic syndromes as defined by the FAB classification. Practical difficulties in applying the FAB classification and transitions between myelodysplastic syndromes have been clearly described.\(^5\) This underlines the limits of a morphologic classification and the necessity of defining biologic and clinical parameters having prognostic significance.\(^6,7\)

Recent articles in this journal have analyzed etiology,\(^8\) pathogenesis,\(^9\) diagnosis\(^10-13\) and treatment of MDSs.\(^14-16\) In particular, Sanz et al.\(^17\) critically examined the prognostic factors in MDS and the pros and cons of prognostic scoring systems that have been recently developed. These authors took part in the International MDS Risk Analysis Workshop which resulted in the development of the International Prognostic Scoring System (IPSS).\(^18\) Sanz et al.\(^17\) concluded that the percentage of marrow blasts, cytogenetic pattern and number and degree of cytopenias are the most powerful prognostic indicators in MDS. They also stated that, although some limitations are evident, the recently developed scoring systems, and particularly the IPSS, are extremely useful for predicting survival and acute leukemic risk in individuals with MDS and should be incorporated into the design and analysis of therapeutic trials in these disorders.

In this issue, Balduini et al.\(^19\) compare the prognostic value of 8 previously described prognostic systems in one series of consecutive MDS patients observed at a single institution. They found that, when applied to their case series, some of the prognostic systems had a much lower prognostic value than in the patient population from which they derived. They, therefore, suggest that prognostic systems should be tested in independent case series before being used in clinical practice.

Despite the above limitations, prognostic scoring systems have clearly improved our management of MDSs. Based on the IPSS or the Sanz system,\(^20\) a risk-adapted treatment strategy is now possible and highly recommended for MDS patients.\(^16\) Similar risk-adapted strategies, based on criteria other than the FAB classification, are also being proposed for AML.\(^21,22\) Although we will still go on using it, the FAB classification’s heyday as a prognostic tool in myelodysplastic syndromes is probably over.
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Bone marrow transplantation for severe aplastic anemia from HLA identical siblings

Early bone marrow transplant (BMT) studies, in the fifties and sixties, produced important results, some of which are listed here:

a. marrow given intravenously is as effective as marrow given by any other route;

b. marrow is an immunologically competent organ and can mount a reaction against the host;

c. cyclophosphamide alone can provide sufficient immunosuppression for engraftment of allogeneic stem cells.

Clinical programs of allogeneic BMT for severe aplastic anemia (SAA) have developed along these major lines.

Cyclophosphamide 200 mg/kg and graft rejection

The conditioning regimen developed at the John Hopkins hospital by George Santos, which used 200 mg/kg cyclophosphamide, appeared to be attractive for BMT in patients with SAA. Initial results were encouraging but graft rejection was a major problem: in the first large series published the risk of rejection was 21/73 patients (29%). This produced survival rates not exceeding 40-50%.

There are at least 5 factors associated with graft rejection:

1. the intensity of the conditioning regimen. The addition of total body irradiation (TBI) or thoracoabdominal irradiation (TAI) reduces the risk of rejection to 3%.

2. the number of stem cells infused. Patients receiving more than 3.5 x 10^6/kg marrow cells have a lower risk of rejection.

3. post-BMT immunosuppression. When methotrexate (MTX) is given post-BMT, the rejection rate is between 15% and 30%. The introduction of cyclosporin A (CyA) has reduced rejection to less than 10% and the combination of the two (CyA+MTX) further reduces the risk to the current 7%.

4. donor/recipient HLA matching. In the setting of HLA identical sibling transplants, in which donor and recipient are genotypically identical for the major histocompatibility complex region on chromosome 6, rejection is 7-15%. But for alternative donor grafts, either family mismatched or unrelated, the risk of rejection exceeds 20%. This has been extensively proven in an experimental animal model.

In brief, rejection can be prevented by high numbers of stem cells, intensive conditioning regimens including radiation, and high numbers of donor lymphocytes.

Graft rejection and donor chimerism

Sensitive molecular biology techniques to detect donor/recipient chimerism have shown that the gap between engraftment and rejection is filled by mixed
chimerism, which can be transient, persistent, or progressive: over 20% of long term survivors are mixed chimeras and close monitoring of chimerism can reveal important information on the requirement for immunosuppressive therapy.10

Graft-versus-host disease

Some (but not all) measures which reduce rejection will increase the proportion of patients with full donor chimerism, and therefore increase the risk of graft-versus-host disease (GvHD). Patients receiving radiation have a greater risk of acute GvHD, and chronic GvHD, including pneumonitis.11 Patients receiving donor buffy coat cells post-BMT have a greater risk of GvHD.12 In the case of increased risk of GvHD the overall outcome is not improved.

On the other hand some measures may reduce both rejection and GvHD:
1. additional immunosuppression pre-BMT (anti-thymocyte globulin);
2. selection of a genotypically identical sibling;
3. high numbers of stem cells.
In order to improve the outcome one would want to favor these rather than the former.

Radiation and second tumors

The Seattle group has shown that dogs exposed to radiation have a greater risk of tumors after transplantation,13 and therefore has avoided the use of TBI in patients with SAA. The group in Saint Louis introduced thoraco-abdominal irradiation in the early eighties, with a single fraction of 6 Gy:14 this regimen provided two advantages, the reduction of the dose of cyclophosphamide from 200 mg/kg to 150 mg/kg (thus eliminating cardiac toxicity) and the significant reduction of rejection. Although initial survival was encouraging, there was an increased risk of pneumonitis,11 of chronic GvHD and of second tumors.14 The Paris group has now abandoned the use of radiation both in patients with acquired or constitutional aplasia, owing to a 20% risk of tumors by 20 years after BMT.14 The lesson from the radiation studies in the eighties, with a single fraction of 6 Gy:5 this regimen introduced thoraco-abdominal irradiation in the early eighties, with a single fraction of 6 Gy:14 this regimen provided two advantages, the reduction of the dose of cyclophosphamide from 200 mg/kg to 150 mg/kg (thus eliminating cardiac toxicity) and the significant reduction of rejection. Although initial survival was encouraging, there was an increased risk of pneumonitis,11 of chronic GvHD and of second tumors.14 The Paris group has now abandoned the use of radiation both in patients with acquired or constitutional aplasia, owing to a 20% risk of tumors by 20 years after BMT.14 The lesson from the radiation studies in 1998 is therefore clear: radiation should not be used in patients with SAA in the setting of HLA identical sibling transplants, because it does not improve the outcome but exposes the patient to an increased risk of late effects including infertility and second tumors.

Current results

Currently, greater than 70% survival can be achieved in HLA identical sibling transplants.15,16 In the present issue, Hernández-Boluda et al.17 confirm these data and conclude that BMT is particularly effective in young patients with SAA.

The current transplant protocol should include: a) cyclophosphamide 200 mg/kg as part of the conditioning regimen; b) cyclosporin A and methotrexate as GvHD prophylaxis; c) bone marrow as the stem cell source. The addition of anti-thymocyte globulin (ATG) in the conditioning regimen has been report-ed to further increase the survival to over 90% by reducing the risk of rejection and GvHD.16 The use of peripheral blood allogeneic stem cells is probably unnecessary due to the excellent current results, and to the increased risk of chronic GvHD.18

Future goals

For the future I see two major areas of intervention: increasing the upper age limit of patients (currently between 40 and 50) and expanding the donor pool to unrelated subjects. Both will need better control of transplant complications and better understanding of genetically determined immune reactions.
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